

HIP  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: March 18, 2020

R. Moskowitz  
HTT Consulting  
S. Card  
A. Wiethuechter  
AX Enterprize  
September 15, 2019

**New Cryptographic Algorithms for HIP**  
**draft-moskowitz-hip-new-crypto-00**

Abstract

This document provides new cryptographic algorithms to be used with HIP. The Edwards Elliptic Curve and the Keccak sponge functions are the main focus. The HIP parameters and processing instructions impacted by these algorithms are defined.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 18, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4.e](#) of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#) . . . . . [2](#)
- [2. Terms and Definitions](#) . . . . . [3](#)
  - [2.1. Requirements Terminology](#) . . . . . [3](#)
  - [2.2. Definitions](#) . . . . . [3](#)
- [3. HIP Parameter values for new Crypto](#) . . . . . [4](#)
  - [3.1. Elliptic Curves for Diffie-Hellman](#) . . . . . [4](#)
    - [3.1.1. DIFFIE\\_HELLMAN](#) . . . . . [4](#)
  - [3.2. Edward Digital Signature Algorithm](#) . . . . . [4](#)
    - [3.2.1. HOST\\_ID](#) . . . . . [5](#)
    - [3.2.2. HIT\\_SUITE\\_LIST](#) . . . . . [5](#)
  - [3.3. Hashing with the Keccak Function](#) . . . . . [6](#)
    - [3.3.1. The Keccak Permutation](#) . . . . . [6](#)
    - [3.3.2. RHASH](#) . . . . . [7](#)
    - [3.3.3. HIP\\_MAC and HIP\\_MAC2](#) . . . . . [7](#)
  - [3.4. HIP Cipher](#) . . . . . [7](#)
    - [3.4.1. HIP\\_CIPHER](#) . . . . . [7](#)
- [4. Generating a HIT from an HI](#) . . . . . [8](#)
- [5. HIP KEYMAT Generation](#) . . . . . [8](#)
- [6. Using Keccak for a Pseudorandom Function](#) . . . . . [8](#)
- [7. IANA Considerations](#) . . . . . [9](#)
- [8. Security Considerations](#) . . . . . [9](#)
  - [8.1. Keymat vulnerabilities](#) . . . . . [9](#)
- [9. Acknowledgments](#) . . . . . [9](#)
- [10. References](#) . . . . . [9](#)
  - [10.1. Normative References](#) . . . . . [9](#)
  - [10.2. Informative References](#) . . . . . [10](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#) . . . . . [11](#)

**1. Introduction**

This document adds new cryptographic algorithms for HIPv2 [[RFC7401](#)]. This includes:

- o New elliptic curves for ECDH.
- o The Edwards Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA) used in Host Identities (HI) and for Base Exchange (BEX) signatures.
- o Hashes used in Host Identity Tag (HIT) generation, and wherever else hashes are needed.



- o Keyed hashes used for KEYMAT generation and packet MACing operations.
- o AEAD and stream ciphers to use in HIP and HIP enabled secure communication protocols.

The hashes and encryption are all built on the Keccak [[Keccak](#)] sponge function.

These additions reflect selection of advances in the field of cryptography that would best benefit HIP, particularly in constrained devices and communications.

## **2. Terms and Definitions**

### **2.1. Requirements Terminology**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

### **2.2. Definitions**

Keccak (KECCAK Message Authentication Code): The family of all sponge functions with a KECCAK-f permutation as the underlying function and multi-rate padding as the padding rule.

KMAC (KECCAK Message Authentication Code): A PRF and keyed hash function based on KECCAK.

cSHAKE (The customizable SHAKE function): Extends the SHAKE scheme to allow users to customize their use of the function.

SHAKE (Secure Hash Algorithm KECCAK): A secure hash that allows for an arbitrary output length.

PRF (Pseudorandom Function): A function that can be used to generate output from a random seed such that the output is computationally indistinguishable from truly random output.

capacity: In the sponge construction, the width of the underlying function minus the rate.

rate: In the sponge construction, the number of input bits processed per invocation of the underlying function.



XOF (eXtendable-Output Function): A function on bit strings (also called messages) in which the output can be extended to any desired length.

### 3. HIP Parameter values for new Crypto

HIP parameters carry information that is necessary for establishing and maintaining a HIP association. For example, the device's public keys as well as the signaling for negotiating ciphers and payload handling are encapsulated in HIP parameters. Additional information, meaningful for end hosts or middleboxes, may also be included in HIP parameters. The specification of the HIP parameters and their mapping to HIP packets and packet types is flexible to allow HIP extensions to define new parameters and new protocol behavior.

#### 3.1. Elliptic Curves for Diffie-Hellman

Elliptic curves Curve25519 and Curve448 [RFC 7748](#) [[RFC7748](#)]) are specified here for use in the HIP Diffie-Hellman exchange.

Curve25519 and Curve448 are already defined in Section 5.2.1 of [[I-D.ietf-hip-dex](#)], using the HIP-DEX CKDF. Here they are defined for using the new KMAC NIST SP 800-185 [[NIST.SP.800-185](#)] derived KDF in [Section 5](#).

##### 3.1.1. DIFFIE\_HELLMAN

The DIFFIE\_HELLMAN parameter may be included in selected HIP packets based on the DH Group ID selected. The DIFFIE\_HELLMAN parameter is defined in [Section 5.2.7 of \[RFC7401\]](#).

The following Elliptic Curves are defined here:

Group	KDF	Value
Curve25519 [ <a href="#">RFC7748</a> ]	KKDF	13
Curve448 [ <a href="#">RFC7748</a> ]	KKDF	14

A new KDF for KEYMAT, [Section 6.5 of \[RFC7401\]](#) and Section 6.3 of [[I-D.ietf-hip-dex](#)] using Keccak is defined in [Section 5](#).

#### 3.2. Edward Digital Signature Algorithm

Edwards-Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA) ([RFC 8032](#) [[RFC8032](#)]) are specified here for use as Host Identities (HIs).



**3.2.1. HOST\_ID**

The HOST\_ID parameter specifies the public key algorithm, and for elliptic curves, a name. The HOST\_ID parameter is defined in [Section 5.2.19 of \[RFC7401\]](#).

Algorithm profiles	Values	
EdDSA	13 [8032]	(RECOMMENDED)

For hosts that implement EdDSA as the algorithm, the following ECC curves are available:

Algorithm	Curve	Values
EdDSA	RESERVED	0
EdDSA	EdDSA25519	1 [ <a href="#">RFC8032</a> ]
EdDSA	EdDSA25519ph	2 [ <a href="#">RFC8032</a> ]
EdDSA	EdDSA448	3 [ <a href="#">RFC8032</a> ]
EdDSA	EdDSA448ph	4 [ <a href="#">RFC8032</a> ]

**3.2.2. HIT\_SUITE\_LIST**

The HIT\_SUITE\_LIST parameter contains a list of the supported HIT suite IDs of the Responder. Based on the HIT\_SUITE\_LIST, the Initiator can determine which source HIT Suite IDs are supported by the Responder. The HIT\_SUITE\_LIST parameter is defined in [Section 5.2.10 of \[RFC7401\]](#).

The following HIT Suite ID is defined, and the relationship between the four-bit ID value used in the OGA ID field and the eight-bit encoding within the HIT\_SUITE\_LIST ID field is clarified:

HIT Suite	Four-bit ID	Eight-bit encoding	
RESERVED	0	0x00	
EdDSA/SHAKE128	5	0x50	(RECOMMENDED)

The following table provides more detail on the above HIT Suite combinations. The input for each generation algorithm is the encoding of the HI as defined in [Section 4](#). The output is 96 bits long and is directly used in the ORCHID.





Index	Hash function	HMAC	Signature algorithm family	Description
5	SHAKE128	KMAC128	EdDSA	EdDSA HI hashed with cSHAKE128, output is 96 bits

Table 1: HIT Suites

### 3.3. Hashing with the Keccak Function

The Keccak [Keccak] sponge function is the basis for the new SHA-3, standard NIST FIPS 202 [NIST.FIPS.202], and the customized XOF functions in NIST SP 800-185 [NIST.SP.800-185]. These are used here as an alternative to all the hashing functions in HIP.

Hardware implementation of Keccak in VHDL is available from Keccak [Keccak].

#### 3.3.1. The Keccak Permutation

Keccak is described as a sponge function. The analogy to a sponge is that an arbitrary number of input bits are "absorbed" into the state of the function, after which an arbitrary number of output bits are "squeezed" out of its state.

The Keccak function is defined to have a width of  $b$  bits. Where  $b$  is the capacity ( $c$ ) + rate ( $r$ ).

The rate is the number of bits "fed" into the sponge at a time.

The capacity is twice the desired hash "strength" and part of the sponge width.

$b$  is one of the set {25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 800, 1600}. In FIPS 202,  $b=1600$ . Thus a hash strength of 128 bits can be delivered with  $c=256$  and  $r=1344$ , or 168 byte segment input to the sponge.

Keccak can also provide a hash strength of 128 bit with  $b=800$  ( $r=544$  or 68 bytes) and  $b=400$  ( $r=144$  or 18 bytes). 256 bit strength can only be provided with  $b=1600$  or 800.

FIPS 202 does not specify use of these smaller values for  $b$  which may be preferred in memory constrained devices, processing relatively short input strings. Future work will determine if the smaller



values for b result in a significant performance/memory improvement to warrant their use.

### **[3.3.2.](#) RHASH**

The RHASH is the general term used throughout [[RFC7401](#)] to refer to the hash used for a specific HIT suite. For this addendum SHAKE128 is used, even for HIs of EdDSA448.

Unless otherwise specified, L of SHAKE128 is 256, resulting in a similar output to SHA256. Any truncation used for, older, fixed output hashes is still used. This is to simplify code integration. One exception to this is in [Section 4](#).

### **[3.3.3.](#) HIP\_MAC and HIP\_MAC2**

The HIP\_MAC and HIP\_MAC2 parameters in [[RFC7401](#)] use HMAC [[RFC2104](#)]. This performs two hashes on a string with a key for a keyed hash the length of the underlying hash.

Here, KMAC from NIST SP 800-185 [[NIST.SP.800-185](#)] is used. This is a single pass using the underlying cSHAKE function. The function call is:

```
KMAC128(Key, Input String, 256, "")
```

## **[3.4.](#) HIP Cipher**

HIP encrypted parameters use the HIP\_CIPHER, [Section 5.2.8 of \[RFC7401\]\(#\)](#). The Keccak Keyak cipher, ref, is recommended. Keyak is a candidate in the NIST Lightweight Cryptography competition and is consistent with the overall approach in this addendum to use Keccak functions for simplicity in design and implementation.

### **[3.4.1.](#) HIP\_CIPHER**

The HIP\_CIPHER parameter values for Keyak are:

hip_cipher	
Suite ID	Value
RIVER KEYAK	6 (Keyak)
LAKE KEYAK	7 (Keyak)

For use as the HIP Cipher, the TAG generated in Keyak is discarded. The Keyak SUV is the key plus IV specified for the encrypted parameter. River Keyak MAY be used for [[I-D.ietf-hip-dex](#)], in place of AES-CTR.



Lake Keyak can provide 256 bits of security by following the recommendations for the Keyak cipher.

#### 4. Generating a HIT from an HI

The EdDSA/cSHAKE based HITs vary slightly the ORCHID generation method described in [section 3.2 of \[RFC7401\]](#). The XOF functionality of cSHAKE produces an output of L bits. This replaces the Encode\_96 function in the ORCHID generation.

For identities that are EdDSA public keys, the ORCHID input consists of the public key encoding as specified for the Host Identity field of the HOST\_ID parameter (see [Section 3.2.1](#)). Since L is less than 128, cSHAKE128 is used for all EdDSA curve sizes:

```
cSHAKE128(EdDSA public key, 96, "", Context ID)
```

#### 5. HIP KEYMAT Generation

The KMAC function provides a new, more efficient, key derivation function over HKDF [[RFC5869](#)]. This will be referred to as KKDF.

The choice of KMAC128 or KMAC256 is based on the strength of the output key material. For 256 bits of strength equivalent to HMAC-SHA256, use KMAC256. Per NIST SP 800-56Cr1 [[NIST.SP.800-56Cr1](#)], Section 4.1, Option 3:

```
KMAC[128|256](salt, IKM, L, S)
```

L is the derived key bit length. Since 4 HIP keys are "drawn" from this output, the length is 4 \* HIP\_key\_size.

S is the byte string 01001011 || 01000100 || 01000110, which represents the sequence of characters "K", "D", and "F" in 8-bit ASCII.

Salt is derived as defined in [[RFC7401](#)] or [[I-D.ietf-hip-dex](#)]. There are special security considerations for IKM per [[RFC7748](#)]. The two HIs MUST be used in constructing IKM as follows:

```
IKM = HI-I | Diffie-Hellman secret | HI-R
```

#### 6. Using Keccak for a Pseudorandom Function

[Appendix B](#) of NIST SP 800-185 [[NIST.SP.800-185](#)] defines how to use SHAKE, cSHAKE, or KMAC as a PRF.



## **7. IANA Considerations**

IANA will need to make the following changes to the "Host Identity Protocol (HIP) Parameters" registries:

Diffie Hellman: This document defines the new Curve25519 and Curve448 for the Diffie-Hellman exchange (see [Section 3.1.1](#)).

Host ID: This document defines the new EdDSA Host ID (see [Section 3.2.1](#)).

HIT Suite ID: This document defines the new HIT Suite of EdDSA/cSHAKE (see [Section 3.2.2](#)).

HIP Cipher: This document defines the new Keyak ciphers for HIP encrypted parameters (see [Section 3.4.1](#)).

## **8. Security Considerations**

### **8.1. Keymat vulnerabilities**

[RFC7748] warns about using Curve25519 and Curve448 in Diffie-Hellman for key derivation:

Designers using these curves should be aware that for each public key, there are several publicly computable public keys that are equivalent to it, i.e., they produce the same shared secrets. Thus using a public key as an identifier and knowledge of a shared secret as proof of ownership (without including the public keys in the key derivation) might lead to subtle vulnerabilities.

This applies to [[I-D.ietf-hip-dex](#)], but may have broader consequences. Thus the two Host IDs are included with the Diffie-Hellman secret.

## **9. Acknowledgments**

TBD

## **10. References**

### **10.1. Normative References**

[NIST.FIPS.202]

Dworkin, M., "SHA-3 Standard: Permutation-Based Hash and Extendable-Output Functions", National Institute of Standards and Technology report, DOI 10.6028/nist.fips.202, July 2015.





- [NIST.SP.800-185]  
Kelsey, J., Change, S., and R. Perlner, "SHA-3 derived functions: cSHAKE, KMAC, TupleHash and ParallelHash", National Institute of Standards and Technology report, DOI 10.6028/nist.sp.800-185, December 2016.
- [NIST.SP.800-56Cr1]  
Barker, E., Chen, L., and R. Davis, "Recommendation for key-derivation methods in key-establishment schemes", National Institute of Standards and Technology report, DOI 10.6028/nist.sp.800-56cr1, April 2018.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

## **10.2. Informative References**

- [I-D.ietf-hip-dex]  
Moskowitz, R., Hummen, R., and M. Komu, "HIP Diet EXchange (DEX)", [draft-ietf-hip-dex-08](#) (work in progress), June 2019.
- [Keccak] Bertoni, G., Daemen, J., Peeters, M., Van Assche, G., and R. Van Keer, "The Keccak", <<https://keccak.team/index.html>>.
- [RFC2104] Krawczyk, H., Bellare, M., and R. Canetti, "HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message Authentication", [RFC 2104](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2104, February 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2104>>.
- [RFC5869] Krawczyk, H. and P. Eronen, "HMAC-based Extract-and-Expand Key Derivation Function (HKDF)", [RFC 5869](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5869, May 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5869>>.
- [RFC7401] Moskowitz, R., Ed., Heer, T., Jokela, P., and T. Henderson, "Host Identity Protocol Version 2 (HIPv2)", [RFC 7401](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7401, April 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7401>>.



[RFC7748] Langley, A., Hamburg, M., and S. Turner, "Elliptic Curves for Security", [RFC 7748](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7748, January 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7748>>.

[RFC8032] Josefsson, S. and I. Liusvaara, "Edwards-Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA)", [RFC 8032](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8032, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8032>>.

#### Authors' Addresses

Robert Moskowitz  
HTT Consulting  
Oak Park, MI 48237  
USA

Email: [rgm@labs.htt-consult.com](mailto:rgm@labs.htt-consult.com)

Stuart W. Card  
AX Enterprize  
4947 Commercial Drive  
Yorkville, NY 13495  
USA

Email: [stu.card@axenterprize.com](mailto:stu.card@axenterprize.com)

Adam Wiethuechter  
AX Enterprize  
4947 Commercial Drive  
Yorkville, NY 13495  
USA

Email: [adam.wiethuechter@axenterprize.com](mailto:adam.wiethuechter@axenterprize.com)

