Extended Incident Handling Working Group

Internet-draft

Kathleen M. Moriarty MIT Lincoln Laboratory

Intended status: Standards Track

draft-moriarty-post-inch-rid-soap-02.txt

Brian H. Trammell CERT Network Situational Awareness

December 27, 2007

IODEF/RID over SOAP

Status of this Memo

Expires: June 27, 2008

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Abstract

Documents intended to be shared among multiple constituencies must share a common format and transport mechanism. The Incident Object Description Exchange Format (IODEF) defines a common XML format for document exchange. This draft outlines the SOAP wrapper for all IODEF documents and extensions to facilitate an interoperable and secure communication of documents. The SOAP wrapper allows for flexibility in the selection of a transport protocol. transport protocols will be provided through existing standards and SOAP binding, such as SOAP over HTTP/TLS and SOAP over BEEP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Status of this Memo	Ţ
Abstract	1
1. Terminology	<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> . SOAP Wrapper	<u>3</u>
3. Transport Protocol Bindings	<u>4</u> <u>4</u> <u>5</u>
4.4 Example InvestigationRequest Message	6 9 10 13 14 17
5.1 Privacy and Confidentiality	17 17 18
6. IANA Considerations	<u>18</u>
7. Summary	<u>18</u>
8.1 Normative References	18 18 19 19
Intellectual Property Statement	<u>20</u>
Full Copyright Statement	<u>20</u>
Sponsor Information	<u>21</u>

Expires: June 27, 2008

Internet-Draft

December 27, 2007

Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119.

1.1 Introduction

The Incident Object Description Exchange Format (IODEF) [RFCXXXX] describes an XML document format for the purpose of exchanging data between CSIRTS or those responsible for security incident handling for network providers (NPs). The defined document format provides an easy way for CSIRTS to exchange data in a way which can be easily parsed. In order for the IODEF documents to be shared between entities, a uniform method for transport is necessary. SOAP [2] will provide a layer of abstraction and enable the use of multiple transport protocol bindings. IODEF documents and extensions will be contained in an XML Real-time Inter-network Defense (RID) [RFCYYYY] envelope inside the body of a SOAP message. For some message types, the IODEF document or RID document may stand alone in the body of a SOAP message. The RIDPolicy class of RID (e.g., policy information that may affect message routing) will appear in the SOAP message header.

HTTP/TLS [RFC4346] has been selected as the REQUIRED SOAP binding for exchanging IODEF/RID messages. The primary reason for selecting HTTP/TLS is due to the existence of multiple successful implementations of SOAP over HTTP/TLS, and to its being widely understood, despite the additional overhead associated with this combination. Excellent tool support exists to ease the development of applications using SOAP over HTTP. BEEP may actually be better suited as a transport for RID messages containing IODEF documents, but does not yet have wide adoption. Standards exist for the HTTPS

or HTTP/TLS binding for SOAP. A standard is in development for SOAP over BEEP, [RFC4227]. Standards MUST be followed when implementing transport bindings for RID communications.

2. SOAP Wrapper

IODEF/RID documents, including all supported extensions, intended to be shared between CSIRTS or NPs MUST use a SOAP wrapper, along with a supported transport protocol binding, for transport. The transport is independent of the wrapper. SOAP will be used to provide the messaging framework and can make distinctions as to how messages should be handled by each participating system. SOAP has been selected because of the flexibility it provides for binding with transport protocols, which can be independent of the IODEF/RID messaging system.

As defined by the SOAP messaging specifications [3], the appropriate message contents for the RID message type, as defined in RID, will be in the SOAP body of the message. The SOAP header

Moriarty & Trammell

Expires: June 27, 2008

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

December 27, 2007

contains information pertinent to all participating systems that receive the message, including the ultimate destination, any intermediate hosts, and message processing policy information and is provided through RIDPolicy in the RID schema. Depending on the message or document being transported, there may be a case, such as with RID messages, in which a host may only need to view the SOAP header and not the SOAP body and is, therefore, acting as a SOAP intermediary node. An example of this would be if one RID system was sending a communication to a RID system for which there was no direct trust relationship, an intermediate RID system may be used to provide the trusted path between the communicating systems. This intermediate system may not need to see the contents of the SOAP body. Therefore, the elements or classes needed by all participating systems MUST be in the SOAP header, specifically the RIDPolicy class. Each participating system receiving an incident handling IODEF/RID document is an ultimate destination and has to parse and view the entire IODEF/RID document to make necessary decisions.

The SOAP specifications for intermediate and ultimate nodes MUST be Followed; for example, a message destined for an intermediate node would contain the attribute env:role with the value http://www.w3c.org/2003/05/soap-envelope/role/next. Also in

accordance with the SOAP specifications, the attribute of env:mustUnderstand has a value of "true" to ensure each node processes the header blocks consistent with the specifications for IODEF/RID.

SOAP messages are typically a one-way conversation. Transmittal of incident information to another RID host in the form of a Report message is the single case within RID where a one way communication is specified. The arrival of an IODEF Report document in a RID message is simply an assertion that a described incident occurred. In the case of other RID message types, two or more SOAP messages may be exchanged to enable bi-directional communication. Request/response pairs defined by RID include:

TraceRequest/TraceAuthorization/Result, Investigation/Result, and IncidentQuery/Report.

3. Transport Protocol Bindings

The SOAP binding will be used for message transport. One agreed-upon protocol, HTTP/TLS, MUST be implemented by all RID systems and other protocols are optional. The use of a single transport binding supported by all systems sending and receiving RID messages and will enable interoperability between participating CSIRTS and NPs. Other protocol bindings may be defined in separate documents.

3.1 SOAP over HTTP/TLS

SOAP over HTTP/TLS is widely supported, as are ancillary tools such

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008 [Page 4]

Internet-Draft December 27, 2007

as the Web Services Description Language (WSDL), hence the selection of SOAP over HTTP/1.1 over TLS as Mandatory for transport of RID communications. Security is provided through the RID specification and all REQUIRED RID security MUST be implemented. TLS offers additional security at the transport layer to ensure the integrity of the session.

BCP 56 [RFC3205] contains a number of important considerations when using HTTP for application protocols. These include the size of the payload for the application, whether the application will use a web browser, whether the protocol should be defined on a port other than 80, and if the security provided through HTTP/TLS suits the needs of the new application.

It is acknowledged within the scope of these concerns that HTTP/TLS is not ideally suited for IODEF/RID transport, as the former is a client-server protocol and the latter a message-exchange protocol; however, the ease of implementation for services based on SOAP over HTTP outweighs these concerns. Consistent with BCP 56, IODEF/RID over SOAP over HTTP/TLS will require its own TCP port assignment from IANA.

Every RID system participating in a consortium MUST listen for HTTP/TLS connections on the assigned port, as the requests and responses in a RID message exchange transaction may be significantly separated in time. If a RID message is answered immediately, or within a generally accepted HTTP client timeout (about thirty seconds), the listening system SHOULD return the reply message in the HTTP response body; otherwise, it must initiate a connection to the requesting system and send its reply in an HTTP request.

If the HTTP response body sent in reply to a RID message does not contain a RID message itself, the response body SHOULD be empty, and RID clients MUST ignore any response body that is not an expected RID message. This provision applies ONLY to HTTP response bodies; unsolicited HTTP requests (such as Reports not preceded by an IncidentQuery) are handled according to the message exchange pattern described in RID.

RID systems SHOULD use HTTP/1.1 persistent connections as described in [RFC2616] to minimize TCP connection setup overhead. RID systems SHOULD support chunked transfer encoding on the HTTP server side to allow the implementation of clients that do not need to precalculate message sizes before constructing HTTP headers.

3.2 SOAP over BEEP

SOAP over BEEP is an optional transport binding for IODEF/RID. A RID system supporting BEEP [RFC3080] MAY attempt to use SOAP over BEEP on first connection with a peer; if the peer does not support SOAP over BEEP, the initiating peer MUST fall back to SOAP over

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008 [Page 5]

Internet-Draft

December 27, 2007

HTTPS, and SHOULD note that the peer does not support BEEP, to avoid attempting to use BEEP in future communications.

BEEP has certain implementation advantages over HTTP/TLS for this application; however, the protocol has not been widely implemented. Communication between participating RID systems is on a server-to-server basis, for which BEEP is better suited than HTTP. Incident handling may at times require immediate action; thus, a protocol with low overhead and minimum bandwidth requirements is desirable.

To provide equivalent transport-layer security to HTTP/TLS, the BEEP TLS profile MUST be supported if BEEP is implemented and SHOULD be used.

4. Examples

The examples below, parallel to the examples in section 4.5 of RID, demonstrate how the structure of RID messages fit into SOAP containers as outlined in this document for each message type. Of particular note in each is the use of the RID policy information in the SOAP header. The RID schema was designed to enable the use of RIDPolicy to stand alone in the SOAP header and to enable the use of the RID class, RequestStatus to stand alone in the SOAP body without the need for an IODEF document. When the RID class called IncidentSource is used, it is combined with an associated IODEF document in the SOAP body to provide all of the necessary information in response to an incident handling request. example includes the full IODEF/RID message and associated IODEF-Document; following examples omit the IODEF-Document and Refer to it in a comment. Where indicated, the IODEF-Document must be present, following the requirements listed in the IODEF and RID specifications.

Note: for each example listed below, [RFC3330] addresses were used. Assume each IP address listed is actually a separate network range held by different NPs. Addresses were used from /27 network ranges.

4.1. Example TraceRequest message

This TraceRequest is identical to the TraceRequest example in Section 4.5.1.1 of RID and would be sent from a CSIRT reporting a denial-of-service attack in progress to its upstream NP. This request asks the upstream to continue the trace and to rate-limit traffic closer to the source.

Internet-Draft

```
MsgDestination="RIDSystem">
      <iodef-rid:PolicyRegion region="IntraConsortium"/>
      <iodef:Node>
        <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.3</iodef:Address>
      </iodef:Node>
      <iodef-rid:TrafficType type="Attack"/>
      <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
        CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#207-1
      </iodef:IncidentID>
    </iodef-rid:RIDPolicy>
  </iodef-rid:RID>
</SOAP-ENV:Header>
<SOAP-ENV:Body>
  <iodef:IODEF-Document version="1.00"</pre>
         xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
    <iodef:Incident restriction="need-to-know" purpose="traceback">
      <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
        CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#207-1
    </iodef:IncidentID>
    <iodef:DetectTime>2004-02-02T22:49:24+00:00</iodef:DetectTime>
    <iodef:StartTime>2004-02-02T22:19:24+00:00</iodef:StartTime>
    <iodef:ReportTime>2004-02-02T23:20:24+00:00</iodef:ReportTime>
    <iodef:Description>Host involved in DOS attack</iodef:Description>
    <iodef:Assessment>
    <iodef:Impact severity="low" completion="failed" type="dos"/>
    </iodef:Assessment>
    <iodef:Contact role="creator" type="organization">
        <iodef:ContactName>Constituency-contact for 192.0.2.35
        </iodef:ContactName>
        <iodef:Email>Constituency-contact@192.0.2.35</iodef:Email>
    </iodef:Contact>
      <iodef:EventData>
        <iodef:Flow>
         <iodef:System category="source">
           <iodef:Node>
             <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.35
              </iodef:Address>
           </iodef:Node>
           <iodef:Service>
             <iodef:port>38765</iodef:port>
           </iodef:Service>
         </iodef:System>
          <iodef:System category="target">
```

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008 [Page 7]

Internet-Draft

```
<iodef:Expectation severity="high" action="rate-limit-host">
          <iodef:Description>
            Rate limit traffic close to source
          </iodef:Description>
        </iodef:Expectation>
        <iodef:Record>
          <iodef:RecordData>
            <iodef:Description>
            The IPv4 packet included was used in the described attack
            </iodef:Description>
            <iodef:RecordItem dtype="ipv4-packet">450000522ad9
              0000ff06c41fc0a801020a010102976d0050103e020810d9
              4a1350021000ad6700005468616e6b20796f7520666f7220
              6361726566756c6c792072656164696e6720746869732052
              46432e0a
            </iodef:RecordItem>
          </iodef:RecordData>
        </iodef:Record>
      </iodef:EventData>
      <iodef:History>
        <iodef:HistoryItem>
          <iodef:DateTime>2001-09-14T08:19:01+00:00</iodef:DateTime>
          <iodef:IncidentID name="CSIRT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
            CSIRT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#207-1
          </iodef:IncidentID>
          <iodef:Description>
            Notification sent to next upstream NP closer to 192.0.2.35
          </iodef:Description>
        </iodef:HistoryItem>
      </iodef:History>
    </iodef:Incident>
  </iodef:IODEF-Document>
</SOAP-ENV:Body>
```

```
</SOAP-ENV:Envelope>
<!-- Digital signature accompanied by above RID and IODEF -->
<Envelope xmlns="urn:envelope"</pre>
          xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0"
          xmlns:iodef-rid="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-rid-1.0">
  <iodef:IODEF-Document>
    <iodef:Incident>
      <iodef:EventData>
        <iodef:Record>
          <iodef:RecordData>
            <iodef:RecordItem type="ipv4-packet">450000522ad9
             0000ff06c41fc0a801020a010102976d0050103e020810d9
             4a1350021000ad6700005468616e6b20796f7520666f7220
             6361726566756c6c792072656164696e6720746869732052
             46432e0a
            </iodef:RecordItem>
          </iodef:RecordData>
        </iodef:Record>
      </iodef:EventData>
                                                                  [Page 8]
Moriarty & Trammell
                         Expires: June 27, 2008
```

Internet-Draft December 27, 2007

```
</iodef:Incident>
</iodef:IODEF-Document>
<Signature xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
  <SignedInfo>
   <CanonicalizationMethod
    Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-
               20010315#WithComments"/>
   <SignatureMethod
    Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#dsa-sha1"/>
   <Reference URI="">
      <Transforms>
        <Transform Algorithm=</pre>
         "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-signature"/>
      </Transforms>
      <DigestMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
      <DigestValue>KiI5+6SnFAs429VNwsoJjHPplmo=
   </Reference>
  </SignedInfo>
  <SignatureValue>
   VvyXqCzjoW0m2NdxNeToXQcqcSM80W+JMW+Kn01cS3z3KQwCPeswzg==
  </SignatureValue>
  <KeyInfo>
```

```
<KeyValue>
        <DSAKeyValue>
          <P>/KaCzo4Syrom78z3EQ5SbbB4sF7ey80etKII864WF64B81uRpH5t9j
             QTxeEu0ImbzRMgzVDZkVG9xD7nN1kuFw==</P>
          <Q>li7dzDacuo67Jg7mtqEm2TRuOMU=</Q>
          <G>Z4Rxsnqc9E7pGknFFH2xqaryRPBaQ01khpMdLRQnG541Awtx/XPaF5
             Bpsy4pNWMOHCBiNU0NogpsQW5QvnlMpA==</G>
          <Y>VFWTD4I/aKni4YhDyYxAJozmj1iAzPLw9Wwd5B+Z9J5E7lHjcAJ+bs
             HifTyYdnj+roGzy4o09YntYD8zneQ7lw==</Y>
        </DSAKeyValue>
      </KeyValue>
    </KeyInfo>
  </Signature>
</Envelope>
4.2 RequestAuthorization Message Example
    This RequestAuthorization is identical to the RequestAuthorization
    example in section 4.5.1.2 of the RID specification and Is
    sent in response to the TraceRequest to approve the request.
<SOAP-ENV: Envelope
   xmlns:SOAP-ENV="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
 <SOAP-ENV:Header>
  <iodef-rid:RID xmlns:iodef-rid="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-rid-1.0"</pre>
                   xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
     <iodef-rid:RIDPolicy MsgType="RequestAuthorization"</pre>
                           MsgDestination="RIDSystem">
        <iodef-rid:PolicyRegion region="IntraConsortium"/>
Moriarty & Trammell
                         Expires: June 27, 2008
                                                                  [Page 9]
Internet-Draft
                                                        December 27, 2007
        <iodef:Node>
          <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.67</iodef:Address>
        </iodef:Node>
        <iodef-rid:TrafficType type="Attack"/>
        <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
          CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#207-1
        </iodef:IncidentID>
      </iodef-rid:RIDPolicy>
      <iodef-rid:RequestStatus AuthorizationStatus="Approved"/>
    </iodef-rid:RID>
  </SOAP-ENV:Header>
</SOAP-ENV:Envelope>
```

4.3 Result Message Example

This Result message is identical to the Result example in <u>section</u> <u>4.5.1.3</u> of the RID. This message is the final response from the TraceRequest that has completed to notify the requestor of the results and actions taken from the request.

```
<SOAP-ENV: Envelope
   xmlns:SOAP-ENV="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
 <SOAP-ENV:Header>
 <iodef-rid:RID xmlns:iodef-rid="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-rid-1.0"</pre>
                   xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
      <iodef-rid:RIDPolicy MsgType="Result"</pre>
                           MsgDestination="RIDSystem">
        <iodef-rid:PolicyRegion region="IntraConsortium"/>
        <iodef:Node>
          <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.67</iodef:Address>
        </iodef:Node>
        <iodef-rid:TrafficType type="Attack"/>
        <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
          CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#207-1
        </iodef:IncidentID>
      </iodef-rid:RIDPolicy>
      <iodef-rid:IncidentSource>
        <iodef-rid:SourceFound>true</iodef-rid:SourceFound>
          <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.37</iodef:Address>
        </iodef:Node>
      </iodef-rid:IncidentSource>
    </iodef-rid:RID>
 </SOAP-ENV:Header>
  <SOAP-ENV:Body>
    <iodef:IODEF-Document version="1.00"</pre>
           xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
      <iodef:Incident restriction="need-to-know" purpose="traceback">
        <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
          CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#207-1
        </iodef:IncidentID>
        <iodef:DetectTime>2004-02-02T22:49:24+00:00</iodef:DetectTime>
```

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008 [Page 10]

Internet-Draft

```
<iodef:StartTime>2004-02-02T22:19:24+00:00</iodef:StartTime>
<iodef:ReportTime>2004-02-02T23:20:24+00:00</iodef:ReportTime>
<iodef:Description>Host involved in DOS attack
```

```
</iodef:Description>
 <iodef:Assessment>
   <iodef:Impact severity="low" completion="failed" type="dos"/>
 </iodef:Assessment>
 <iodef:Contact role="creator" type="organization">
   <iodef:ContactName>Constituency-contact for 192.0.2.35
</iodef:ContactName>
   <iodef:Email>Constituency-contact@192.0.2.35</iodef:Email>
 </iodef:Contact>
 <iodef:EventData>
  <iodef:Contact role="admin" type="organization">
     <iodef:ContactName>Admin-contact for 192.0.2.35
     </iodef:ContactName>
     <iodef:Email>Admin-contact@192.0.2.35</iodef:Email>
   </iodef:Contact>
   <iodef:Flow>
     <iodef:System category="intermediate">
       <iodef:Node>
         <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.35
   </iodef:Address>
       </iodef:Node>
     </iodef:System>
   </iodef:Flow>
   <iodef:EventData>
     <iodef:Contact role="admin" type="organization">
       <iodef:ContactName>Admin-contact for 192.0.2.3
       </iodef:ContactName>
       <iodef:Email>Admin-contact@192.0.2.3</iodef:Email>
     </iodef:Contact>
     <iodef:Flow>
       <iodef:System category="intermediate">
         <iodef:Node>
           <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.3
             </iodef:Address>
         </iodef:Node>
       </iodef:System>
     </iodef:Flow>
   </iodef:EventData>
 </iodef:EventData>
 <iodef:EventData>
   <iodef:Flow>
     <iodef:System category="source">
       <iodef:Node>
         <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.35
           </iodef:Address>
       </iodef:Node>
       <iodef:Service>
         <iodef:port>38765</iodef:port>
       </iodef:Service>
```

Internet-Draft

```
</iodef:System>
    <iodef:System category="target">
      <iodef:Node>
        <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.67
          </iodef:Address>
      </iodef:Node>
      <iodef:Service>
        <iodef:port>80</iodef:port>
      </iodef:Service>
    </iodef:System>
  </iodef:Flow>
  <iodef:Expectation severity="high" action="rate-limit-host">
    <iodef:Description>
      Rate limit traffic close to source
    </iodef:Description>
  </iodef:Expectation>
  <iodef:Record>
    <iodef:RecordData>
      <iodef:Description>
      The IPv4 packet included was used in the described attack
      </iodef:Description>
      <iodef:RecordItem dtype="ipv4-packet">450000522ad9
        0000ff06c41fc0a801020a010102976d0050103e020810d9
        4a1350021000ad6700005468616e6b20796f7520666f7220
        6361726566756c6c792072656164696e6720746869732052
        46432e0a
      </iodef:RecordItem>
    </iodef:RecordData>
  </iodef:Record>
</iodef:EventData>
<iodef:History>
 <iodef:HistoryItem>
    <iodef:DateTime>2004-02-02T22:53:01+00:00</iodef:DateTime>
    <iodef:IncidentID name="CSIRT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
      CSIRT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#207-1
    </iodef:IncidentID>
    <iodef:Description>
      Notification sent to next upstream NP closer to 192.0.2.35
    </iodef:Description>
  </iodef:HistoryItem>
  <iodef:HistoryItem action="rate-limit-host">
    <iodef:DateTime>2004-02-02T23:07:21+00:00</iodef:DateTime>
    <iodef:IncidentID name="CSIRT-FOR-NP3">
```

```
CSIRT-FOR-NP3#3291-1
            </iodef:IncidentID>
            <iodef:Description>
              Host rate limited for 24 hours
            </iodef:Description>
          </iodef:HistoryItem>
        </iodef:History>
      </iodef:Incident>
    </iodef:IODEF-Document>
Moriarty & Trammell
                         Expires: June 27, 2008
                                                                 [Page 12]
Internet-Draft
                                                         December 27, 2007
  </SOAP-ENV:Body>
</SOAP-ENV:Envelope>
4.4 Example InvestigationRequest Message
    This InvestigationRequest is identical to the InvestigationRequest
    example in section 4.5.2.1 of the RID specification and would be
    sent in a situation similar to that of example 4.1, when the source
    of the attack is known.
<SOAP-ENV:Envelope
   xmlns:SOAP-ENV="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
 <SOAP-ENV:Header>
  <iodef-rid:RID xmlns:iodef-rid="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-rid-1.0"</pre>
                   xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
      <iodef-rid:RIDPolicy MsgType="Investigation"</pre>
                            MsgDestination="SourceOfIncident">
        <iodef-rid:PolicyRegion region="PeerToPeer"/>
        <iodef:Node>
          <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.98</iodef:Address>
        </iodef:Node>
        <iodef-rid:TrafficType type="Attack"/>
        <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
          CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#208-1
        </iodef:IncidentID>
      </iodef-rid:RIDPolicy>
    </iodef-rid:RID>
  </SOAP-ENV:Header>
  <SOAP-ENV:Body>
    <iodef:IODEF-Document version="1.00"</pre>
           xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
      <iodef:Incident restriction="need-to-know" purpose="other">
        <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
```

```
CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#208-1
 </iodef:IncidentID>
 <iodef:DetectTime>2004-02-05T08:13:33+00:00</iodef:DetectTime>
 <iodef:StartTime>2004-02-05T08:13:31+00:00</iodef:StartTime>
 <iodef:EndTime>2004-02-05T08:13:33+00:00</iodef:EndTime>
 <iodef:ReportTime>2004-02-05T08:13:35+00:00</iodef:ReportTime>
 <iodef:Description>Host involved in DOS attack
   </iodef:Description>
 <iodef:Assessment>
  <iodef:Impact severity="low" completion="failed" type="recon"/>
 </iodef:Assessment>
 <iodef:Contact role="creator" type="organization">
   <iodef:ContactName>Constituency-contact for 192.0.2.35
</iodef:ContactName>
   <iodef:Email>Constituency-contact@192.0.2.35</iodef:Email>
 </iodef:Contact>
 <iodef:EventData>
   <iodef:Flow>
     <iodef:System category="source">
```

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008

[Page 13]

Internet-Draft

```
<iodef:Node>
      <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.35
        </iodef:Address>
    </iodef:Node>
    <iodef:Service>
      <iodef:port>41421</iodef:port>
    </iodef:Service>
  </iodef:System>
  <iodef:System category="target">
    <iodef:Node>
      <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.67
        </iodef:Address>
    </iodef:Node>
    <iodef:Service>
      <iodef:port>80</iodef:port>
    </iodef:Service>
 </iodef:System>
</iodef:Flow>
<iodef:Expectation severity="high" action="investigate">
 <iodef:Description>
    Investigate whether source has been compromised
  </iodef:Description>
</iodef:Expectation>
```

```
</iodef:EventData>
        <iodef:History>
          <iodef:HistoryItem>
            <iodef:DateTime>2004-02-05T08:19:01+00:00</iodef:DateTime>
            <iodef:IncidentID name="CSIRT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
              CSIRT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#208-1
            </iodef:IncidentID>
            <iodef:Description>
              Investigation request sent to NP for 192.0.2.35
            </iodef:Description>
          </iodef:HistoryItem>
        </iodef:History>
      </iodef:Incident>
    </iodef:IODEF-Document>
  </SOAP-ENV:Body>
</SOAP-ENV:Envelope>
4.5 Example Report
    This Report is identical to the Report example in section 4.5.3.1
    of the RID specification.
<SOAP-ENV: Envelope
   xmlns:SOAP-ENV="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
 <SOAP-ENV:Header>
  <iodef-rid:RID xmlns:iodef-rid="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-rid-1.0"</pre>
                   xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
      <iodef-rid:RIDPolicy MsgType="Report" MsgDestination="RIDSystem">
        <iodef-rid:PolicyRegion region="PeerToPeer"/>
Moriarty & Trammell
                         Expires: June 27, 2008
                                                                 [Page 14]
Internet-Draft
                                                        December 27, 2007
        <iodef:Node>
          <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.3</iodef:Address>
        </iodef:Node>
        <iodef-rid:TrafficType type="Attack"/>
        <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
          CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#209-1
        </iodef:IncidentID>
      </iodef-rid:RIDPolicy>
    </iodef-rid:RID>
  </SOAP-ENV:Header>
  <SOAP-ENV:Body>
    <iodef:IODEF-Document version="1.00"</pre>
           xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
```

```
<iodef:Incident restriction="need-to-know" purpose="reporting">
  <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
    CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#209-1
  </iodef:IncidentID>
  <iodef:DetectTime>2004-02-05T10:21:08+00:00</iodef:DetectTime>
  <iodef:StartTime>2004-02-05T10:21:05+00:00</iodef:StartTime>
  <iodef:EndTime>2004-02-05T10:35:00+00:00</iodef:EndTime>
  <iodef:ReportTime>2004-02-05T10:27:38+00:00</iodef:ReportTime>
  <iodef:Description>Host illicitly accessed admin account
  </iodef:Description>
  <iodef:Assessment>
    <iodef:Impact severity="high" completion="succeeded"</pre>
           type="admin"/>
    <iodef:Confidence rating="high"/>
  </iodef:Assessment>
  <iodef:Contact role="creator" type="organization">
    <iodef:ContactName>Constituency-contact for 192.0.2.35
 </iodef:ContactName>
    <iodef:Email>Constituency-contact@192.0.2.35</iodef:Email>
  </iodef:Contact>
  <iodef:EventData>
    <iodef:Flow>
      <iodef:System category="source">
        <iodef:Node>
          <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.35
            </iodef:Address>
        </iodef:Node>
        <iodef:Service>
          <iodef:port>32821</iodef:port>
        </iodef:Service>
      </iodef:System>
      <iodef:System category="target">
        <iodef:Node>
          <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.67
            </iodef:Address>
        </iodef:Node>
        <iodef:Service>
          <iodef:port>22</iodef:port>
        </iodef:Service>
```

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008 [Page 15]

Internet-Draft December 27, 2007

```
</iodef:System>
</iodef:Flow>
</iodef:EventData>
```

Internet-Draft

December 27, 2007

4.6 Example IncidentQuery

This IncidentQuery is identical to the IncidentQuery example in <u>Section 4.5.4.1</u> of the RID specification.

```
<SOAP-ENV: Envelope
   xmlns:SOAP-ENV="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
<SOAP-ENV:Header>
  <iodef-rid:RID xmlns:iodef-rid="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-rid-1.0"</pre>
                   xmlns:iodef="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:iodef-1.0">
      <iodef-rid:RIDPolicy MsgType="IncidentQuery"</pre>
                           MsgDestination="RIDSystem">
        <iodef-rid:PolicyRegion region="PeerToPeer"/>
        <iodef:Node>
          <iodef:Address category="ipv4-addr">192.0.2.3</iodef:Address>
        </iodef:Node>
        <iodef-rid:TrafficType type="Attack"/>
        <iodef:IncidentID name="CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN">
          CERT-FOR-OUR-DOMAIN#210-1
        </iodef:IncidentID>
      </iodef-rid:RIDPolicy>
    </iodef-rid:RID>
</SOAP-ENV:Header>
</SOAP-ENV:Envelope>
```

5. Security Considerations

All security considerations of related documents MUST be considered, including those in the following documents: the Incident Object Description Exchange (IODEF), [RFCXXXX] and Real-time Inter-network Defense (RID) [RFCYYYY]. The SOAP wrappers described herein are built upon the foundation of these documents; the security considerations contained therein are incorporated by reference.

Security guidelines such as those described in, "Security in a Web Services World: A Proposed Architecture and Roadmap", [1] should be considered.

<u>5.1</u> Privacy and Confidentiality

For transport confidentiality, TLS (whether HTTP/TLS or the BEEP

TLS profile) MUST be supported and SHOULD be used.

Since multiple bindings for transport may be implemented, RID implementations MUST support XML encryption [4] to encrypt the SOAP body. Since XML encryption is performed at the IODEF document level, not only is the transport encrypted when a document is sensitive or contains sensitive elements, but the stored document is also encrypted. Note that this encryption applies only to the SOAP body; policy information in the SOAP header should remain

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008 [Page 17]

Internet-Draft December 27, 2007

unencrypted if it is necessary for the intermediate node to dispatch the message. XML encryption protects the IODEF/RID document in the SOAP body from being viewed by any involved SOAP intermediary node.

5.2 Authentication and Identification

For transport authentication and identification, TLS (whether HTTP/TLS or the BEEP TLS profile) MUST be supported and SHOULD be used. Each RID consortium SHOULD use a trusted public key infrastructure (PKI) to manage identities for TLS connections. The public/private key pairs used for XML encryption and digital signatures provide authentication for the RID message. The session encryption keys are also used to identify the communicating hosts and provide integrity for the session.

Since multiple bindings for transport may be implemented, RID implementations MUST support XML digital signatures [5] to sign the SOAP body; the rationale and implementation here is parallel to that for XML encryption discussed in section 5.1.

6. IANA Considerations

The IANA is requested to assign TCP ports for RID over SOAP over HTTPS and for RID over SOAP over BEEP.

7. Summary

SOAP provides the wrapper to facilitate the exchange of RID messages. The IETF and W3C standards provide detailed implementation details for SOAP and SOAP protocol bindings. The use of existing standards assists with development and interoperability between RID systems exchanging IODEF documents for

incident handling purposes. HTTP/TLS is the mandatory transport binding for SOAP to be implemented and BEEP with a TLS profile is optional.

8. Informative References

[RFC3330] "Special-Use IPv4 Addresses." IANA. September 2002.

[1] "Security in a Web Services World: A Proposed Architecture and Roadmap". IBM and Microsoft, April 2002. http://www-106.ibm.com/developerworks/webservices/library/ws-secmap

8.1 Normative References

[RFC2119] "Key Words for Use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," S. Bradner, March 1997.

[RFC2616] "Hypertext Transfer Protocol - HTTP/1.1," R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Masinter, P. Leach, and T. Berners-Lee, June

Moriarty & Trammell

Expires: June 27, 2008

[Page 18]

Internet-Draft

December 27, 2007

1999.

[RFC3080] "The Blocks Extensible Exchange Protocol Core," M. Rose. March 2001.

[RFC3205] "On the Use of HTTP as a Substrate," K. Moore, February 2002. (BCP56)

[RFC4227] "Using the Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) in Blocks Extensible Exchange Protocol (BEEP)," E. O'Tuathail, and M. Rose, January 2006. http://www.faqs.org/rfcs/rfc4227.html

[RFC4346] "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1," T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. April 2006.

[RFCXXXX] "The Incident Object Data Exchange Format Data Model and XML Implementation," J. Meijer, R. Danyliw, and Y. Demchenko, August 2006.

http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-inch-iodef-14.txt

[RFCYYYY] "Real-time Inter-network Defense," K. Moriarty, December 2007. http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/ draft-moriarty-post-inch-rid-02.txt

- [2] SOAP Version 1.2 Part 0: Primer, W3C Recommendation, http://www.w3c.org/TR/REC-soap12-part0-20030624/, 24 June 2004.
- [3] SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1:Messaging Framework. W3C Recommendation, 24 June 2004. http://www.w3c.org/TR/REC-soap12-part1-20030624/
- [4] XML Encryption Syntax and Processing, W3C Recommendation. T. Imamura, B. Dillaway, and E. Simon, December 2002.
- [5] XML-Signature Syntax and Processing, W3C Recommendation,
 M. Bartel, J. Boyer, B. Fox, B. LaMacchia, and E. Simon, February
 2002. http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/#sec-Design

8.2 Acknowledgments

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

8.3 Author Information

Kathleen M. Moriarty
MIT Lincoln Laboratory
244 Wood Street
Lexington, MA 02420
Email: Moriarty@ll.mit.edu

Brian H. Trammell

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008 [Page 19]

Internet-Draft December 27, 2007

CERT Network Situational Awareness 4500 Fifth Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Email: bht@cert.org

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights.

Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in $\underline{BCP 78}$ and $\underline{BCP 79}$.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

The IETF has been notified of intellectual property rights claimed in regard to some or all of the specification contained in this document. For more information consult the online list of claimed rights.

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Moriarty & Trammell Expires: June 27, 2008 [Page 20]

Internet-Draft December 27, 2007

Sponsor Information

This work was sponsored by the Air Force under Air Force

Contract FA8721-05-C-0002.

"Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the United States Government."