

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: November 10, 2008

M. Nottingham
Yahoo! Inc.
May 9, 2008

**The stale-if-error HTTP Cache-Control Extension
draft-nottingham-http-stale-if-error-01**

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 10, 2008.

Abstract

The stale-if-error HTTP Cache-Control extension improves availability of some kinds of cached content by allowing servers and clients to instruct caches to use stale responses when certain error conditions are encountered.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Notational Conventions	3
3.	The stale-if-error Cache-Control Extension	3
4.	Response stale-if-error Example	4
5.	Security Considerations	5
6.	IANA Considerations	5
7.	Normative References	5
Appendix A.	Acknowledgements	5
	Author's Address	5
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	6

Nottingham

Expires November 10, 2008

[Page 2]

1. Introduction

HTTP [[RFC2616](#)] requires that caches "respond to a request with the most up-to-date response held... that is appropriate to the request," although "in carefully controlled circumstances" a stale response is allowed to be returned.

Those circumstances are not well-defined. Often, it is useful to return a stale response when an error -- e.g., a 500 Internal Server Error, a network segment, or DNS failure -- is encountered, but caches are understandably reluctant to act without explicit instructions about the appropriate behaviour.

The stale-if-error HTTP Cache-Control extension addresses this by allowing origin servers as well as clients to instruct caches to use a stale response under certain conditions, rather than returning a "hard" error, thus improving availability.

2. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

This specification uses the augmented Backus-Naur Form of [RFC2616](#) [[RFC2616](#)], and includes the delta-seconds rule from that specification.

3. The stale-if-error Cache-Control Extension

The stale-if-error Cache-Control extension indicates that when an error is encountered, a cached stale response MAY be used to satisfy the request, regardless of other freshness information.

stale-if-error = "stale-if-error" "=" delta-seconds

When used as a request Cache-Control extension, its scope of application is the request it appears in; when used as a response Cache-Control extension, its scope is any request applicable to the cached response it occurs in.

Its value indicates the upper limit to staleness; when the cached response is more stale than the indicated amount, the cached response MUST NOT be used to satisfy the request, absent other information.

In this context, an error is any situation which would result in a

Nottingham

Expires November 10, 2008

[Page 3]

500, 502, 503 or 504 HTTP response status code being returned.

Note that this directive only affects the freshness of a response in an implementation that recognises it; stale cached responses that are used SHOULD still be visibly stale when sent.

4. Response stale-if-error Example

A response containing:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Cache-Control: max-age=600, stale-if-error=1200
Content-Type: text/plain
```

success

indicates that it is fresh for 600 seconds, and that it may be used if an error is encountered after becoming stale for an additional 1200 seconds.

Thus, if the cache attempts to validate 900 seconds afterwards and encounters:

```
HTTP/1.1 500 Internal Server Error
Content-Type: text/plain
```

failure

the successful response can be returned instead:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Cache-Control: max-age=600, stale-if-error=1200
Age: 900
Content-Type: text/plain
```

success

After the age is greater than 1800 seconds (i.e., it has been stale for 1200 seconds), the cache must write the error message through.

```
HTTP/1.1 500 Internal Server Error
Content-Type: text/plain
```

failure

5. Security Considerations

This document provides origin servers and clients a mechanism for dictating that stale content should be served from caches under certain circumstances, and does not pose additional security considerations over those of [RFC2616](#), which also allows stale content to be served.

6. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.

7. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2616] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", [RFC 2616](#), June 1999.

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

Thanks to John Nienart, Henrik Nordstrom, Evan Torrie, and Chris Westin for their suggestions. The author takes all responsibility for errors and omissions.

Author's Address

Mark Nottingham
Yahoo! Inc.

Email: mnot@yahoo-inc.com
URI: <http://www.mnot.net/>

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Nottingham

Expires November 10, 2008

[Page 6]