

BIER Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: December 13, 2015

G. Mirsky
Ericsson
E. Nordmark
Arista Networks
C. Pignataro
N. Kumar
Cisco Systems, Inc.
S. Aldrin
Google
L. Zheng
M. Chen
Huawei Technologies
N. Akiya
Big Switch Networks
S. Pallagatti
Juniper Networks
June 11, 2015

**Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OAM) Requirements for Bit
Index Explicit Replication (BIER) Layer
draft-mirsky-bier-oam-requirements-00**

Abstract

This document describes a list of functional requirement toward Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OAM) toolset in Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER) layer of a network.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 13, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Conventions used in this document	2
1.1.1.	Terminology	3
1.1.2.	Requirements Language	3
2.	Requirements	3
3.	IANA Considerations	4
4.	Security Considerations	4
5.	Acknowledgement	4
6.	References	4
6.1.	Normative References	5
6.2.	Informative References	5
	Authors' Addresses	5

[1.](#) Introduction

[I-D.ietf-bier-architecture] introduces and explains Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER) architecture and how it supports forwarding of multicast data packets.

This document lists the OAM requirements for BIER layer of multicast domain. The list can further be used to for gap analysis of available OAM tools to identify possible enhancements of existing or whether new OAM tools are required to support proactive and on-demand path monitoring and service validation.

[1.1.](#) Conventions used in this document

1.1.1. Terminology

Term "BIER OAM" used in this document interchangeably with longer version "set of OAM protocols, methods and tools for BIER layer".

BFR: Bit-Forwarding Router

BFER: Bit-Forwarding Egress Router

BIER: Bit Index Explicit Replication

OAM: Operations, Administration and Maintenance

1.1.2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

2. Requirements

This section lists requirements for OAM of BIER layer:

1. The listed requirements MUST be supported with any type of transport layer over which BIER layer can be realized.
2. It MUST be possible to initialize BIER OAM session from any Bit-Forwarding Router (BFR) of the given BIER domain.
3. It SHOULD be possible to initialize BIER OAM session from a centralized controller.
4. BIER OAM MUST support proactive and on-demand OAM monitoring and measurement methods.
5. BIER OAM MUST support unidirectional OAM methods, both continuity check and performance measurement.
6. BIER OAM packets MUST be in-line, i.e. follow exactly the same path as data plane traffic, in forward direction, i.e. from ingress toward egress end point(s) of the OAM test session.
7. BIER OAM MUST support bi-directional OAM methods. Such OAM methods MAY combine in-line monitoring or measurement in forward direction and out-of-bound notification in the reverse direction, i.e. from egress to ingress end point of the OAM test session.

8. BIER OAM MUST support proactive monitoring of BFER availability by a BFR in the given BIER domain [e.g. p2mp BFD active tail support].
9. BIER OAM MUST support Path Maximum Transmission Unit discovery.
10. BIER OAM MUST support Reverse Defect Indication (RDI) notification of the source of continuity checking BFR by Bit-Forwarding Egress Routers (BFERs) [e.g. by using Diag in p2mp BFD with active tail support].
11. BIER OAM MUST support active and passive performance measurement methods.
12. BIER OAM MUST support unidirectional performance measurement methods to calculate throughput, loss, delay and delay variation metrics. [[RFC6374](#)] provides great details into performance measurement and performance metrics.
13. BIER OAM MUST support defect notification mechanism, like Alarm Indication Signal. Any BFR in the given BIER domain MAY originate a defect notification addressed to any subset of BFRs within the domain.
14. BIER OAM MUST support methods to enable survivability of a BIER layer. These recovery methods MAY use protection switching and restoration.

[3.](#) IANA Considerations

This document does not propose any IANA consideration. This section may be removed.

[4.](#) Security Considerations

This document list the OAM requirement for BIER-enabled domain and does not raise any security concerns or issues in addition to ones common to networking.

[5.](#) Acknowledgement

TBD

[6.](#) References

6.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-bier-architecture]
Wijnands, I., Rosen, E., Dolganow, A., Przygienda, T., and
S. Aldrin, "Multicast using Bit Index Explicit
Replication", [draft-ietf-bier-architecture-00](#) (work in
progress), April 2015.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

6.2. Informative References

- [RFC2629] Rose, M., "Writing I-Ds and RFCs using XML", [RFC 2629](#),
June 1999.
- [RFC6374] Frost, D. and S. Bryant, "Packet Loss and Delay
Measurement for MPLS Networks", [RFC 6374](#), September 2011.

Authors' Addresses

Greg Mirsky
Ericsson

Email: gregory.mirsky@ericsson.com

Erik Nordmark
Arista Networks

Email: nordmark@acm.org

Carlos Pignataro
Cisco Systems, Inc.

Email: cpignata@cisco.com

Nagendra Kumar
Cisco Systems, Inc.

Email: naikumar@cisco.com

Sam Aldrin
Google

Email: aldrin.ietf@gmail.com

Lianshu Zheng
Huawei Technologies

Email: vero.zheng@huawei.com

Mach Chen
Huawei Technologies

Email: mach.chen@huawei.com

Nobo Akiya
Big Switch Networks

Email: nobo.akiya.dev@gmail.com

Santosh Pallagatti
Juniper Networks

Email: santoshpk@juniper.net

