

**A Message Bus for Conferencing Systems**  
**draft-ott-mmusic-mbus-transport-00.txt**

Status of this memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Abstract

In a variety of conferencing scenarios, a local communication channel is desirable for conference-related information exchange between co-located but otherwise independent application entities, for example those taking part in application sessions that belong to the same conference. In loosely coupled conferences such a mechanism allows for coordination of applications entities to e.g. implement synchronization between media streams or to configure entities without user interaction. It can also be used to implement tightly coupled conferences enabling a conference controller to enforce conference wide control within a end system.

The local conference Message Bus (Mbus) provides a means to achieve the necessary amount of coordination between co-located conferencing applications for virtually any type of conference as postulated in a companion requirement draft [to be specified]. The Message Bus comprises two logically distinct parts: a message transport and addressing infrastructure and a set of common as well as media tool specific messages. This document deals with message addressing, transport, and security issues and defines the message syntax for the Mbus. It does not define application oriented semantics and

procedures for using the message bus. The common procedures for Mbus operation as well as the common set of application/media specific messages are introduced in a companion Internet draft[9].

This document is intended for discussion in the Multiparty Multimedia Session Control (MMUSIC) working group of the Internet Engineering Task Force. Comments are solicited and should be addressed to the working group's mailing list at [confctrl@isi.edu](mailto:confctrl@isi.edu) and/or the authors.

## **1. Introduction**

### **1.1. Background**

The requirement specification as defined in [10] provides a set of scenario descriptions for the usage of a local coordination infrastructure. The Message Bus defined in this and a companion document provides a suitable means for local communication that serves all of the purposes mentioned in the requirement draft.

### **1.2. Purpose**

Two components constitute the Message Bus: the (lower level) message passing mechanisms and the (higher level) messages and their semantics.

The purpose of this document is to define the characteristics of the basic Mbus message passing mechanism which is common to all Mbus implementations. This includes the specification of

- o the generic Mbus message format;
- o the addressing concept for application entities;
- o the transport mechanisms to be employed for conveying messages between (co-located) application entities;
- o the security concept to prevent misuse of the Message Bus (as taking control of another user's conferencing environment); and
- o the details of the Mbus message syntax.

### **1.3. Terminology for requirement specifications**

In this document, the key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [1] and indicate requirement levels for compliant Mbus implementations.



#### 1.4. Definition of terms

## 2. General Outline

The Mbus is supposed to operate in a variety of scenarios as outlined in the companion requirement document [[10](#)]. From these scenarios, the following (minimum) requirements are derived that have to be met by the Mbus design to provide a suitable local communication infrastructure.

Local coordination involves a widely varying number of entities: some messages may need to be destined for all local application entities, such as membership information, floor control notifications, dissemination conference state changes, etc. Messages may also be targeted at a certain application class (e.g. all whiteboards or all audio tools) or agent type (e.g. all user interfaces rather than all media engines). Or there may be any (application- or message-specific) subgrouping defining the intended recipients, e.g. messages related to media synchronization. Finally, there will be messages that are directed to a single entity, for example, specific configuration settings that a conference controller sends to a application entity or query-response exchanges between any local server and its clients.

The Mbus concept as presented here satisfies these different communication models by defining different message transport mechanisms (defined in [section 3.4](#)) and by providing a flexible addressing scheme (defined in [section 3.2](#)).

Furthermore, Mbus messages exchanged between application entities may have different reliability requirements (which are typically derived from their semantics). Some messages will have a rather informational character conveying ephemeral state information (which is refreshed/updated periodically), such as the volume meter level of an audio receiver entity to be displayed by its user interface agent. Certain Mbus messages (such as queries for parameters or queries to local servers) may require a response from the peer(s) thereby providing an explicit acknowledgment at the semantic level on top of the Mbus. Other messages will modify the application or conference state and hence it is crucial that they do not get lost. The latter type of message has to be delivered reliably to the recipient, whereas message of the first type do not require reliability mechanisms at the Mbus transport layer. For messages confirmed at the application layer it is up to the discretion of the application whether or not to use a reliable transport underneath.

In some cases, application entities will want to tailor the degree of reliability to their needs, others will want to rely on the underlying transport to ensure delivery of the messages -- and this may be different for each Mbus message. The Mbus message passing



mechanism described in this paper provides a maximum of flexibility by providing reliable transmission achieved through transport-layer acknowledgments (in case of point-to-point communications only) as well as unreliable message passing (for unicast, local multicast, and local broadcast). We address this topic in [section 3.2](#).

Finally, accidental or malicious disturbance of Mbus communications through messages originated by applications from other users needs to be prevented. Accidental reception of Mbus messages from other users may occur if either two users share the same workstation for conferencing or are using end systems spread across the same physical network: in either case, the Mbus multicast address and the port number may match leading to reception of the other party's Mbus messages in addition to a user's own ones. Malicious disturbance may happen because of applications multicasting (e.g. at a global scope) or unicasting Mbus messages (which could contain a "TERMINATE CONFERENCE" command). To eliminate the possibility of receiving bogus Mbus messages, the Mbus protocol therefore contains message digests for authentication. Furthermore, the Mbus allows for encryption to ensure privacy and thus enable using the Mbus for local key distribution and other functions potentially sensitive to eavesdropping. This document defines the framework for configuring Mbus applications with regard to security parameters in [appendix B](#) (Mbus configuration).

### **[3.](#) Message Bus Specification**

#### **[3.1.](#) Message Format**

A conference coordination message comprises a header and a body. The header is used to indicate how and where a message should be delivered, the body provides information and commands to the destination entity. The following information is included in the header:

- o The MsgDigest is a Base64-encoded [\[3\]](#) calculated hash value of the entire message (starting from the ProtocolID field) as described in appendices A (Algorithms) and C (Mbus configuration).
- o A fixed ProtocolID field identifies the version of the message bus protocol used. The protocol defined in this document is ``mbus/1.0'`.
- o A sequence number SeqNum is contained in each message. The first message sent by a source SHOULD have SeqNum equal to zero, and it MUST increment by one for each message sent by that source. A single sequence number is used for all messages from a source, irrespective of the intended recipients and the reliability mode



selected. SeqNums are decimal numbers in ASCII representation.

- o The TimeStamp field is also contained in each message and SHOULD contain a decimal number representing the time at message construction in seconds since 00:00:00, UTC, January 1, 1970.
- o A MessageType field indicates the kind of message being sent. The value ``R'' indicates that the message is to be transmitted reliably and MUST be acknowledged by the recipient, ``U'' indicates an unreliable message which MUST NOT be acknowledged.
- o The SrcAddr field identifies the sender of a message. This MUST be a complete address, with all address elements specified. The addressing scheme is described in [section 3.2](#).
- o The DestAddr field identifies the intended recipient(s) of the message. This field MAY contain wildcards by omitting address element and hence address any number (including zero) of application entities. The addressing scheme is described in [section 3.2](#).
- o The AckList field comprises a list of SeqNums for which this message is an acknowledgment. See [section 3.3](#) for details.

The header is followed by the message body which contains one or more messages to be delivered to the destination entity. The syntax for a complete message is given in [section 3.5](#) (Message syntax).

### [3.2](#). Addressing

Each entity on the message bus SHOULD respond to messages sent to one (or more) addresses. Addresses are sequences of address elements that are tag/value pairs. They are written as:

(tag:value tag:value ...)

Tags and values MUST consist of alphanumeric characters (of UTF-8's US ASCII subset) only. Each entity has a fixed sequence of address elements constituting its address and MUST only process messages sent to addresses that consist of a subset of its own address elements. Each element value in this subset must match the corresponding value of the receiver's address element value. The order of address elements in an address sequence is not relevant. For example, an entity with an address of: (conf:test media:audio module:engine app:rat instance:4711) will process messages sent to (media:audio module:engine) or (module:engine) but must neglect messages sent to





```
(conf: test media:audio module:engine app:rat instance:4711 foo:bar)
or (foo:bar)
```

A message that should be processed by all entities would require an empty sequence of address elements.

For conferencing application 5 address element keys are predefined:

conf	conference identifier
media	media type processed by application
module	module type of Mbus entity in a application
app	application name
instance	application instance

The conf element is used to designate the name of a conference in order to distinguish between entities that are present in more than one conference. See [section 3.4](#) for further notes concerning multiple presences using the Mbus.

The media element identifies the type of media processed by an application. Currently defined values are:

audio	An RTP audio stream
video	An RTP video stream
workspace	A shared workspace
whiteboard	A shared whiteboard
editor	A shared text editor
sap	A session announcement tool, using SAP
sip	A session invitation tool, using SIP
h323	An ITU-T H.323 conference controller
rtsp	An RTSP session controller
control	A local coordination entity

Other values are likely to be defined at a later date.

The module element defines a logical part of an application. The value `ui' denotes the user-interface of an application, and the value `engine' defines a media/protocol engine, and `transcoder' defines a media transcoder. Other values may be defined in future.

The app element identifies the application being used (e.g.: rat, vic, etc.).

The instance element is used to distinguish several instances of the same application. This is a per-instance-unique identifier, which is not necessarily an integer. Many Unix applications will use the process-id (PID) number, although this is not a requirement. Note that if an end system is spread across several hosts, the instance MUST NOT be the process-id, unless e.g.. the host name or its IP address are included as well. The companion draft "The Message Bus: Messages and Procedures" [\[9\]](#) defines a bootstrap procedure ensuring



that entities can track the abandoning and restarting of application instances as long as unique instance values are being used.

The following examples illustrate how to make use of the addresses:

```
+-----+-----+
|(conf:test media:audio | The user interface of |
|module:ui app:rat      | the rat application with |
|instance124)           | instance-id 124 taking  |
|                       | part in conference test |
+-----+-----+
|(media:workspace module:ui) | The user interfaces of |
|                           | all workspace applications |
+-----+-----+
|(media:audio)              | All audio applications  |
+-----+-----+
|(app:rat)                  | All instances of the rat application |
+-----+-----+
|()                          | All entities            |
+-----+-----+
```

### **3.3. Reliability**

While most messages are expected to be sent using unreliable transport, it may be necessary to deliver some messages reliably. Reliability can be selected on a per message basis by means of the MessageType field. Reliable delivery is supported for messages with a single recipient only; i.e., all components of the DestAddr field have to be specified. An entity can thus only send reliable messages to known addresses, i.e. it can only send reliable messages to entities that have announced their existence on the Mbus. (See the semantics and procedures specification in [9].) A receiving entity MUST only process and acknowledge reliable message if the destination address does exactly match its own source address (the destination address MUST not be a subset of the source address).

Each message is tagged with a message sequence number. If the MessageType is ``R'', the sender expects an acknowledgment from the recipient within a short period of time. If the acknowledgment is not received within this interval, the sender SHOULD retransmit the message (with the same message sequence number), increase the timeout, and restart the timer. Messages SHOULD be retransmitted a small number of times before the recipient is considered to have failed. If the message is not delivered successfully, the sending

---

Disallowing reliable message delivery for messages sent to multiple destinations is motivated by simplicity of the implementation as well as the protocol. Although ACK implosions are not really an issue and losses are rare, achieving reliability for such messages would require full knowledge of the membership for each subgroup which is deemed too much effort.



application is notified. In this case, it is up to this application to determine the specific action(s) (if any) to be taken.

Reliable messages are acknowledged by adding their SeqNum to the AckList field of a message sent to the originator of the reliable message. Multiple acknowledgments MAY be sent in a single message. It is possible to either piggy-back the AckList onto another message sent to the same destination, or to send a dedicated acknowledgment message, with no other commands.

The precise procedures are as follows:

Sender:

A sender A of a reliable message M to receiver B SHOULD transmit the message via multicast or via unicast, keep a copy of M, initialize a retransmission counter N to '1', and start a retransmission timer T (initialized to  $T_r$ ). If an acknowledgment is received from B, timer T MUST BE cancelled and the copy of M is discarded. If T expires, the message M SHOULD BE retransmitted, the counter N SHOULD BE incremented by one, and the timer SHOULD BE restarted (set to  $N * T_r$ ). If N exceeds the retransmission threshold  $N_r$ , the transmission is assumed to have failed, further retransmission attempts MUST NOT be undertaken, the copy of M SHOULD BE discarded, and the sending application SHOULD BE notified.

Receiver:

A receiver B of a reliable message from a sender A SHOULD acknowledge receipt of the message within a time period  $T_c < T_r$ . This MAY be done by means of a dedicated acknowledgment message or by piggy-backing the acknowledgment on another message addressed only to A.

Receiver optimization: gathering and piggy-backing ACKs

In a simple implementation, B may choose to immediately send a dedicated acknowledgment message. However, for efficiency, it could add the SeqNum of the received message to a sender-specific list of acknowledgments; if the added SeqNum is the first acknowledgment in the list, B SHOULD start an acknowledgment timer TA (initialized to  $T_c$ ). When the timer expires, B SHOULD create a dedicated acknowledgment message and send it to A. If B is to transmit another Mbus message addressed only to A, it should piggy-back the acknowledgments onto this message and cancel TA. In either case, B should store a copy of the acknowledgment list as a single entry in the per-sender copy list, keep this entry for a period  $T_k$ , and empty the acknowledgment list. In case any of the messages kept in an entry of the copy list is received again from A, the entire acknowledgment list stored in this entry is scheduled for (re-)transmission following the above rules.

Constants:

Suggested values are  $T_r=100\text{ms}$ ,  $N_r=3$ ,  $T_c=70\text{ms}$ ,



$$T_k = ((N_r) * (N_r + 1) / 2) * T_r.$$

### **3.4. Transport**

All messages are transmitted as UDP messages with two ways of sending messages being possible:

- 1) local multicast (host-local or link-local, see Appendix ``Mbus configuration'') to a fixed, yet to be assigned link-local address of the administratively scoped multicast space as described in [RFC 2365](#) [8]. There will also be fixed, registered port number that all Mbus entities MUST use.
- 2) Directed unicast via UDP to the port of a specific application. This still requires the DestAddr field to be filled in properly. Directed unicast is intended for use in situations where node local multicast is not available. It MAY also be used by Mbus implementations for delivering messages addressed at a single application entity only -- the address of which the Mbus implementation has learned from other message exchanges before.

If a single multimedia conferencing endpoint is distributed across several co-located hosts, link local scope SHOULD be used for multicasting Mbus messages that potentially have recipients on the other hosts. The Mbus protocol is not intended (and hence deliberately not defined) for communication between hosts not on the same link.

Since messages are transmitted in UDP datagrams, a maximum size of 64 KBytes MUST NOT be exceeded. It is RECOMMENDED that applications using a non host-local scope do not exceed a message size of the network's MTU.

### **3.5. Message Syntax**

#### **3.5.1. Message Encoding**

All messages MUST use the UTF-8 character encoding. Note that US ASCII is a subset of UTF-8 and requires no additional encoding, and that a message encoded with UTF-8 will not contain zero bytes.

Each Message MAY be encrypted using a secret key algorithm as defined in [appendix A](#) (Algorithms).

#### **3.5.2. Message Header**

A message starts with the header. The first field in the header is the message digest calculated using a keyed hash algorithm as described in [appendix A](#) followed by a newline character. The other





fields in the header are separated by white space characters, and followed by a newline. The format of the header is as follows:

```
<MsgDigest>
mbus/1.0 <SeqNum> <TimeStamp> <MessageType> <SrcAddr> <DestAddr> \
<AckList>
```

The header fields are defined in [section 3.1](#).

### 3.5.3. Command Syntax

The header is followed by zero, or more, commands to be delivered to the application(s) indicated by the DestAddr field. Each message comprises a command followed by a list of zero, or more, parameters, and is followed by a newline.

```
command ( parameter parameter ... )
```

The command name MUST be a `symbol' as defined in the following table. The parameters MAY be any data type drawn from the following table:

Data Type	Syntax	Description
Integer	"-[0-9]+"	
Float	"-[0-9]+\".[0-9]+"	
String	""...""	See below for escape characters
List	(Data Type Data Type ...)	
Symbol	[A-Za-z_][A-Za-z0-9_-.]+"	A predefined protocol value
Data	"<"data">"	Opaque Data

Boolean values are encoded as an integer, with the value of zero representing false, and non-zero representing true (as in the `C' programming language).

String parameters in the payload MUST be enclosed in the double quote (') character. Within strings, the escape character is the backslash (\), and the following escape sequences are defined:



Escape Sequence	Meaning
\\	\
\"	"
\n	<newline>

List parameters do have to be homogeneous lists.

Opaque data is represented as Base64-encoded [3] character strings surrounded by "<" and ">"

### 3.6. Messages

The specific messages applications will send using the Mbus are not defined in this document. Currently a companion document [9] is produced defining classes of messages which are of use in certain application areas. Additional documents are expected to follow.

## 4. Authors' Addresses

Joerg Ott <jo@tzi.org>  
Universitaet Bremen, TZI, MZH 5180  
Bibliothekstr. 1  
D-28359 Bremen  
Germany  
voice +49 421 201-7028  
fax +49 421 218-7000

Colin Perkins <c.perkins@cs.ucl.ac.uk>  
Department of Computer Science  
University College London  
Gower Street  
London WC1E 6BT  
United Kingdom

Dirk Kutscher <dku@tzi.org>  
Universitaet Bremen, TZI, MZH 5160  
Bibliothekstr. 1  
D-28359 Bremen  
Germany  
voice +49 421 218-7595  
fax +49 421 218-7000



## 5. References

- [1] S. Bradner, ``Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels'' [RFC 2119](#), March 1997
- [2] H. Krawczyk, M. Bellare, R. Canetti, ``HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message Authentication'', [RFC 2104](#), February 1997
- [3] N. Borenstein, N. Freed ``MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part One: Mechanisms for Specifying and Describing the Format of Internet Message Bodies'', [RFC 1521](#), September 1993
- [4] Mark Handley, Jon Crowcroft, Carsten Bormann, Joerg Ott. ``The Internet Multimedia Conferencing Architecture,'' Internet Draft [draft-ietf-mmusic-confarch-01.txt](#), Work in Progress, June 1999.
- [5] H. Schulzrinne, S. Casner, R. Frederick, V. Jacobson, ``RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications,'' [RFC 1889](#), January 1996.
- [6] Mark Handley, Henning Schulzrinne, Eve Schooler, Jonathan Rosenberg, ``SIP: Session Initiation Protocol'', Internet Draft [draft-ietf-mmusic-sip-07.txt](#), Work in Progress, July 16, 1998
- [7] M. Handley, V. Jacobson, ``SDP: Session Description Protocol'', [RFC 2327](#), April 1998
- [8] D. Meyer ``Administratively Scoped IP Multicast'', [RFC 2365](#), July 1998
- [9] J. Ott, C. Perkins, and D. Kutscher, ``The Message Bus: Messages and Procedures'', Internet Draft [draft-ietf-mmusic-mbus-  
semantics-00.txt](#), Work in Progress, August 1998.
- [10] J. Ott, C. Perkins, and D. Kutscher, ``Requirements for Local Conference Control'', Internet Draft [draft-ott-mmusic-mbus-  
semantics-00.txt](#), Work in Progress, August 1998.

## Appendix A: Algorithms

### Message Authentication

Either MD5 or SHA-1 SHOULD be used for message authentication codes (MACs). An implementation MAY provide SHA-1, whereas MD5 MUST be implemented. To generate keyed hash values the algorithm described in [2] MUST be applied with hash values truncated to 96 bits (12 bytes). The resulting hash values MUST be Base64 encoded (16 characters). The HMAC algorithm works with both, MD5 and SHA-1.

HMAC values, regardless of the algorithm, MUST therefore always



consist of 16 Base64-encoded characters.

Hash keys MUST have a length of 96 bit (12 bytes), that are 16 Base64-encoded characters.

#### Encryption

Either DES, 3DES (triple DES) or IDEA SHOULD be used for encryption. Encryption MAY be neglected for applications, e.g. in situations where license regulations, export or encryption laws would be offended otherwise. However, the implementation of DES is RECOMMENDED as a baseline. DES implementations MUST use the DES Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode. For algorithms requiring en/decryption data to be padded to certain boundaries octets with a value of 0 SHOULD be used for padding characters. The padding characters MUST be appended after calculating the message digest when encoding and MUST be erased before recalculating the message digest when decoding. IDEA uses 128-bit keys (24 Base64-encoded characters). DES SHOULD be used with 56-bit keys (12 Base64-encoded characters).

The mandatory subset of algorithms that MUST be provided by implementation is DES and MD5.

See [appendix B](#) for a specification of notations for Base64-strings.

#### Appendix B: Mbus configuration

An implementation MUST be configurable by the following parameters:

Encryption key	The secret key used for message encryption.
Hash key	The hash key used for message authentication.
Scope	The Internet scope to be used for sent messages.

The logical structure (no file format specification) of the specified parameters is as follows:[\[1\]](#)

```

hashkey           =  algo-id key
secretkey         =  algo-id key
algo-id           =  "NOENCR" / "DES" / "3DES" / "IDEA" /
                    "HMAC-MD5-96" / "HMAC-SHA1-96"
scope             =  "HOSTLOCAL" / "LINKLOCAL"
key               =  base64string
uci               =  1*CHAR
base64string      =  *(ALPHA / DIGIT / "+" / "/" / "=")

```

A Base64 string consists of the characters defined in the Base64 char-set [\[3\]](#) including all eventual padding characters, i.e. the length of Base64-string is always a multiple of 4.

---

[\[1\]](#) syntactical definitions follow below





## Appendix C: Parameter storage

Two distinct facilities for parameter storage are considered: For Unix-like systems a configuration file SHOULD be used and for Windows-95/98/NT systems a set of registry entries is defined that SHOULD be used.

## File based parameter storage:

The file name for a Mbus configuration file is ``.mbus'` in the user's home-directory which MAY be overridden by an environment variable called MBUS. Implementations MUST ensure that this file has appropriate file permissions that prevent other users to read or write it. The file MUST exist before a conference is initiated. Its contents MUST be UTF-8 encoded and MUST be structured as follows:

```
[MBUS]
HASHKEY=<hashkey>
ENCRYPTIONKEY=<secretkey>
SCOPE=<scope-id>
```

The order of entries is not significant. A key entry MUST be in this notation:

```
``('algo-id`,`base64string`)'``
```

algo-id is one of the character strings specified above[2]

An example Mbus-configuration file:

```
[MBUS]
HASHKEY=(HMAC-MD5-80,946080000,MTIzMTU2MTg5MTEy)
ENCRYPTIONKEY=(DES,946080000,MTIzMTU2MQ==)
SCOPE=HOSTLOCAL
```

## Registry based parameter storage:

For systems lacking the concept of a user's home-directory as a place for configuration files the suggested database for configuration settings (e.g. the Windows9x-, Windows NT-registry) SHOULD be used. The hierarchy for Mbus related registry entries is as follows:

---

[2] for algo-id=`NOENCR` the other fields are ignored. The delimiting commas MUST always be present though.



HKEY\_CURRENT\_USER\Software\Mbone Applications\Mbus

The entries in this hierarchy section are

Name	Type
HASHKEY	String
ENCRYPTIONKEY	String
SCOPE	String

The same syntax for key values as for the file based configuration facility MUST be used.

