

Network Working Group
Internet Draft
Document: [draft-mirtorabi-ospf-multi-area-adj-00.txt](#)
Expiration Date: July 2004

Sina Mirtorabi
Peter Psenak
Cisco Systems, Inc

Acee Lindem
Anand Oswal
Redback Networks

January 2004

OSPF Multi-Area Adjacency
draft-mirtorabi-ospf-multi-area-adj-00.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Abstract

This memo documents an extension to OSPF to allow a single physical link to be shared by multiple areas. This is necessary to allow the link to be considered an intra-area link in multiple areas. This would create an intra-area path to the corresponding areas

sharing the same link.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Abstract | 1 |
| 1. | Motivation..... | 2 |
| 2. | Possible Solutions | 2 |
| 3. | Proposed Solution | 3 |
| 4. | Bringing up multi-area adjacencies | 3 |
| 5. | Neighbor discovery..... | 3 |
| 6. | Interface data structure..... | 3 |
| 7. | Interface FSM..... | 3 |
| 8. | Neighbor data structure and neighbor FSM..... | 3 |
| 9. | Advertising multi-area adjacencies | 3 |
| 10. | Compatibility Issues..... | 4 |
| 11. | Other Solutions..... | 4 |
| 12. | Security..... | 4 |
| 13. | Acknowledgments..... | 4 |
| 14. | Reference..... | 4 |
| 15. | Authors' Addresses | 4 |

[1.](#) Motivation

There could be a requirement to have a link in multiple areas in order to allow the link to be considered as an intra-area link in multiple areas and be preferred over high cost intra-area paths. A simple example is to use a high speed backbone link between two ABRs to create multi-area adjacencies belonging to different areas.

[2.](#) Possible Solutions

For numbered interfaces, the OSPF specification [[OSPF](#)] allows a separate OSPF interface to be configured in each area using a secondary address. The disadvantages of this approach are that it requires additional IP address configuration, doesn't apply to unnumbered interfaces, and advertising secondary addresses will result in a larger overall routing table.

Allowing a link with a single address to simply be configured in multiple areas would also solve the problem. However, this would result in the subnet corresponding to the interface residing in

multiple areas which is contrary to the definition of an OSPF area as a collection of subnets.

Another approach is to simply allow unnumbered links to be configured in multiple areas. [Section 8.2.](#) of the OSPF specification already specifies that the OSPF area ID should be used to de-multiplex received OSPF packets. One limitation of this approach is that multi-access networks are not supported. Although this limitation may be overcome for LAN media with support of "Point-to-Point operation over LAN in link-state routing protocols" [[P2PLAN](#)], it may not be acceptable to configure the link as unnumbered.

[3.](#) Proposed Solution

ABRs will simply establish multiple adjacencies belonging to different areas. Each multi-area adjacency is announced as a point-to-point unnumbered link in the configured area. This point-to-point link will provide a topological path for that area. The first or primary adjacency using the link will operate and advertise the link consistent with [RFC 2328](#) [[OSPF](#)].

[4.](#) Bringing up multi-area adjacencies

Multi-area adjacencies are configured between two routers having a common interface. On physical point-to-point networks, packets are sent to the AllSPFRouters address. For all other network types, packets are unicast to the remote neighbor's IP address.

[5.](#) Neighbor discovery

On point-to-point networks, neighbor discovery is dynamic since Hello packets are sent to the AllSPFRouters address. For all other network types, one needs to configure remote neighbor IP address for the multi-area adjacency.

[6.](#) Interface data structure

An OSPF interface data structure is built for each configured multi-area adjacency as specified in [section 9](#) of OSPF [[OSPF](#)]. The interface type will always be point-to-point.

[7.](#) Interface FSM

The interface FSM will be the same as a point-to-point link irrespective of the underlying physical link.

[8.](#) Neighbor data structure and neighbor FSM

Both the neighbor data structure and neighbor FSM are the same as for standard OSPF, specified in [section 10](#) of OSPF [[OSPF](#)].

[9.](#) Advertising multi-area adjacencies

Multi-area adjacencies are announced as unnumbered point-to-point links. Once the router's secondary adjacency reaches the FULL state it will be added as a link type 1 to the Router LSA with:

Link ID = remote's Router ID
Link ID = IfIndex

This will announce a topological path through the corresponding area.

[10.](#) Compatibility Issues

All mechanisms described in this document are backward-compatible with standard OSPF implementations.

[11.](#) Other Solutions

The "OSPF Tunnel Adjacency" [[OSPFTA](#)] describes a more elaborate mechanism which satisfies this requirement as well as others.

[12.](#) Security

This document does not raise any security issues that are not already covered in [[OSPF](#)].

[13.](#) Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge Pat Murphy for bringing focus to the requirement.

14. References

- [OSPF] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", [RFC 2328](#), April 1998.
- [P2PLAN] Shen, N., et al, "Point-to-point operation over LAN in link-state routing protocols", [draft-ietf-isis-igp-p2p-over-lan-01.txt](#), Work in progress.
- [OSPFTA] Mirtorabi, S., Psenak, P., Lindem, A., "OSPF Tunnel Adjacency", [draft-mirtorabi-ospf-tunnel-adjacency-01.txt](#), Work in Progress.

15. Authors' Addresses

Sina Mirtorabi
Cisco Systems
225 West Tasman drive
San Jose, CA 95134
e-mail: sina@cisco.com

Peter Psenak
Cisco Systems
Parc Pegasus, De Kleetlaan 6A
1831 Diegem, Belgium
e-mail: ppsenak@cisco.com

Acee Lindem
Redback Networks
102 Carric Bend Court
Cary, NC 27519
email: acee@redback.com

Anand Oswal
Redback Networks
300 Holger Way
San Jose, CA 95134
e-mail: aoswal@redback.com