Network Working Group Internet Draft Expires: April 2002 Thomas D. Nadeau Cisco Systems, Inc.

Cheenu Srinivasan Alphion Corp.

Adrian Farrel Movaz Networks, Inc.

October 2001

Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Management Overview

draft-nadeau-mpls-mgmt-overview-00.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of RFC 2026 [RFC2026].

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Table of Contents

Abstract 2
<u>1</u> . Introduction <u>2</u>
<u>2</u> . Terminology <u>2</u>
3. The SNMP Management Framework 3
4. MIBs Addressed by the MPLS Management Framework 4
<u>4.1</u> . MPLS-TC-MIB <u>4</u>
<u>4.2</u> . MPLS-LSR-MIB <u>4</u>
4.2.1.Dependencies 4
<u>4.3</u> . MPLS-LDP-MIB <u>5</u>

4.3.1.Dependencies		<u>5</u>
<u>4.4</u> . MPLS-TE-MIB		<u>5</u>
Nadeau et al.	Expires April 2002	[Page 1]

Abstract

This memo describes the Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS) [RFC3031] management architecture and the interrelationships between the different management information bases (MIBs).

1. Introduction

This memo defines a Management Architecture for Multi-Protocol Label Switching. In particular, it describes how various managed objects defined in various Management Information Base (MIB) documents model different aspects of Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS) [MPLSArch]. Furthermore, this document explains the interactions and dependencies between each of these documents.

Comments should be made directly to the MPLS mailing list at mpls@uu.net.

This memo does not, in its draft form, specify a standard for the Internet community.

2. Terminology

This document uses terminology from the MPLS architecture document [MPLSArch] and various MPLS-related MIBs such as the MPLS-TC-MIB [TCMIB], MPLS-LSR-MIB [LSRMIB], MPLS-TE-MIB

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002 [Page 2]

the MPLS-LINK-BUNDLING-MIB [LBMIB].

3. The SNMP Management Framework

The SNMP Management Framework presently consists of five major components:

- An overall architecture, described in <u>RFC 2571</u> [RFC2571].
- Mechanisms for describing and naming objects and events for the purpose of management. The first version of this Structure of Management Information (SMI) is called SMIv1 and described in STD 16, RFC 1155 [RFC1155], STD 16, RFC 1212 [RFC1212] and STD 16, RFC 1215 [RFC1215]. The second version, called SMIv2, is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [RFC2578], STD 58, RFC 2579 [RFC2579] and STD 58, RFC 2580 [RFC2580].
- Message protocols for transferring management information. The first version of the SNMP message protocol is called SNMPv1 and described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [RFC1157]. A second version of the SNMP message protocol, which is not an Internet standards track protocol, is called SNMPv2c and described in RFC 1901 [RFC1901] and RFC 1906 [RFC1906]. The third version of the message protocol is called SNMPv3 and described in RFC 1906 [RFC1906], RFC 2572 [RFC2572] and RFC 2574 [RFC2574].
- Protocol operations for accessing management information. The first set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [RFC1157]. A second set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in RFC 1905 [RFC1905].
- A set of fundamental applications described in RFC 2573 [RFC2573] and the view-based access control mechanism described in RFC 2575 [RFC2575].

A more detailed introduction to the current SNMP Management Framework can be found in RFC 2570 [RFC2570].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the SMI.

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002

[Page 3]

4. MIBs Addressed by the MPLS Management Framework

This section will briefly explain what the purpose of each MPLS-related MIB is and what it can be used for. Each section contains a subsection that details the interdependencies between that MIB and any of the other MPLS MIBs.

4.1. MPLS-TC-MIB

The MPLS-TC-MIB [TC-MIB] describes textual conventions [SMIv2TC] and object identities that may be common to MPLS-related MIBs. For example, the textual convention for representing an MPLS label should be commonly represented and used by all MPLS-related MIBs.

4.2. MPLS-LSR-MIB

The MPLS-LSR-MIB describes managed objects for modeling a Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS) [MPLSArch, MPLSFW] Label Switch Router (LSR). In particular, this MIB is used to model and manage the basic label switching behavior and the label forwarding information base (LFIB) of an MPLS LSR. In doing so, the MIB provides a view of the LSPs that are being switched by the LSR in question.

This MIB is the basis for many of the MPLS MIBs, since basic MPLS label switching is common to all MPLS applications. In general, the MPLS-LSR-MIB provides a model of incoming labels on MPLS-enabled interfaces being mapped to outgoing labels on MPLS-enabled interfaces via a conceptual object called an MPLS cross-connect. MPLS cross-connect entries and their properties are represented in the MPLS cross-connect table (mplsXCTable) in the LSR MIB. MPLS cross-connect entries are typically referred to by other MIBs in order to reference the underlying MPLS LSP.

For example, the MPLS-TE-MIB [TEMIB] models traffic engineered tunnels. These tunnels map to one more underlying MPLS LSPs. Thus, the MPLS-TE-MIB's tunnel table (mplsTunnelTable) entries refer to the underlying LSP by pointing to entries in mplsXCTable.

4.2.1. Dependencies

This MIB does not directly depend upon any other MPLS MIBs. It does represent MPLS-enabled interfaces as entries in the

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002

[Page 4]

Interfaces MIB [RFC2233] Interface Table (ifTable). This MIB imports several textual conventions from the MPLS-TC-MIB [TCMIB].

4.3. MPLS-LDP-MIB

The MPLS-LDP-MIB describes managed objects used to model and manage the Multiprotocol Label Switching Label Distribution Protocol (LDP).

4.3.1. **Dependencies**

Forward Equivalency Class (FEC) entries in the LDP MIBÆs xxxx table () are mapped to LSPs by pointing to an entry in mplsXCTable in the MPLS-LSR-MIB. This MIB also imports several textual conventions from the MPLS-TC-MIB [TCMIB].

4.4. MPLS-TE-MIB

The MPLS-TE-MIB [TEMIB] describes managed objects that are used to model and manage MPLS Traffic Engineered (TE) Tunnels. The MIB is based around a table that represents TE tunnels that either originate at the LSR in question or traverse via or terminate on the LSR. Note that the later case is optional, as only tunnel "heads" are required to be represented. This MIB provides statistics and configuration objects needed for TE tunnels.

4.4.1. **Dependencies**

This MIB depends on the MPLS-LSR-MIB [LSRMIB] and Interfaces MIB [RFC2233]. Tunnel entries in the MPLS-TE-MIBÆs mplsTunnelTable refer to entries in mplsXCTable in the MPLS-LSR-MIB. When MPLS TE tunnels are represented as interfaces, entries for such tunnels in mplsTunnelTable have corresponding entries in the Interfaces MIBÆs [RFC2233] Interfaces Table (ifTable). This MIB also imports several textual conventions from the MPLS-TC-MIB [TCMIB].

4.5. MPLS-FTN-MIB

The MPLS-FTN-MIB [FTNMIB] describes managed objects that are used to model and manage the MPLS FEC-to-NHLFE mappings which take place at any LSR that is on the edge between an MPLS domain and a non-MPLS domain. On each such edge LSR,

to map traffic from the non-MPLS domain into the MPLS domain, the FEC-to-NHLFE mapping objects in the MPLS-FTN-

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002

[Page 5]

MIB must be supported. In the case of an IP-to-MPLS mapping, the FEC objects describe IP 5-tuples representing IP source and destination ranges, protocol ranges etc. Matching IP packets can be mapped to an NHLFE which can either be an MPLS LSP or an MPLS TE tunnel.

4.5.1. **Dependencies**

This MIB relies directly on the MPLS-LSR-MIB [LSRMIB] and MPLS-TE-MIB [TEMIB]. FECs can be mapped to two types of NHLFEs by the MPLS-FTN-MIB. When the NHLFE is an LSP, the FEC-to-NHLFE mapping is accomplished by referring to a corresponding entry in mplsXCTable in the MPLS-LSR-MIB. When the NHLFE is an MPLS TE tunnel, this mapping is accomplished by referring to a corresponding entry in mplsTunnelTable in MPLS-TE-MIB.

4.6. MPLS-LINK-BUNDLING-MIB

The MPLS-LINK-BUNDLING-MIB [LBMIB] describes managed objects that are used to model and manage the MPLS TE interfaces, as well as the link bundling relationship that may exist between those interfaces.

4.6.1. **Dependencies**

The MPLS-LINK-BUNDLING-MIB [LBMIB] interacts directly only with the Interfaces MIB [RFC2233]; it references MPLS TE interfaces that are modeled by entries in the interfaces table (ifTable) in the Interfaces MIB [RFC2233].

4.7. PPVPN-MPLS-VPN-MIB

The PPVPN-MPLS-VPN-MIB [VPNMIB] describes managed objects that are used to model and manage RFC2277bis MPLS VPNs. This MIB contains tables which model virtual routing forwarding entries (VRFs), as well as the interfaces associated with those VRFs.

4.7.1. **Dependencies**

This MIB currently has not direct dependencies to any of the MPLS MIBs. This MIB does model MPLS VPN interfaces as entries in the Interfaces MIB [RFC2233]. This MIB may be modified in the future to import textual conventions from the MPLS-TC-MIB [TCMIB].

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002

[Page 6]

Dependencies on Other IETF Working Groups

This section will detail the broad interactions between other working groups and the MPLS MIBs.

5.1. Pseudo Wire Emulation Edge to Edge MIBs

The Pseudo Wire Emulation Edge to Edge (pwe3) working group has produced a framework [PWE3ARCH] describes a framework for PWE3 MIBs. Since the PWE3 architecture includes the use of MPLS as an emulated service and as a PSN service, the MPLS MIBs described above may be leveraged. This framework document describes the interactions between the MPLS MIBs and the PWE3 MIBs.

5.2. Provider Provisioned Virtual Private Network MIBs

At present, the Provider Provisioned Virtual Private
Network (PPVPN) working group has not included a discussion
of how the MPLS MIBs interact with the MIBs being produced
by that working group. The authors of this draft hope to
make a forthcoming addition to their framework [PPVPNFW]
document detailing these interactions. At the moment, there
is only a single MIB produced which interacts with the MPLS
MIBs, as this MIB is described above in section

5.3. Common Control and Measurement Plane (ccamp) WG

At present, there are no MIBs produced by the CCAMP working group that interact directly with the MPLS MIBs. However, in the future, the existing MPLS MIBs will need to be extended and augmented to facilitate the technology being produced by this working group.

Security Considerations

This document describes the inter-relationships amongst the different MIBs relevant to MPLS management and as such does not have any security implications beyond those imposed by these MIBs themselves.

7. Acknowledgments

TBD.

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002

[Page 7]

8. References

[TCMIB] Nadeau, T., Cucchiara, J., Srinivasan, C, Viswanathan, A. and H. Sjostrand, "Definition of Textual Conventions and OBJECT-IDENTITIES for Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS) Management", Internet Draft draft-ietf-mpls-tc-mib-02.txt, August 2001.

[LSRMIB] Srinivasan, C., Viswanathan, A. and T.
Nadeau, "MPLS Label Switch Router Management
Information Base Using SMIv2", Internet
Draft < draft-ietf-mpls-lsr-mib-07.txt >,
January 2001.

[TEMIB] Srinivasan, C., Viswanathan, A. and T.
Nadeau, "MPLS Traffic Engineering Management
Information Base Using SMIv2", Internet
Draft <draft-ietf-mpls-te-mib-07.txt>,
August 2001.

[LBMIB] Dubuc, M., Dharanikota, S., Nadeau, T., J.
Lang, "Link Bundling Management Information
Base Using SMIv2", Internet Draft <<u>draft-ietf-mpls-bundle-mib-00.txt</u>>, September
2001.

[PWE3ARCH] Pate, P., Xiao, X., White., C., Kompella., K., Malis, A., Johnson, T., and T. Nadeau, "Framework for Pseudo Wire Emulation Edge-to-Edge (PWE3)", Internet Draft draft-ietf-pwe3-framework-00.txt>, September, 2001.

[PPVPNFW] Callon, R., Suzuki, M., Gleeson, B., Malis, A., Muthukrishnan, K., Rosen, E., Sargor, C., and J. Yu, "A Framework for Provider Provisioned Virtual Private Networks", Internet Draft draft-ietf-ppvpn-framework-01.txt, July 2001.

[RFC1155] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, "Structure and Identification of Management Information for

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002 [Page 8]

TCP/IP-based Internets", RFC 1155, May 1990.

- [RFC1157] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., and J. Davin, "Simple Network Management Protocol", RFC 1157, May 1990.
- [RFC1212] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, "Concise MIB Definitions", RFC 1212, March 1991.
- [RFC1215] M. Rose, "A Convention for Defining Traps for use with the SNMP", <u>RFC 1215</u>, March 1991.
- [RFC1901] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S.
 Waldbusser, "Introduction to Community-based
 SNMPv2", RFC 1901, January 1996.
- [RFC1905] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S.
 Waldbusser, "Protocol Operations for Version
 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol
 (SNMPv2)", RFC 1905, January 1996.
- [RFC1906] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S.
 Waldbusser, "Transport Mappings for Version
 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol
 (SNMPv2)", RFC 1906, January 1996.
- [RFC2026] S. Bradner, "The Internet Standards Process -- Revision 3", <u>RFC 2026</u>, October 1996.
- [RFC2570] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D., and B. Stewart, "Introduction to Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", RFC 2570, April 1999.
- [RFC2571] Harrington, D., Presuhn, R., and B. Wijnen,
 "An Architecture for Describing SNMP
 Management Frameworks", <u>RFC 2571</u>, April
 1999.
- [RFC2572] Case, J., Harrington D., Presuhn R., and B.
 Wijnen, "Message Processing and Dispatching
 for the Simple Network Management Protocol
 (SNMP)", RFC 2572, April 1999.

[RFC2573] Levi, D., Meyer, P., and B. Stewart, "SNMPv3

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002 [Page 9]

Applications", RFC 2573, April 1999.

- [RFC2574] Blumenthal, U., and B. Wijnen, "User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)", RFC 2574, April 1999.
- [RFC2575] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R., and K. McCloghrie,
 "View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for
 the Simple Network Management Protocol
 (SNMP)", RFC 2575, April 1999.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder,
 J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser,
 "Structure of Management Information Version
 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.
- [RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder,
 J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser,
 "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC
 2579, April 1999.
- [RFC2580] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.
- [RFC3031] Rosen, E., Viswanathan, A., and R. Callon,
 "Multiprotocol Label Switching
 Architecture", RFC 3031, January 2001.

9. Authors' Addresses

Thomas D. Nadeau Cisco Systems, Inc. 300 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824

Phone: +1-978-244-3051 Email: tnadeau@cisco.com

Cheenu Srinivasan Alphion Corp. 4 Industrial Way West Eatontown, NJ 07724 Phone: +1-732-676-7066

Email: cheenu@alphion.com

Adrian Farrel Movaz Networks, Inc.

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002 [Page 10]

7926 Jones Branch Drive, Suite 615

McLean, VA 22102

Phone: +1-703-847-9847 Email: afarrel@movaz.com

10. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns. This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Nadeau et al. Expires April 2002 [Page 11]