

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: January 13, 2013

A. Newton
ARIN
K. Ranjbar
RIPE NCC
A. Servin
LACNIC
B. Ellacott
APNIC
July 12, 2012

**A Uniform RESTful URL Query Pattern for RIRs
draft-newton-et-al-weirds-rir-query-02**

Abstract

This document describes uniform patterns for which to construct HTTP URLs that may be used to retrieve information from Regional Internet Registries (RIRs) using "RESTful" web access patterns.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 13, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1.](#) Introduction [3](#)
- [2.](#) Congruence With Other Registry Data Access Protocols [4](#)
- [3.](#) Path Specification [5](#)
 - [3.1.](#) IP Networks [5](#)
 - [3.2.](#) Autonomous Systems [7](#)
 - [3.3.](#) Reverse DNS [8](#)
- [4.](#) Normative References [9](#)
- Authors' Addresses [10](#)

1. Introduction

The Regional Internet Registries (RIRs) have begun experimenting with RESTful web services for access to Whois data. This document presents uniform patterns which may be used to construct URLs for accessing data from these RESTful web services.

The patterns described in this document purposefully do not encompass all of the methods employed in the Whois and RESTful web services of all of the RIRs. The intent of the patterns described here are to enable lookups of networks by IP address, autonomous system numbers by number, and reverse DNS meta-data reverse DNS domain labels. It is envisioned that each RIR will continue to maintain NICNAME/WHOIS and/or RESTful web services specific to their needs and those of their constituencies, and the information retrieved through the patterns described here may reference such services.

Whois services, in general, are read-only services. Therefore URL [[RFC3986](#)] patterns presented here are only applicable to the HTTP [[RFC2616](#)] GET and HEAD methods.

This document does not describe the results or entities returned from issuing the described URLs with an HTTP GET. It is envisioned that other documents will describe these entities in various serialization formats, such as XML and JSON.

Additionally, resource management, provisioning and update functions are out of scope for this document. RIRs have various and divergent methods covering these functions, and it is unlikely a uniform approach for these functions will ever be possible.

And while HTTP contains mechanisms for servers to authenticate clients and clients to authenticate servers, from which authorization schemes may be built, both authentication of clients and servers and authorization for access to data are out-of-scope of this document. In general, these matters require "policy" and are not the domain of technical standards bodies.

2. Congruence With Other Registry Data Access Protocols

This document describes the URL patterns for a Number Resource Registry Data Access Protocol (NRRD-AP) and describes a Registry Data Access Protocol (RD-AP) adhering to [\[I-D.designteam-weirds-using-http\]](#), the intent being a specification for number resource registries useful and in the style similar to other registry access protocols, such as Domain Name Registry Access Protocols (DNRP-AP).

3. Path Specification

The uniform patterns start with a base URL [[RFC3986](#)] specified by each RIR or any other service provider offering this service. The base URL will be appended with resource type specific path segments. The base URL may contain its own path segments (e.g. `http://example.com/...` or `http://example.com/restful-whois/...`).

The resource type path segments are:

- o 'ip' : IP networks and associated data referenced using either an IPv4 or IPv6 address.
- o 'autnum' : Autonomous system registrations and associated data referenced using an AS Plain autonomous system number.
- o 'rdns' : Reverse DNS information and associated data referenced using a fully-qualified domain name.

3.1. IP Networks

Queries for information about IP networks are of the form `/ip/XXX/...` or `/ip/XXX/YY/...` where the path segment following 'ip' is either an IPv4 [[RFC0791](#)] or IPv6 [[RFC5952](#)] address (i.e. XXX) or an IPv4 or IPv6 CIDR [[RFC4632](#)] notation address block (i.e. XXX/YY).

Semantically, the simpler form using the address can be thought of as a CIDR block with a length of 32 for IPv4 and a length of 128 for IPv6. A given specific address or CIDR may fall within multiple IP networks in a hierarchy of networks, therefore this query targets the "most-specific" or lowest IP network which completely encompasses it in a hierarchy of IP networks.

Path segments following the IP address or CIDR notation target specific information associated with the targetted IP network in the following way:

- o 'registration' : The query is for the network registration data.
- o 'operator' : The query is for data about the network operator of the IP network. The network operator is not always considered to be the end user or end site customer of the IP network, a distinction made in some cases. For example, a residential Internet installation may be assigned IP addresses, but the provider from whom they receive Internet access is considered the network operator. Another rule of thumb is that the network operator is the entity contacted to coordinate network issues and has published contact information for this purpose, and operator information can be further decomposed into operator contact

information, which is returned with the 'operator' query when not specifically targetted (see below).

When no path segment follows the IP address, the semantics of the query are that both registration and operator information are to be returned.

The following example URL [[RFC3986](#)] is a query for the IP network registration information.

```
http://example.com/somepath/ip/192.0.2.0/registration
```

The following example URL is a query for the IP network registration information for the most specific IP network starting with 192.0.2.0 and ending with 192.0.2.255.

```
http://example.com/somepath/ip/192.0.2.0/24/registration
```

The following example URL is a query for the network operator information of the most specific network containing 192.0.2.0

```
http://example.com/somepath/ip/192.0.2.0/operator
```

And this is an example URL for both the registration and operator information of the most specific network containing 192.0.2.0

```
http://example.com/somepath/ip/192.0.2.0
```

This is an example of a URL for both the registration and operator information of the most specific network containing 192.0.2.0/24.

```
http://example.com/somepath/ip/192.0.2.0/24
```

The contact information of an operator maybe specifically targetted by following it with a 'contacts' path segment. And the type of contact information may be further targetted by following that path segment with a type. The types are:

- o tech
- o admin
- o abuse

For example:

```
/ip/192.0.2.0/operator/contacts
```

returns all the contact information for the network operator of the most specific network containing IP address 192.0.2.0.

And this path targets only the abuse contacts of that network operator.

```
/ip/192.0.2.0/operator/contacts/abuse
```

3.2. Autonomous Systems

Queries for information regarding autonomous system number registrations are of the form /autnum/XXX/... where XXX is an autonomous system number [[RFC5396](#)]. In some registries, registration of autonomous system numbers is done on an individual number basis, while other registries may register blocks of autonomous system numbers. The semantics of this query is such that if a number falls within a range of registered blocks, the target of the query is the block registration, and that individual number registrations are considered a block of numbers with a size of 1.

For example, to find information on autonomous system number 65551, the following path would be used:

```
/autnum/65551
```

The autnum path segment may be followed by a 'registration' or 'operator' path segment or no additional path segment, all of which follow the semantics above ([Section 3.1](#)).

[3.3.](#) Reverse DNS

Queries for reverse DNS information are of the form
/rdns/XXXXXXXXX/... where XXXX is a fully-qualified domain name
[\[RFC4343\]](#) in either the in-addr.arpa or ip6.arpa zones.

For example, to find information on the zone serving the network
192.0.2/24, the following path would be used:

```
/rdns/2.0.192.in-addr.arpa
```

The rdns path segment may be followed by a 'registration' or
'operator' path segment or no additional path segment, all of which
follow the semantics in [Section 3.1](#).

4. Normative References

- [RFC0791] Postel, J., "Internet Protocol", STD 5, [RFC 791](#), September 1981.
- [RFC5952] Kawamura, S. and M. Kawashima, "A Recommendation for IPv6 Address Text Representation", [RFC 5952](#), August 2010.
- [RFC2616] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", [RFC 2616](#), June 1999.
- [RFC4343] Eastlake, D., "Domain Name System (DNS) Case Insensitivity Clarification", [RFC 4343](#), January 2006.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), January 2005.
- [RFC4632] Fuller, V. and T. Li, "Classless Inter-domain Routing (CIDR): The Internet Address Assignment and Aggregation Plan", [BCP 122](#), [RFC 4632](#), August 2006.
- [RFC5396] Huston, G. and G. Michaelson, "Textual Representation of Autonomous System (AS) Numbers", [RFC 5396](#), December 2008.
- [I-D.designteam-weirds-using-http]
Newton, A., Ranjbar, K., Servin, A., Ellacott, B., Hollenbeck, S., Sheng, S., Arias, F., Kong, N., and F. Obispo, "Using HTTP for RESTful Whois Services by Internet Registries", [draft-designteam-weirds-using-http-01](#) (work in progress), May 2012.

Authors' Addresses

Andrew Lee Newton
American Registry for Internet Numbers
3635 Concorde Parkway
Chantilly, VA 20151
US

Email: andy@arin.net
URI: <http://www.arin.net>

Kaveh Ranjbar
RIPE Network Coordination Centre
Singel 258
Amsterdam 1016AB
NL

Email: kranjbar@ripe.net
URI: <http://www.ripe.net>

Arturo L. Servin
Latin American and Caribbean Internet Address Registry
Rambla Republica de Mexico 6125
Montevideo 11300
UY

Email: aservin@lacnic.net
URI: <http://www.lacnic.net>

Byron J. Ellacott
Asia Pacific Network Information Center
6 Cordelia Street
South Brisbane QLD 4101
Australia

Email: bje@apnic.net
URI: <http://www.apnic.net>

