

Network Working Group T.
Mizrahi
Internet-Draft Huawei Network.IO Innovation
Lab
Intended status: Informational F.
Brockners
Expires: September 12, 2019 S.
Bhandari
R.
Sivakolundu
C.
Pignataro
Cisco
A.
Kfir
B.
Gafni Mellanox Technologies,
Inc.
M.
Spiegel Barefoot
Networks
T.
Zhou
Huawei
J.
Lemon
Broadcom
March 11,
2019

In Situ OAM Profiles
draft-mizrahi-ippm-ioam-profile-00

Abstract

In Situ Operations, Administration and Maintenance (IOAM) is used for monitoring network performance and for detecting traffic bottlenecks and anomalies. This is achieved by incorporating IOAM data into in-flight data packets. This document introduces the concept of use case-driven IOAM profiles. An IOAM profile defines a use case or a set of use cases for IOAM, and an associated set of rules that restrict the scope and features of the IOAM specification, thereby limiting it to a subset of the full functionality. The motivation for defining profiles is to limit the scope of IOAM features, allowing simpler implementation, verification, and interoperability testing in the context of specific use cases that do not require the full functionality of IOAM.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Mizrahi, et al.
1]

Expires September 12, 2019

[Page

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 12, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1](#). Introduction 3
- [2](#). Specifying an IOAM Profile 3
 - [2.1](#). Overview 3
 - [2.2](#). Use Cases 3
 - [2.3](#). IOAM Options 4
 - [2.4](#). IOAM Option Header Field Values 4
 - [2.5](#). Opaque State Snapshot 4
 - [2.6](#). Timestamp Format 4
- [3](#). IANA Considerations 4
- [4](#). Security Considerations 5
- [5](#). Normative References 5
- [Appendix A](#). An IOAM Profile Example 5
 - [A.1](#). Overview 5

[5](#) [A.2.](#) Use Cases

[6](#) [A.3.](#) IOAM Options

[6](#) [A.4.](#) IOAM Option Header Field Values

[6](#) [A.5.](#) Opaque State Snapshot

[6](#) [A.6.](#) Profile Coexistence

[6](#) [A.7.](#) Validity

[6](#) Authors' Addresses

1. Introduction

IOAM [[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)] is used for monitoring traffic in the network by incorporating IOAM data fields into in-flight data packets.

This document introduces the concept of use case driven IOAM profiles. The motivation for defining profiles is to limit the scope of IOAM features, allowing simpler implementation, verification, and interoperability testing in the context of specific use cases that do not require the full functionality of IOAM.

An IOAM profile defines a use case or a set of use cases for IOAM, and an associated set of rules that restrict the scope and features of the IOAM specification, thereby limiting it to a subset of the full functionality. Based on the guidelines in this document, future documents may define one or more IOAM profiles. The current document does not specify any IOAM profiles.

This document does not require any changes to the Data Fields for In-situ OAM [[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)]. Furthermore, it is expected that future IOAM profile specifications will not require changes to IOAM, since a profile, by definition, derives a subset of the existing functionality.

2. Specifying an IOAM Profile

2.1. Overview

A profile defines a set of rules that limit the scope or functionality of IOAM. By default, any detail in IOAM that is not specifically addressed or limited by the profile is as defined in IOAM [[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)]. The rest of this section presents a set of topics that may be addressed in a profile specification. A profile may include some or all of these topics, and optionally other topics.

2.2. Use Cases

An IOAM profile should define the use case(s) for using the profile. The use case may describe deployment scenarios or specific applications that make use of IOAM data. The use case should typically define the required functionality from IOAM. For example, an IOAM profile may be defined such that it requires transit delay monitoring, but does not require path tracing. These requirements

then affect which IOAM data fields are used in the profile.

Mizrahi, et al.
3]

Expires September 12, 2019

[Page

2.3. IOAM Options

IOAM data may be represented in one of four possible IOAM options: Pre-allocated Trace Option, Incremental Trace Option, Proof Of Transit (POT) Option, and Edge-to-Edge Option. An IOAM profile may specify a subset of allowed options. A profile may define some options as mandatory in the current profile, or some options as forbidden in the current profile. Moreover, in cases where IOAM defines several possible modes of operation, a profile may choose one of these modes of operation as the only allowed mode.

For each IOAM option, a profile specification may limit the scope of the profile to certain features. For example, a profile may be defined to use the Incremental Trace Option such that only specific data types are used in the profile, while others are not.

2.4. IOAM Option Header Field Values

An IOAM profile may define specific values or specific value range for some of the fields in the IOAM option headers. For example, a profile may define a specific value that is allowed to be used in the Flags field of the trace option header.

2.5. Opaque State Snapshot

The Opaque State Snapshot, as defined in [[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)], is a variable length field that may be used in IOAM trace options. The Opaque State Snapshot is defined in a flexible Type/Length/Value manner. An IOAM profile may define a specific format for the Opaque State Snapshot including for example a specific length and a specific interpretation of the opaque data. In this case, the IOAM profile ought to also specify a Schema ID value.

2.6. Timestamp Format

A profile may specify a specific timestamp format to be used in IOAM data fields.

3. IANA Considerations

This document does not include any requests from IANA.

[RFC-Editor Note: feel free to remove this Section.]

4. Security Considerations

The security considerations of IOAM in general are discussed in [[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)]. This document presents the concept of IOAM profiles; since an IOAM profile is a specific use case of IOAM, any security threat that is relevant to the profile is also relevant to the full-blown IOAM, as defined in [[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)]. Therefore, the current document does not present any new security considerations beyond [[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)].

Moreover, in some cases a profile may limit the set of features of IOAM in a way that reduces the set of potential threats compared to

a

full implementation of IOAM. In fact, a particular IOAM profile can optimize a particular security posture or requirement.

5. Normative References

[[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)]

Brockners, F., Bhandari, S., Pignataro, C., Gredler, H., Leddy, J., Youell, S., Mizrahi, T., Mozes, D., Lapukhov, P., Chang, R., daniel.bernier@bell.ca, d., and J. Lemon, "Data Fields for In-situ OAM", [draft-ietf-ippm-ioam-data-05](#) (work in progress), March 2019.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

Appendix A. An IOAM Profile Example

A.1. Overview

This section presents an example of an IOAM profile specification. The profile makes use of the Hop limit, Node ID and Transit delay data fields, and is thus called the HNT profile for short.

A.2. Use Cases

This profile is intended for path tracing and transit delay monitoring, while using compact data with just two data fields per packet. The profile can be useful in networks with a large number of hops.

A.3. IOAM Options

The HNT profile makes use of the Incremental Trace Option. A packet that includes IOAM data according to the current profile includes a single IOAM option - the Incremental Trace Option. Specifically, two data fields are used in this profile: the Hop_Lim and node_id field, and the transit delay field.

A.4. IOAM Option Header Field Values

The IOAM-Trace-Type field in the header of the Incremental Trace Option in this profile has a fixed value; Bit 0 (the most significant bit) and Bit 4 are set, while the rest of the bits are zero, indicating the two data fields that are used in the option.

A.5. Opaque State Snapshot

The opaque state snapshot is never used in this profile. Note that the NodeLen field, as defined in [[I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data](#)], represents the length of the data excluding the opaque state snapshot. Since this field is not used in the current profile, the NodeLen represents the actual length of the data.

A.6. Profile Coexistence

It is assumed that the current profile is used in a confined administrative domain in which no other IOAM profiles are used. Therefore, it is assumed that the current profile does not coexist with other profiles.

A.7. Validity

An IOAM transit/decapsulating node that receives a packet with IOAM options that do not comply to the current profile should forward/decapsulate the packet without IOAM processing, if it is able to do so. If a decapsulating node is not able to decapsulate an IOAM option that is not compliant to the current profile, the packet is discarded.

Authors' Addresses

Tal Mizrahi
Huawei Network.IO Innovation Lab
Israel

Email: tal.mizrahi.phd@gmail.com

Internet-Draft
2019

IOAM Profiles

March

Frank Brockners
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Hansaallee 249, 3rd Floor
DUESSELDORF, NORDRHEIN-WESTFALEN 40549
Germany

Email: fbrockne@cisco.com

Shwetha Bhandari
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Cessna Business Park, Sarjapura Marathalli Outer Ring Road
Bangalore, KARNATAKA 560 087
India

Email: shwethab@cisco.com

Ramesh Sivakolundu
Cisco Systems, Inc.
170 West Tasman Dr.
SAN JOSE, CA 95134
U.S.A.

Email: sramesh@cisco.com

Carlos Pignataro
Cisco Systems, Inc.
7200-11 Kit Creek Road
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
United States

Email: cpignata@cisco.com

Aviv Kfir
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.
350 Oakmead Parkway, Suite 100
Sunnyvale, CA 94085
U.S.A.

Email: avivk@mellanox.com

Internet-Draft
2019

IOAM Profiles

March

Barak Gafni
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.
350 Oakmead Parkway, Suite 100
Sunnyvale, CA 94085
U.S.A.

Email: gbarak@mellanox.com

Mickey Spiegel
Barefoot Networks
4750 Patrick Henry Drive
Santa Clara, CA 95054
US

Email: mspiegel@barefootnetworks.com

Tianran Zhou
Huawei
156 Beiqing Rd.
Beijing 100095
China

Email: zhoutianran@huawei.com

John Lemon
Broadcom
270 Innovation Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
US

Email: john.lemon@broadcom.com

