Internet Engineering Task Force Shin Miyakawa INTERNET-DRAFT NTT Communications <draft-miyakawa-ipv6-prefix-delegation-requirement-00.txt>

Expires: December 24, 2002

June 24, 2002

Requirements for IPv6 prefix delegation

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as ``work in progress.''

To view the list Internet-Draft Shadow Directories, see http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

The internet-draft will expire in 6 months. The date of expiration will be December 24, 2002.

Abstract

This document describes requirements about how an IPv6 address prefix should be delegated to an IPv6 subscriber's network (or "site").

INTERNET-DRAFT

1. Motivation

With the deployment of IPv6 [Deering, 1998], several commercial ISPs are ready to offer their services to the public in conjunction with widely deployed IP subscription method such as ADSL and so on. But, thinking about following situation of IPv6 commercial service as one of the most likely examples,

```
IPv6 ISP router

|

| point-to-point link

|

User's Site router

|

----+---- User's Site Network
```

though it is needed a standardized way to delegate one or more IPv6 address prefix(es) from the IPv6 ISP to the User's site automatically, it is not identified clearly yet.

Therefore, this documents clarifies requirements for IPv6 address prefix delegation from the ISP to the site, especially from the (commercial) ISP point of view to boost IPv6 business quick as possible.

2. Requirements for prefix delegation management

Focusing commercial IPv6 ISP service, there are several kinds of category of requirements for the mechanism / protocol to delegate one or more IPv6 prefixes from ISP to a site.

- layer 2 consideration

The method should work on any layer 2 technologies. In other words, it should be layer 2 technology independent. Though, at the same time, it should be noted that now ISP would like to have a solution for Point-to-Point link which has own authentication mechanism first. PPP link with CHAP authentication is a good example. (Simulated) Ethernet and IEEE802.11 (wireless LAN) should be covered in near future, but they have low priority (just) for now. It should be clarified that the method should work with all L2 protocols either with authentication mechanism or without, but ISP would like to take advantage of a L2 protocol's authentication mechanism if it exits. - accounting

Shin Miyakawa

FORMFEED[Page 2]

It should provides accounting capability such as logging about by whom, when and what prefix(es) is used for the service with proper authentication techniques.

- kinds of prefixes

It should be able to delegate both statically and dynamically assigned prefix assignment by authenticated identification, depended by resources and/or any reasons.

- negotiation between ISP and site

ISP may deny the service, due to various reasons such as there is no contract or bad financial credit etc. Also ISP should be able to use one single technique to pass parameters of the prefix such as scope (global and/or site), prefix length (/48, /64 or any other length) and any other appropriate related information to the site. On the other hand, a site should be able to request multiple prefixes to the ISP. Also a site should be able to pass parameters of the prefix such as scope (global and/or site), prefix length (/48, /64 or any other length) other hand, a site should be able to pass parameters of the prefix such as scope (global and/or site), prefix length (/48, /64 or any other length), number of prefixes and so on to the ISP to negotiate.

3. References

[RFC2460]

Deering, 1998. S. Deering and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", <u>RFC2460</u> (December 1998).

Shin Miyakawa

FORMFEED[Page 3]

Acknowledgements

People in Internet Association of Japan have gave me a lot of good input. Team members of NTT Communications IPv6 project, especially Toshi Yamasaki and Yasuhiro Shirasaki, gave me quite useful suggestions for this document. Chairs of IETF IPv6 working group especially Bob Hinden who gave me a good suggestions before I submitted this draft. Also communications with other folks in the IPv6 community, such as WIDE/KAME project, IPv6 and DHCP teams in Cisco systems and so on have been quite helpful. Thanks a lot. Author's address

Shin Miyakawa, Ph.D Innovative IP Architecture Center, NTT Communications Corporation Tokyo, Japan Tel: +81-3-6800-3262 Fax: +81-3-5265-2990 Email: miyakawa@nttv6.jp