

DHC working group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: April 23, 2018

S. Nalluri
Ericsson
October 20, 2017

DHCPv6 options for MQTT client configuration
draft-nalluri-dhc-dhcpv6-mqtt-config-options-00

Abstract

This document defines Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol and Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol version 6 (DHCPv6) Options for MQTT client configuration information, which are used to carry Uniform Resource Locator of MQTT broker and MQTT topic prefix that should be used as prefix for any topic published by MQTT client.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 23, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

[1.](#) Introduction [2](#)

[2.](#) MQTT client configuration through DHCP [3](#)

[2.1.](#) DHCPv6 option for MQTT broker URI [3](#)

[2.2.](#) DHCPv6 option for MQTT topic prefix [4](#)

[2.3.](#) DHCPv4 option for MQTT broker URI [4](#)

[2.4.](#) DHCPv4 option for MQTT topic prefix [5](#)

[3.](#) Appearance of Option [5](#)

[3.1.](#) Appearance of options in DHCPv6 control messages [5](#)

[3.2.](#) Appearance of options in DHCPv4 control messages [6](#)

[4.](#) Configuration Guidelines for the Server [6](#)

[5.](#) DHCPv4/DHCPv6 Client Behavior [6](#)

[6.](#) Relay agent Behavior [7](#)

[7.](#) Security Considerations [7](#)

[8.](#) Acknowledgement [8](#)

[9.](#) IANA Considerations [8](#)

[10.](#) References [8](#)

[10.1.](#) Normative References [8](#)

[10.2.](#) Informative References [9](#)

Author's Address [9](#)

[1.](#) Introduction

The Message Queue Telemetry Transport (MQTT) protocol is a light-weight IoT protocol, based on the publish/subscribe communication model. MQTT clients, that can be publishers or subscribers, communicate with each other via a broker. The broker hosts a set of "topics" and clients can publish and subscribe to these topics. All data published to a topic is delivered to all clients who are subscribed to the same topic. In communications network using MQTT clients commonly use a preconfigured address information, such as Uniform Resource Identifier (URI), to register with a MQTT broker. The URI might be configured by a user or an operator through a local device interface. Alternatively, the URI might be provided as a hardcoded value by manufacturer of the MQTT client device.

Hard coding configuration by device manufacturer forces device operator to use same configuration as hard coded. It is possible that reachability information of MQTT broker that is hard coded may be outdated and MQTT broker reachability might fail during first use of device. In such cases connectivity with MQTT broker is possible only through device software upgrade.


Subscribers who are interested in specific data of a specific topic registers the topic with the MQTT broker. MQTT clients acting as publishers register/create topics, and MQTT clients acting as subscribers register for a specific existing topic. In general

option-len: Length of the 'MQTT-broker-URI' field in octets

MQTT-broker-URI: This string is URI of MQTT broker. The string is not null-terminated.

2.2. DHCPv6 option for MQTT topic prefix

DHCPv6 option OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX conveys prefix string which can be used by MQTT client as prefix of each topic used for publishing data. The format of MQTT topic prefix option is as shown below:




option-code: OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX

option-len: Length of the 'MQTT-topic-prefix' field in octets

MQTT-topic-prefix: MQTT topic prefix string that can be used by MQTT client as prefix to each topic used for publishing data

2.3. DHCPv4 option for MQTT broker URI

DHCPv4 option OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI conveys URI through which MQTT client can reach MQTT broker that is reachable through IPv4 network. The format of MQTT broker URI option is as shown below:




option-code: OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI

option-len: Length of the 'MQTT-broker-URI' field in octets

MQTT-broker-URI: This string is URI of MQTT broker. The string is not null-terminated.

2.4. DHCPv4 option for MQTT topic prefix

DHCPv4 option OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX conveys prefix string which can be used by MQTT client as prefix of each topic used for publishing data. The format of MQTT topic prefix option is as shown below:



option-code: OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX

option-len: Length of the 'MQTT-topic-prefix' field in octets

MQTT-topic-prefix: MQTT topic prefix string that can be used by MQTT client as prefix to each topic used for publishing data

3. Appearance of Option

3.1. Appearance of options in DHCPv6 control messages

The OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX options MUST NOT appear in messages other than the following: SOLICIT (1), ADVERTISE (2), REQUEST (3),REPLY (4) RENEW (5), REBIND (6), INFORMATION-REQUEST (11). If this option appears in messages other than those specified above, the receiver MUST ignore it.

The option number for OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX options MAY appear in the "Option Request" option [RFC3315] in the following messages: SOLICIT (1), REQUEST (3), RENEW (5), REBIND (6), INFORMATION-REQUEST (11) and RECONFIGURE (10). If this option number appears in the "Option Request" option in messages other than those specified above, the receiver SHOULD ignore it.

3.2. Appearance of options in DHCPv4 control messages

The OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX options MUST NOT appear in messages other than the following: DHCPDISCOVER (1), DHCPOFFER (2), DHCPREQUEST (3), DHCPACK (5) and DHCPINFORM (8). If this option appears in messages other than those specified above, the receiver MUST ignore it.

The option number for OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX options MAY appear in the "Parameter Request List" option [[RFC2132](#)] in the following messages: DHCPDISCOVER (1), DHCPOFFER (2), DHCPREQUEST (3), DHCPACK (5) and DHCPINFORM (8). If this option number appears in the "Parameter Request List" option in messages other than those specified above, the receiver SHOULD ignore it.

Maximum possible value of DHCPv4 "option-len" is 255. MQTT-topic-prefix MAY be of length more than 255. To accommodate larger certificate, DHCP server SHOULD follow encoding as mentioned in [[RFC3396](#)].

4. Configuration Guidelines for the Server

DHCPv4 or DHCPv6 server that supports OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX SHOULD be configured with one or more MQTT broker URI, and one or more topic prefix for each MQTT client. DHCP server may use statically configured topic prefixes or algorithm generated topic prefixes. Algorithms to generate MQTT topic prefix for an MQTT client might use client attributes like data link layer address. Details of algorithms to generate topic prefix and guidelines to manage topic prefixes are not included in the scope of this draft

In the absence of MQTT broker URI configuration, DHCP server SHOULD ignore option OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI, and SHOULD continue processing of DHCP control message

In the absence of MQTT topic prefix configuration and topic prefix generation algorithm, DHCP server SHOULD ignore option OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX, and SHOULD continue processing of DHCP control message

5. DHCPv4/DHCPv6 Client Behavior

DHCP or DHCPv6 client MAY decide need for inclusion of OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX options in DHCPv4 or DHCPv6 control messages if device is capable of supporting MQTT client functionality irrespective of state of MQTT client. It is

possible that MQTT client MAY not be active before DHCPv4 or DHCPv6 message exchanges happens. In such scenario, DHCPv4 or DHCPv6 client MAY collect MQTT broker URI and MQTT topic prefix and keep ready for MQTT client initialization

DHCPv4 or DHCPv6 client MAY prefer collecting MQTT broker URI and MQTT topic prefix by including OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX options in DHCPINFORM or INFORMATION-REQUEST message which MAY be send during MQTT client initialization

MQTT client devices running with IPv6 stack MAY use stateless auto address configuration to get IPv6 address. Such clients MAY use DHCPv6 INFORMATION-REQUEST to get MQTT broker URI and MQTT topic prefix through options OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX

6. Relay agent Behavior

This draft does not impose any new requirements on DHCPv4 or DHCPv6 relay agent functionality

7. Security Considerations

OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI option could be used by an intruder to advertise the URI of a malicious MQTT broker which results in data reporting by MQTT clients to an unwanted MQTT broker. As an example, an attacker could collect data from secure locations by deploying malicious servers.

Intuders might use OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX option to advertise unwanted topic prefixes which results in duplicate MQTT topics As an example, an attacker could collect data from secure locations by deploying malicious servers.

To prevent these attacks, it is strongly advisable to secure the use of these options by either:

- o Using authenticated DHCP as described in [\[RFC3315\], Section 21](#).
- o Using options OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI and OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX only with trusted DHCP server

The security considerations documented in [\[RFC3315\]](#) are to be considered.

8. Acknowledgement

Particular thanks to A. Keraenen and S. Krishnan for inputs and review.

9. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign new DHCPv6 option codes in the registry maintained in <http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters>:

Option Name	Value
OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI	TBA
OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX	TBA

IANA is requested to assign new DHCPv4 option codes in the registry maintained in <http://www.iana.org/assignments/bootp-dhcp-parameters>:

Option Name	Value
OPTION_MQTT_BROKER_URI	TBA
OPTION_MQTT_TOPIC_PREFIX	TBA

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC2131] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", [RFC 2131](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2131, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2131>>.
- [RFC2132] Alexander, S. and R. Droms, "DHCP Options and BOOTP Vendor Extensions", [RFC 2132](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2132, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2132>>.
- [RFC3315] Droms, R., Ed., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3315, July 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3315>>.

- [RFC3396] Lemon, T. and S. Cheshire, "Encoding Long Options in the Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCPv4)", [RFC 3396](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3396, November 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3396>>.
- [RFC4306] Kaufman, C., Ed., "Internet Key Exchange (IKEv2) Protocol", [RFC 4306](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4306, December 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4306>>.
- [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", [RFC 5280](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5280, May 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5280>>.
- [RFC7227] Hankins, D., Mrugalski, T., Siodelski, M., Jiang, S., and S. Krishnan, "Guidelines for Creating New DHCPv6 Options", [BCP 187](#), [RFC 7227](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7227, May 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7227>>.
- [RFC7296] Kaufman, C., Hoffman, P., Nir, Y., Eronen, P., and T. Kivinen, "Internet Key Exchange Protocol Version 2 (IKEv2)", STD 79, [RFC 7296](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7296, October 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7296>>.

10.2. Informative References

- [MQTT-SPEC]
"MQTT Version 3.1.1, OASIS Standard", n.d.,
<<http://docs.oasis-open.org/mqtt/mqtt/v3.1.1/os/mqtt-v3.1.1-os.html>>.

Author's Address

Srinivas Rao Nalluri
Ericsson
Bangalore
India

Email: srinivasa.rao.nalluri@ericsson.com

