

httpbis  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: February 12, 2018

M. Nottingham  
August 11, 2017

## **Reserving the 418 HTTP Status Code draft-nottingham-thanks-larry-00**

### Abstract

[RFC2324] was an April 1 RFC that lampooned the various ways HTTP was abused; one such abuse was the definition of the application-specific 418 (I'm a Teapot) status code.

In the intervening years, this status code has been widely implemented as an "easter egg", and therefore is effectively consumed by this use.

This document changes 418 to the status of "Reserved" in the IANA HTTP Status Code registry to reflect that.

### Note to Readers

\_RFC EDITOR: please remove this section before publication\_

The issues list for this draft can be found at  
<https://github.com/mnot/I-D/labels/thanks-larry>.

The most recent (often, unpublished) draft is at  
<https://mnot.github.io/I-D/thanks-larry/>.

Recent changes are listed at <https://github.com/mnot/I-D/commits/gh-pages/thanks-larry>.

See also the draft's current status in the IETF datatracker, at  
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-nottingham-thanks-larry/>.

### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 12, 2018.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
	Author's Address . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

[RFC2324] was an April 1 RFC that lampooned the various ways HTTP was abused; one such abuse was the definition of the application-specific 418 (I'm a Teapot) status code.

In the intervening years, this status code has been widely implemented as an "Easter Egg", and therefore is effectively consumed by this use.

This document changes 418 to the status of "Reserved" in the IANA HTTP Status Code registry to reflect that.

This indicates that the status code cannot be assigned to other applications currently. If future circumstances require its use (e.g., exhaustion of all other 4NN status codes), it can be re-assigned to another use.

Nottingham

Expires February 12, 2018

[Page 2]

Implementations are encouraged to avoid "squatting" on status codes in this manner; while there are a number of unassigned status codes in each range currently, unofficial, uncoordinated use makes the definition of new status codes more difficult over the lifetime of HTTP, which (hopefully) is a potentially very long period of time.

## **2. IANA Considerations**

This document updates the following entry in the Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) Status Code Registry:

- o Value: 418
- o Description: Reserved
- o Reference: [this document]

IANA should also typographically distinguish "Unassigned" and "Reserved" in the registry descriptions, to prevent confusion.

## **3. Security Considerations**

This document has no security content.

## **4. Informative References**

[RFC2324] Masinter, L., "Hyper Text Coffee Pot Control Protocol (HTCPCP/1.0)", [RFC 2324](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2324, April 1998, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2324>>.

Author's Address

Mark Nottingham

Email: [mnot@mnot.net](mailto:mnot@mnot.net)

URI: <https://www.mnot.net/>

