

ESMTP and LMTP Transmission Types Registration
draft-newman-esmtpsa-01.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 16, 2004.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2003). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This registers seven new mail transmission types (ESMTPA, ESMTPS, ESMTPSA, LMTP, LMTPA, LMTPS, LMTPSA) for use in the "with" clause of a Received header in an Internet message.

1. IANA Considerations

As directed by SMTP [\[2\]](#), IANA maintains a registry [\[7\]](#) of "WITH protocol types" for use in the "with" clause of the Received header in an Internet message. This registry presently includes SMTP [\[6\]](#), and ESMTP [\[2\]](#). This specification updates the registry as follows:

- o The new keyword "ESMTPA" indicates the use of ESMTP when the SMTP AUTH [\[3\]](#) extension is also used and authentication is successfully

achieved.

- o The new keyword "ESMTTPS" indicates the use of ESMTTP when STARTTLS [\[1\]](#) is also successfully negotiated to provide a strong transport encryption layer.
- o The new keyword "ESMTTPSA" indicates the use of ESMTTP when both STARTTLS and SMTP AUTH are successfully negotiated (the combination of ESMTTPS and ESMTTPA).
- o The new keyword "LMTP" indicates the use of LMTP [\[4\]](#).
- o The new keyword "LMTPA" indicates the use of LMTP when the SMTP AUTH extension is also used and authentication is successfully achieved.
- o The new keyword "LMTPS" indicates the use of LMTP when STARTTLS is also successfully negotiated to provide a strong transport encryption layer.
- o The new keyword "LMTPSA" indicates the use of LMTP when both STARTTLS and SMTP AUTH are successfully negotiated (the combination of LSMTTPS and LSMTTPA).
- o The references for the ESMTTP and SMTP entries in the registry should be updated to the latest specification [\[2\]](#) since both [RFC 821](#) and [RFC 1869](#) are obsoleted by [RFC 2821](#).

[2](#). Implementation Experience

The ESMTTPA, ESMTTPS and ESMTTPSA keywords have been implemented in deployed email server software for several years and no problems have been reported with their use.

[3](#). Security Considerations

Use of these additional keywords provides trace information to indicate when various high-level security framing protocols are used for hop-to-hop transport of email without exposing details of the specifics of the security mechanism. This trace information provides an informal way to track the deployment of these mechanisms on the

Internet and can assist after-the-fact diagnosis of email abuse.

These keywords are not normally protected in transport which means they can be modified by an active attacker. They also do not indicate the specifics of the mechanism used, and therefore do not provide any real-world security assurance. As they are both cryptic

and hidden in trace headers used primarily to diagnose email problems, it is not expected they will mislead end users with a false sense of security. Information with a higher degree of reliability can be obtained by correlating the Received headers with the logs of the various Mail Transfer Agents through which the message passed.

The trace information provided by these keywords and other parts of the Received header provide a significant benefit when doing after-the-fact diagnosis of email abuse or problems. Unfortunately, some people in a misguided attempt to hide information about their internal servers will strip Received headers of useful information and reduce their ability to correct security abuses after they happen. The result of such misguided efforts is usually a reduction of the overall security of the systems.

Normative References

- [1] Hoffman, P., "SMTP Service Extension for Secure SMTP over Transport Layer Security", [RFC 3207](#), February 2002.
- [2] Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", [RFC 2821](#), April 2001.
- [3] Myers, J., "SMTP Service Extension for Authentication", [RFC 2554](#), March 1999.
- [4] Myers, J., "Local Mail Transfer Protocol", [RFC 2033](#), October 1996.

Informative References

- [5] Klensin, J., Freed, N., Rose, M., Stefferud, E. and D. Crocker, "SMTP Service Extensions", STD 10, [RFC 1869](#), November 1995.
- [6] Postel, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", STD 10, [RFC 821](#),

August 1982.

URIs

[7] <<http://www.iana.org/assignments/mail-parameters>>

Newman

Expires February 16, 2004

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

ESMTP and LMTP Transmission Types Registration

August 20

Author's Address

Chris Newman
Sun Microsystems
1050 Lakes Drive
West Covina, CA 91790
US

EMail: chris.newman@sun.com

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in [BCP-11](#). Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2003). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assignees.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION

Newman

Expires February 16, 2004

[Page 5]

Internet-Draft

ESMTP and LMTP Transmission Types Registration

August 20

HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

