

Individual Submission
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: January 12, 2012

B. Patil, Ed.

S. Probasco
G. Bajko
Nokia
B. Rosen
Neustar
July 11, 2011

Protocol to Access White Space database: Problem statement
draft-patil-paws-problem-stmt-02

Abstract

Governments around the world continue to search for new bands of radio spectrum which can be used by the expanding wireless communications industry to provide more services in the usable spectrum. The concept of allowing secondary transmissions (licensed or unlicensed) in frequencies occupied by a primary user is a technique to "unlock" existing spectrum for new use. An obvious requirement is that these secondary transmissions do not interfere with the primary use of the spectrum. One interesting observation is that often, in a given physical location, the primary user(s) may not be using the entire band allocated to them. The available spectrum for a secondary use would then depend on the location of the secondary user. The fundamental issue is how to determine for a specific location and specific time, if any of the primary spectrum is available for secondary use. Academia and Industry have studied multiple cognitive radio mechanisms for use in such a scenario. One simple mechanism is to use a geospatial database that records the primary users occupation, and require the secondary users to check the database prior to selecting what part of the spectrum they use. Such databases could be available on the Internet for query by secondary users. This document discusses the problems that need to be addressed for enabling the use of white space spectrum by obtaining information from such a database.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Draft

PAWS: Problem Statement

July 2011

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 12, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet-Draft

PAWS: Problem Statement

July 2011

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	4
2.	Terminology	6
3.	Prior Work	7
3.1.	The concept of Cognitive Radio	7
3.2.	Background information on white space in US	8
3.3.	Air Interfaces	8
4.	Problem Statement	8
4.1.	Global applicability	9
4.2.	Database discovery	10
4.3.	Data model definition	11
4.4.	Protocol	11
5.	IANA Considerations	11
6.	Security Considerations	11
7.	Summary	12
8.	Acknowledgments	12
9.	Informative References	12
	Authors' Addresses	13

1. Introduction

Spectrum useable for data communications, especially wireless Internet communications, is scarce. One area which has received much attention globally is the TV white space: portions of the TV band that are not used by broadcasters in a given area. In 2008 the United States regulator (the FCC) took initial steps when they published their first ruling on the use of TV white space, and then followed it up with a final ruling in 2010[FCC ruling]. Finland passed an Act in 2009 enabling testing of cognitive radio systems in the TV white space. The ECC has completed Report 159 [ECC Report 159] containing requirements for operation of cognitive radio systems in the TV white space. Ofcom published in 2004 their Spectrum Framework Review [Spectrum Framework Review] and their Digital Dividend Review [DDR] in 2005, and have followed up with a proposal to access TV white space. More countries are expected to provide access to their TV spectrum in similar ways. Any entity holding spectrum that is not densely used may be asked to give it up in one way or another for more intensive use. Providing a mechanism by which secondary users share the spectrum with the primary user is attractive in many bands in many countries.

The concept of allowing secondary transmissions in frequencies occupied by a primary user is a technique to "unlock" existing spectrum for new use. An obvious requirement is that these secondary transmissions do not interfere with the primary use of the spectrum. The fundamental issue is how to determine for a specific location and specific time if any of the spectrum is available for secondary use. There are two dimensions of use that may be interesting: space (the

area in which a secondary user would not interfere with a primary user, and time: when the secondary use would not interfere with the primary use. In this discussion, we consider the time element to be relatively long term (hours in a day) rather than short term (fractions of a second). Location in this discussion is geolocation: where the transmitters (and sometimes receivers) are located relative to one another. In operation, the database records the existing user's transmitter (and some times receiver) locations along with basic transmission characteristics such as antenna height, and sometimes power. Using rules established by the regulator, the database calculates an exclusion zone for each authorized primary user, and attaches a time schedule to that use. The secondary user queries the database with it location. The database intersects the exclusion zones with the querier location, and returns the portion of the spectrum not in any exclusion zone. Such methods of geospatial database query to avoid interference have been shown to achieve favorable results, and are thus the basis for rulings by the FCC and reports from ECC and Ofcom. In any country, the rules for which primary entities are entitled to protection, how the exclusion zones

are calculated, and what the limits of use by secondary entities are may vary. However, the fundamental notion of recording primary users, calculating exclusion zones, querying by location and returning available spectrum (and the schedule for that spectrum) are common.

In a typical implementation of geolocation and database to access TV white space, a radio is configured with its location in latitude and longitude. There are multiple ways to configure this location information, e.g. programmed at installation (e.g. for a fixed device) or determined by GPS (e.g. for a or mobile device). At power-on, before the device can transmit in TV white space frequencies, the device must contact a database, provide its geolocation and receive in return a list of unoccupied or "white space" spectrum (for example, in a TV White space implementation, the list of available channels at that location). The device can then select one of the channels from the list (note that it is possible they list is empty; there are no unoccupied channels at the location of the device) and then begins to transmit and receive on the selected channel. The device must query the database again for a list of unoccupied channels based on certain conditions, e.g. a fixed amount of time has passed, the device has changed location beyond a

specified threshold. The basic scenario is that before transmitting in TV white space, the device must get permission from the database.

This arrangement assumes that the device querying can complete a query before it transmits, or some other entity is able to query the database. A common arrangement for this kind of service is a fixed tower with a wired infrastructure that provides Internet service to a network of client devices. In this scenario, the tower has Internet access from its upstream service, and can query the database for channels within the tower service area. It can then provide beacon service to its clients, and assign them channels within the list of channels that the tower gets from the database.

Another arrangement might be an ad-hoc mobile network where one or more members of the ad hoc network have an independent radio IP connection (perhaps a commercial cellular wireless data network) which can be used to query the database over the Internet.

A third possibility is a mechanism where the database is accessed on a private IP network.

The low frequencies of the TV bands (470-790 MHz) have good propagation characteristics. At these low frequencies, a radio signal will travel ~3 times further than traditional WLAN at 2.5 GHz, assuming the same transmit power. Because of these characteristics and new cognitive radio techniques, when TV white space becomes

available, this will enable new use cases and new business opportunities. Not only is the capacity of new spectrum needed, but this propagation trait by itself makes TV white space attractive for providing broadband wireless access in rural, sparsely populated areas, as well as for extended range home hot-spot coverage (similar to WLAN today, but with improved coverage). In addition to propagation characteristics, the geolocation database may provide new capabilities for devices that use TV white space. When a device using TV white space registers its location in the database, this simple act makes the location of the device available for location based services.

Other spectrum that might also be available for sharing using white space techniques exist in every country. A great many primary users were allocated space a time when there were many fewer potential

users of the space, and the primary users are not making efficient (in geospatial and time aspects) use of the space. In the past, relocating existing primary users was the only feasible alternative. Using white space techniques to share spectrum without imposing burdens on the primary users is more attractive.

This document discusses the problem statement related to enabling the "secondary" use of spectrum owned by a primary user without causing interference to the primary user(s). One approach to avoiding interference is to verify with a database about the available channels and spectrum at a given location. This document also identifies various issues that need to be addressed by the protocol between a white space device and such a database.

2. Terminology

White Space

Radio spectrum which has been allocated for some primary use, but is not fully occupied by that primary use at a specific location and time.

TV White Space

TV white space refers specifically to radio spectrum which has been allocated for over the air television broadcast, but is not occupied by a TV broadcast, or other licensed user (such as a wireless microphone), at a specific location and time.

White Space Device

A device which is a secondary user of some part of white space spectrum. A white space device can be an access point, base station, a portable device or similar. In this context, a white space device is required to query a database with its location to obtain information about available spectrum.

TV White Space

TV white space refers specifically to radio spectrum which has been allocated for TV broadcast, but is not occupied by a TV broadcast, or other licensed user (such as a wireless microphone), at a specific location and time.

Database

In the context of white space and cognitive radio technologies, the database is an entity which contains current information about available spectrum at any given location and other types of information.

Protected Entity

A primary user of white space spectrum which is afforded protection against interference by secondary users (white space devices) for its use in a given area and time.

Protected Contour

The exclusion area for a Protected Entity, held in the database and expressed as a polygon with geospatial points as the vertices.

[3.](#) Prior Work

[3.1.](#) The concept of Cognitive Radio

A cognitive radio uses knowledge of the local radio environment to dynamically adapt its own configuration and function properly in a changing radio environment. Knowledge of the local radio environment can come from various technology mechanisms including sensing (attempting to ascertain primary users by listening for them within the spectrum), location determination and internet connectivity to a database to learn the details of the local radio environment. TV White Space is one implementation of cognitive radio. Because a cognitive radio adapts itself to the available spectrum in a manner that prevents the creation of harmful interference, the spectrum can

be shared among different radio users.

[3.2.](#) Background information on white space in US

Television transmission in the United States has moved to the use of digital signals as of June 12, 2009. Since June 13, 2009, all full-power U.S. television stations have broadcast over-the-air signals in digital only. An important benefit of the switch to all-digital broadcasting is that it freed up parts of the valuable broadcast spectrum. More information about the switch to digital transmission is at : [[DTV](#)].

With the switch to digital transmission for TV, the guard bands that existed to protect the signals between stations can now be used for other purposes. The FCC has made this spectrum available for unlicensed use and this is generally referred to as white space. Please see the details of the FCC ruling and regulations in [FCC ruling]. The spectrum can be used to provide wireless broadband as an example. The term "Super-Wifi" is also used to describe this spectrum and potential for providing wifi type of service.


[3.3.](#) Air Interfaces

Efforts are ongoing to specify air-interfaces for use in white space spectrum. IEEE 802.11af task group is currently working on one such specification. IEEE 802.22 is another example. Other air interfaces could be specified in the future such as LTE.

[4.](#) Problem Statement

The use of white space spectrum is enabled via the capability of a device to query a database and obtain information about the availability of spectrum for use at a given location. The databases are reachable via the Internet and the devices querying these databases are expected to have some form of Internet connectivity, directly or indirectly. The databases may be country specific since the available spectrum and regulations may vary, but the fundamental operation of the protocol should be country independent.

An example high-level architecture of the devices and white space databases is shown in the figure below:



In the figure above, note that there could be multiple databases serving white space devices. The databases are country specific since the regulations and available spectrum may vary. In some countries, for example, the U.S., the regulator has determined that multiple, competing databases may provide service to White Space Devices.

4.1. Global applicability

Devices need to be able to query a database, directly or indirectly

over the public Internet and/or private IP networks prior to operating in available spectrum. Information about available

spectrum, schedule, power, etc. are provided by the database as a response to the query from a device. The messaging interface needs to be:

1. Radio/air interface agnostic - The radio/air interface technology used by the white space device in available spectrum can be 802.11af, 802.16, 802.22, LTE etc. However the messaging interface between the white space device and the database should be agnostic to the air interface while being cognizant of the characteristics of various air-interface technologies and the need to include relevant attributes in the query to the database.
2. Spectrum agnostic - the spectrum used by primary and secondary users varies by country. Some spectrum has an explicit notion of a "channel" a defined swath of spectrum within a band that has some assigned identifier. Other spectrum bands may be subject to white space sharing, but only have actual frequency low/high parameters to define protected entity use. The protocol should be able to be used in any spectrum band where white space sharing is permitted.
3. Globally applicable - A common messaging interface between white space devices and databases will enable the use of such spectrum for various purposes on a global basis. Devices can operate in any country where such spectrum is available and a common interface ensures uniformity in implementations and deployment. Since the White Space device must know it's geospatial location to do a query, it is possible to determine which database, and which rules, are applicable, even though they are country specific.
4. Address regulatory requirements - Each country will likely have regulations that are unique to that country. The messaging interface needs to be flexible to accommodate the specific needs of a regulatory body in the country where the white space device is operating and connecting to the relevant database.

[4.2.](#) Database discovery

Another aspect of the problem space is the need to discover the database. A white space device needs to find the relevant database to query based on its current location or for another location. Since the spectrum and databases are country specific, the device will need to discover the relevant database. The device needs to obtain the IP address of the specific database to which it can send queries in addition to registering itself for operation and using the available spectrum.

A database discovery mechanism needs to be specified. Reuse of existing mechanisms is an option and could be adapted for meeting the specific needs of cognitive radio technology.

[4.3.](#) Data model definition

The contents of the queries and response need to be specified. A data model is required which enables the white space device to query the database while including all the relevant information such as geolocation, radio technology, power characteristics, etc which may be country and spectrum dependent. All databases are able to interpret the data model and respond to the queries using the same data model that is understood by all devices.

Use of XML for specifying a data model is an attractive option. The intent is to evaluate the best option that meets the need for use between white space devices and databases.

[4.4.](#) Protocol

The protocol requirements are simple: registration and query transactions are needed. In some circumstances, a registration transaction is required prior to being able to query. The device provides some identifying information, and the database responds with an acknowledgement or error. The query protocol is a simple query/response action (primarily location in, available spectrum out), with some error conditions.

It may be possible to use existing protocols (e.g. LoST [[RFC5222](#)]) or it may be more appropriate to define a new protocol for this purpose. HTTP transport is probably appropriate.

[5.](#) IANA Considerations

This document has no requests to IANA.

[6.](#) Security Considerations

The messaging interface between the white space device and the database needs to be secured. Both the queries and the responses need to be delivered securely. The device must be certain it is talking to a bona fide database authoritative for the location and spectrum band the device operates on. The database may need to restrict interactions to devices that it has some prior relationship with, or may be restricted from providing service to devices that are not authorized in some manner.

Patil, et al.

Expires January 12, 2012

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

PAWS: Problem Statement

July 2011

As the device will query with it's location, the location must be protected against eavesdropping. Some regulations include personally identifiable information as required elements of registration and/or query and must similarly be protected.

All communications between the device and the database will require integrity protection.

Man-in-the-middle attacks could modify the content of a response which can cause problems for other networks or devices operating at a given location. Interference as well as total loss of service could result from malicious information being delivered to a white space device.

This document describes the problems that need to be addressed for a messaging interface between white space devices and databases and does not by itself raise any security concerns.

[7.](#) Summary

Wireless spectrum is a scarce resource. As the demand for spectrum grows, there is a need to more efficiently utilize the available and allocated spectrum. Cognitive radio technologies enable the efficient usage of spectrum via means such as sensing or by querying

a database to determine available spectrum at a given location for secondary use. White space is the general term used to refer to the bands within the spectrum which is available for secondary use at a given location. In order to use this spectrum a device needs to query a database which maintains information about the available channels within a band. A protocol is necessary for communication between the devices and databases which would be globally applicable.

8. Acknowledgments

Thanks to ABC, PQR and XYZ for their comments and input which have helped in improving this document.

9. Informative References

- [DDR] Ofcom - Independent regulator and competition authority for the UK communications industries, "Digital Dividend Review; <http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/spectrum/project-pages/ddr/>".
- [DTV] "Digital TV Transition; <http://www.dtv.gov>".

Patil, et al.	Expires January 12, 2012	[Page 12]
---------------	--------------------------	-----------

Internet-Draft	PAWS: Problem Statement	July 2011
----------------	-------------------------	-----------

- [ECC Report 159] Electronic Communications Committee (ECC) within the European Conference of Postal and Telecommunications Administrations (CEPT), "TECHNICAL AND OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE POSSIBLE OPERATION OF COGNITIVE RADIO SYSTEMS IN THE 'WHITE SPACES' OF THE FREQUENCY BAND 470-590 MHZ; <http://www.erodocdb.dk/Docs/doc98/official/pdf/ECCREP159.PDF>", January 2011.
- [FCC ruling] Federal Communications Commission, "Unlicensed Operation in the TV Broadcast Bands; <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2010/pdf/2010-30184.pdf>", December 2010.
- [RFC5222] Hardie, T., Newton, A., Schulzrinne, H., and H. Tschofenig, "LoST: A Location-to-Service Translation Protocol", [RFC 5222](#), August 2008.

[Spectrum Framework Review]

Ofcom – Independent regulator and competition authority
for the UK communications industries, "Spectrum Framework
Review;

<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/consultations/sfr/>",
February 2005.

Authors' Addresses

Basavaraj Patil (editor)
6021 Connection drive
Irving, TX 75039
USA

Email: basavaraj.patil@nokia.com

Scott Probasco
Nokia
6021 Connection drive
Irving, TX 75039
USA

Email: scott.probasco@nokia.com

Patil, et al.

Expires January 12, 2012

[Page 13]

Internet-Draft

PAWS: Problem Statement

July 2011

Gabor Bajko
Nokia
323 Fairchild drive 6
Mountain view, CA 94043
USA

Email: gabor.bajko@nokia.com

Brian Rosen
Neustar

470 Conrad Dr
Mars, PA 16046
USA

Email: brian.rosen@neustar.biz