Service Function Chaining Internet-Draft Intended status: Informational Expires: May 7, 2016

J. Napper S. Kumar Cisco Systems, Inc. P. Mulev W. Hendericks Alcatel-Lucent November 4, 2015

NSH Context Header Allocation -- Mobility draft-napper-sfc-nsh-mobility-allocation-02

Abstract

This document provides a recommended allocation of the mandatory fixed context headers for a Network Service Header (NSH) within the mobility service provider network context. NSH is described in detail in [ietf-sfc-nsh]. This allocation is intended to support uses cases as defined in [ietf-sfc-use-case-mobility].

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 7, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Napper, et al. Expires May 7, 2016

[Page 1]

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	<u>2</u>
<u>2</u> .	Definition Of Terms	<u>2</u>
<u>3</u> .	Network Service Header (NSH) Context Headers	<u>3</u>
<u>4</u> .	Recommended Mobility Context Allocation	<u>3</u>
<u>5</u> .	Broadband Allocation Specifics	<u>4</u>
<u>6</u> .	Context Allocation and Control Plane Considerations	<u>6</u>
<u>7</u> .	Security Considerations	<u>6</u>
<u>8</u> .	IANA Considerations	<u>6</u>
<u>9</u> .	Acknowledgments	<u>6</u>
<u>10</u> .	References	<u>6</u>
10	<u>0.1</u> . Normative References	<u>6</u>
10	<u>0.2</u> . Informative References	<u>6</u>
Auth	hors' Addresses	<u>7</u>

1. Introduction

Service function chaining provides a mechanism for network traffic to be forced through multiple service functions in a sequence. Metadata can be useful to service functions. Network Service Headers (NSH) provides support for carrying shared metadata between service functions (and devices) using 4 fixed-length 32-bit context headers as defined in [ietf-sfc-nsh]. NSH is then encapsulated within an outer header for transport.

This document provides a recommended default allocation scheme for the fixed-length context headers in the context of service chaining within fixed and mobile broadband service provider networks. Supporting use cases describing the need for a metadata header in these contexts are described in [ietf-sfc-use-case-mobility]. This draft does not address control plane mechanisms.

2. Definition Of Terms

This document uses the terms as defined in [RFC7498] and [RFC7665].

Napper, et al.Expires May 7, 2016[Page 2]

Internet-Draft

NSH Mobility Context Allocation November 2015

3. Network Service Header (NSH) Context Headers

In Service Function Chaining, the Network Service Header is composed of a 4-byte base header (BH1), a 4-byte service path header (SH1) and four mandatory 4-byte context headers (CH1-CH4) as described in [ietf-sfc-nsh].

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 |Ver|0|C|R|R|R|R|R|R| Length | MD Type = 0x01| Next Protocol | BH1 Service Path ID | Service Index | SH1 Mandatory Context Header 1 | CH1 Mandatory Context Header 2 | CH2 Mandatory Context Header 3 | CH3 Mandatory Context Header 4 | CH4

Figure 1: Network Service Header - MD Type 0x01

4. Recommended Mobility Context Allocation

The following context header allocation provides information to support service function chaining in a mobile service provider network as described in [ietf-sfc-use-case-mobility].

The set of context headers can be delivered to service functions that can use the metadata within to enforce policy, communicate between service functions, provide subscriber information and other functionality. Several of the context headers are typed allowing for different metadata to be provided to different service functions or even to the same service function but on different packets within a flow. Which metadata are sent to which service functions is decided in the SFC control plane and is thus out of the scope of this document.

Napper, et al.Expires May 7, 2016[Page 3]

NSH Mobility Context Allocation November 2015

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 | R | Sub | Tag | Context ID | CH1 ~ CH2 Sub/Endpoint ID Sub/Endpoint ID (cont.) | CH3 ServiceTag I CH4

Figure 2: NSH Mobility Context Allocation

Figure 2 provides a high-level description of the fields in the recommended allocation of the fixed context headers for a mobility context.

5. Broadband Allocation Specifics

The intended use for each of the context header allocations is as follows:

- R Reserved.
- Sub Sub/Endpoint ID type field. These bits determine the type of the 64-bit Sub/Endpoint ID field that spans CH2 and CH3.
- Tag The Tag field indicates the type of the ServiceTag field in CH4.
- Context ID The Context ID field allows the Subscriber/Endpoint ID field to be scoped. For example, the Context ID field could contain the incoming VRF, VxLAN VNID, VLAN, or policy identifier within which the Subscriber/Endpoint ID field is defined.
- Sub/App ID 64-bit length Subscriber/Endpoint identifier (e.g., IMSI, MSISDN, or implementation-specific Endpoint ID) of the corresponding subscriber/machine/application for the flow. This field is typed by the value of the Sub field as follows:
 - 000 If the Sub field is not set, then the 64-bit Sub/Endpoint ID field is an opaque field that can be used or ignored by service functions as determined by the control plane.
 - 001 The Sub/Endpoint ID field contains an IMSI [itu-e-164].
 - 010 The Sub/Endpoint ID field contains an MSISDN (8-15 digit) [<u>itu-e-164</u>].

Napper, et al.Expires May 7, 2016[Page 4]

011 - The Sub/Endpoint ID field contains a 64-bit identifier that can be used to group flows (e.g., in Machine-to-Machine, M2M).

100-111 - Reserved.

- ServiceTag A ServiceTag is a unique identifier that can carry metadata specific to the flow or subscriber identified in the Sub/ App ID field. Some types for this field are specified by the Tag field as follows:
 - 000 If the Tag field is not set, then the ServiceTag field in CH4 is an opaque field that can be used or ignored by service functions as determined by the control plane.
 - 001 The ServiceTag field in CH4 contains information related to the Radio Access Network (RAN) for the subscriber as follows in Figure 3. Note that these values should correspond to those that can be obtained for the flow from the corresponding 3GPP PCRF (Policy and Charging Rules Function) component using Diameter as described in [TS.29.230].

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 CAN QoS |U| Con | App Id | Rsvd | CH4

Figure 3: Service Tag RAN Allocation

- CAN IP-CAN-Type (Diameter AVP code 1027).
- QoS QoS-Class-Identifier AVP (Diameter AVP code 1028).
- U QoS-Upgrade AVP (Diameter AVP code 1030).

Con - Congestion level.

App Id - Application ID describing the flow type. Allocation of IDs is done in the control plane and is out of the scope of this document.

Rsvd - Reserved.

010-111 - Reserved.

Napper, et al.Expires May 7, 2016[Page 5]

6. Context Allocation and Control Plane Considerations

This document describes an allocation scheme for the mandatory context headers in the context of mobile service providers. This suggested allocation of context headers should be considered as a guideline and may vary depending on the use case. The control plane aspects of specifying and distributing the allocation scheme among different service functions within the Service Function Chaining environment to guarantee consistent semantics for the metadata is beyond the scope of this document.

7. Security Considerations

The context header allocation recommended by this document includes numbers that must be distributed consistently across a Service Function Chaining environment. Protocols for distributing these numbers securely are required in the control plane, but are out of scope of this document.

Furthermore, some of the metadata carried in the context headers require secure methods to prevent spoofing or modification by service function elements that may themselves be exposed to subscriber traffic and thus might be compromised. This document does not address such security concerns.

8. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.

9. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Jim Guichard for his assistance structuring the document.

10. References

<u>**10.1</u>**. Normative References</u>

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, DOI 10.17487/ <u>RFC2119</u>, March 1997, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</u>>.

<u>10.2</u>. Informative References

[ietf-sfc-nsh]

Quinn, P. and U. Elzur, "Network Service Header", I-D <u>draft-ietf-sfc-nsh-01</u> (work in progress), July 2015.

Napper, et al.Expires May 7, 2016[Page 6]

November 2015

[ietf-sfc-use-case-mobility]

Haeffner, W., Napper, J., Stiemerling, M., Lopez, D., and J. Uttaro, "Service Function Chaining Use Cases in Mobile Networks", I-D <u>draft-ietf-sfc-use-case-mobility-05</u> (work in progress), January 2015.

```
[itu-e-164]
```

"The international public telecommunication numbering plan", ITU-T E.164, November 2010.

- [RFC7498] Quinn, P., Ed. and T. Nadeau, Ed., "Problem Statement for Service Function Chaining", <u>RFC 7498</u>, DOI 10.17487/ <u>RFC7498</u>, April 2015, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7498</u>>.
- [RFC7665] Halpern, J., Ed. and C. Pignataro, Ed., "Service Function Chaining (SFC) Architecture", <u>RFC 7665</u>, DOI 10.17487/ <u>RFC7665</u>, October 2015, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7665</u>>.

[TS.29.230]

"Diameter applications; 3GPP specific codes and identifiers", 3GPP TS 29.230 13.2.0, September 2015.

Authors' Addresses

Jeffrey Napper Cisco Systems, Inc.

Email: jenapper@cisco.com

Surendra Kumar Cisco Systems, Inc.

Email: smkumar@cisco.com

Praveen Muley Alcatel-Lucent

Email: praveen.muley@alcatel-lucent.com

Wim Hendericks Alcatel-Lucent

Email: Wim.Henderickx@alcatel-lucent.com

Napper, et al.Expires May 7, 2016[Page 7]