Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Informational Expires: March 7, 2013

# NAT TIME\_WAIT reduction draft-naito-nat-time-wait-reduction-02

#### Abstract

When network address translation (NAT) is used in an address resource restricted environment, or when a lot of users are located under a NAT device, IP addresses and port resources may be eaten up, and this affects user experiences very negatively. This situation can be greatly mitigated by tweaking mapping behavior and session timer handling in NAT functions. This document proposes extension for optimizing NAT IP address and port resources in address resource restricted environments. The extension makes use of TCP timestamps and sequence numbers for TIME\_WAIT assassination.

# Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 7, 2013.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

Naito & Matsumoto

Expires March 7, 2013

[Page 1]

Internet-Draft

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

# 1. Introduction

After IPv4 addresses run out, IPv4 address resources will be further restricted site-by-site. If global IPv4 address are shared between several clients, assignable port resources at each client will be limited.

NAT is a tool that is widely used to deal with this IPv4 address shortage problem. However, the demand for resources to provide Internet access to users and devices will continue to increase. IPv6 is a fundamental solution to this problem, but the deployment of IPv6 will take time.

In some cases, e.g. browsing a dynamic web page for a map service, a lot of sessions are used by the browser, and a number of ports are eaten up in a short time. What is worse is that when a NAT is between a PC and a server, TIME\_WAIT state of each TCP connection is kept for certain period, typically for four minutes, which consumes port resources. Therefore, new connections cannot be established.

This problem is caused or worsened by the following behavior.

TIME\_WAIT state assigned for a TCP connection remains active for 2MSL after the last ACK to the last FIN is transferred.

We propose mechanisms to change the above behavior that make it possible to save addresses and ports resources.

[Page 2]

### **<u>1.1</u>**. TCP TIME\_WAIT

The TCP TIME\_WAIT state is described in <u>RFC793</u> [<u>RFC0793</u>]. The TCP TIME\_WAIT state needs to be kept for 2MSL before a connection is CLOSED, for the reasons below.

- 1: In the event that packets from a session are delayed in the inbetween network, and delivered to the end relatively later, we should prevent the packets from being transferred and interpreted as a packet that belongs to a new session.
- 2: If the remote TCP has not received the acknowledgment of its connection termination request, it will re-send the FIN packet several times.

These points are important for the TCP to work without problems.

# **<u>1.2</u>**. TIME\_WAIT Assassination

A TCP server MAY accept a TCP SYN for the 5-tuple session that is just finished and marked as TIME\_WAIT state, as far as the TCP sequence number is increased. This is known as TIME-WAIT assassination. It should also be noted that some assassination hazards are described in <u>RFC1337</u> [<u>RFC1337</u>].

#### **<u>1.3</u>**. Protect Against Wrapped Sequence numbers (PAWS)

The TCP sequence number wraps frequently especially in a high bandwidth session. PAWS is used to prevent old duplicate packets that occurred in a previous session from being transferred to the new session whose valid TCP sequence numbers happen to overlap with the old duplicate packets. This is implemented by introducing TCP timestamp option, and checking the timestamp option value of each packet. PAWS is described in <u>RFC1323</u> [<u>RFC1323</u>].

# 2. NAT resource optimizing extension proposal

#### 2.1. Apply <u>RFC6191</u> to NAT

<u>RFC 6191</u> [<u>RFC6191</u>] defines a mechanism for reducing the TIME\_WAIT state using TCP timestamps and sequence numbers. This document proposes to apply this <u>RFC6191</u> [<u>RFC6191</u>] mechanism at NAT. By tracing timestamp and sequence number values in NAT that manages states of traversing TCP sessions, a TIME\_WAIT remaining wait-time can be reduced to zero, when a TCP-SYN packet carrying a larger timestamp or sequence number value arrives. In this case, PAWS works to discard old duplicate packets at NAT. A packet can be discarded as an old duplicate if it is received with a timestamp or sequence

[Page 3]

number value less than a value recently received on the connection. When there are several clients with nonsuccessive timestamp or sequence number values are connected to a NAT device (i.e. not monotonically increasing among clients), it prevents some clients from getting a port to start a connection for a long time because other clients with larger timestamp or sequence number values are preferred. Two workarounds for this issue are described below.

### 2.1.1. Rewrite timestamp and sequence number values at NAT

Rewrite timestamp and sequence number values of outgoings packets at NAT to be monotonically increasing.

#### **2.1.2.** Split an assignable number of port space to each client

Set some rules among clients connecting to NAT, e.g., split assignable ports between clients. This MAY be done by distributing rules to clients via NAT.

### 2.2. Resend the last ACK to the resended FIN

In case the remote TCP could not receive the acknowledgment of its connection termination request, NAT, on behalf of clients. resends the last ACK packet when it recieves an FIN packet of the previous connection, and when the state of the previous connection is deleted from the NAT. This mechanism should be used when clients starts closing process, and the remote host could not receive the last ACK.

#### **2.3**. Remote host behavior of several implementations

To solve the port shortage problem on the client side, the behavior of remote host should be compliant to <u>RFC 6191</u> [<u>RFC6191</u>] or the mechanism written in 4.2.2.13 of <u>RFC1122</u> [<u>RFC1122</u>], since NAT may reuse the same 5 tuple for a new connection.We have investigated behaviors of OSes (e.g., Linux, FreeBSD, Windows, MacOS), and found that they implemented the server side behavior of the above two.

#### 3. Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.

# 4. Normative References

[Page 4]

- [RFC1122] Braden, R., "Requirements for Internet Hosts -Communication Layers", STD 3, <u>RFC 1122</u>, October 1989.
- [RFC1323] Jacobson, V., Braden, B., and D. Borman, "TCP Extensions for High Performance", <u>RFC 1323</u>, May 1992.
- [RFC1337] Braden, B., "TIME-WAIT Assassination Hazards in TCP", <u>RFC 1337</u>, May 1992.
- [RFC6191] Gont, F., "Reducing the TIME-WAIT State Using TCP Timestamps", <u>BCP 159</u>, <u>RFC 6191</u>, April 2011.

# Appendix A. Revision History

02: Changed intended status to "informational".

01: '<u>draft-naito-nat-resource-optimizing-extension-01</u>' was divided into two drafts after IETF83 meeting. '<u>draft-naito-nat-resource-optimizing-extension-01</u>' containes two mechanisms. One mechanism, TIME\_WAIT reduction is written in this draft, and the other is written in '<u>draft-naito-nat-port-overlapping</u>'.

Authors' Addresses

Kengo Naito NTT NT Lab 3-9-11 Midori-Cho Musashino-shi, Tokyo 180-8585 Japan

Phone: +81 422 59 4949 Email: naito.kengo@lab.ntt.co.jp

Arifumi Matsumoto NTT NT Lab 3-9-11 Midori-Cho Musashino-shi, Tokyo 180-8585 Japan

Phone: +81 422 59 3334 Email: arifumi@nttv6.net