

intarea  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: December 31, 2018

R. Patterson  
Sky UK  
M. Abrahamsson  
T-Systems  
June 29, 2018

IP over Ethernet (IPoE) Session Health Checking  
draft-patterson-intarea-ipoe-health-03

## Abstract

PPP over Ethernet clients have the functionality to detect path unavailability by using PPP Keepalives. IP over Ethernet does not have this functionality, and it is not specified in the IETF when an IP over Ethernet client should consider its WAN connectivity down, unless there is a physical layer link down event.

This document describes a mechanism for IP over Ethernet clients to achieve connectivity validation, similar to that of PPP over Ethernet, by using BFD Echo, or ARP and Neighbor Discovery functions.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 31, 2018.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

Internet-Draft

IPoE-Health

June 2018

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Requirements Language</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.2.</a>	<a href="#">Terminology</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Alternative Mitigations</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">IPoE Health Checks</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	<a href="#">Parameters</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	<a href="#">BFD Echo</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.3.</a>	<a href="#">Neighbor Discovery</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.4.</a>	<a href="#">ARP</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.5.</a>	<a href="#">Alternative Target</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.6.</a>	<a href="#">Passive Checks</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">IPoE Health Check DHCP Options</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	<a href="#">DHCPv6</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	<a href="#">DHCPv4</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">Action Behaviours</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	<a href="#">Behaviour 0: Renew (Default)</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	<a href="#">Behaviour 1: Rebind</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">5.3.</a>	<a href="#">Behaviour 2: Solicit</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">5.4.</a>	<a href="#">Behaviour 3: Expire &amp; Release</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.5.</a>	<a href="#">LAN Considerations</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Multihomed Clients</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">6.1.</a>	<a href="#">Neighbor Discovery</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">6.2.</a>	<a href="#">ARP</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgements</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	<a href="#">Appendix A. Changes from -00</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">11.</a>	<a href="#">Appendix B. Changes from -01</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">12.</a>	<a href="#">Appendix C. Changes from -02</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">13.</a>	<a href="#">References</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">13.1.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">13.2.</a>	<a href="#">Informative References</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">13.3.</a>	<a href="#">URIs</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
	<a href="#">Authors' Addresses</a>	<a href="#">15</a>

## 1. Introduction

PPP [[RFC1661](#)] makes use of regular LCP echos and replies to continually test the data link layer, if the peer fails to respond to

a predetermined number of LCP echos, the PPP session is terminated and will return to the Link Dead phase, ready for reestablishing. IPoE currently lacks this functionality.

Physical link state change on an IPoE client can trigger the renewing of a DHCP lease, however any indirect upstream network changes are not visible to the IPoE client.

An outage or planned maintenance work on, for example, a Broadband Network Gateways (BNG) or intermediate DHCP Relay, can leave an IPoE client with a stale DHCP lease for up to the Valid Lifetime.

IPoE Session Health Check allows for an IPoE client to proactively or passively monitor the state of upstream connectivity, and defines several actions that may be taken to help the client recover.

[[TR-146](#)], Section 6.2 describes this problem, while [[TR-124](#)] identifies some requirements to solve the problem.

Several vendors have implemented subscriber connectivity checking on their BNG, using ARP and Neighbor Discovery as per Sections [6.2.4](#) and [6.2.5](#) of [[TR-146](#)]. This allows the BNG to detect loss of connectivity and to update local session state and DHCP lease bindings. Without reciprocal checking, this puts the CE at further risk of being in a stale state.

### 1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

### 1.2. Terminology

This document makes use of the following terms:

- o BNG: Broadband Network Gateway. Often also running a DHCP server or relay.
- o CE: Customer Equipment. aka. Customer Premise Equipment (CPE), Residential Gateway (RG).
- o IPoE: IP over Ethernet.
- o IPoE Client: A network device, often a CE, running a DHCPv4 and/or DHCPv6 client.

- o IPoE Health Check: The name of the process described in this document.

## [2.](#) Alternative Mitigations

- o Short DHCP lease times reduce the time a client may be left in a stale state, but scale poorly, putting extra load on the DHCP server.
- o Broadband Forum's [\[TR-146\]](#) and [\[TR-124\]](#) discuss this problem and recommend the use of BFD echo [\[RFC5880\]](#). This document acknowledges TR-146 and recommends the use of BFD echo for health checks, but like the Broadband Forum, acknowledges that it is not widely available within consumer CEs. This document also introduces alternative actions, as the renew approach taken in TR-146 is susceptible to the issues described in Behaviour 0 ([Section 5.1](#)).
- o For planned maintenance, network engineers could include DHCPv4 Force Renew [\[RFC3203\]](#) or DHCPv6 Reconfigure [\[RFC3315\]](#)-bis in their maintenance plans, however neither of these have been widely adopted by CE or BNG vendors due to authentication complexity.

## [3.](#) IPoE Health Checks

### [3.1.](#) Parameters

IPoE Health Check uses the following parameters:

- o Interval (Integer): The frequency in seconds, which health checks are sent by the IPoE client. Default value: 120 seconds.
- o Retry Interval (Integer): The frequency in seconds, which health checks are sent by the IPoE client, after a failure. Default value: 10 seconds.
- o Limit (Integer): The number of consecutive checks that can fail before an action is taken. Default value: 4.
- o Behaviour (Integer): Specifies what additional actions are to be taken when triggered.
- o Passive (Boolean): Forces passive health checks instead of active.
- o Layer2 (Boolean): Forces layer 2 health checks instead of BFD echo.

- o Alternative Target Address (IP address): Overrides the default target of health checks.

An IPoE client supporting IPoE Health Check SHOULD begin sending health checks at the Interval specified, upon successful binding of a lease that contains a valid IPoE Health Check DHCP Option.

An IPoE client MAY be statically configured for IPoE health checks. Non-default static parameters SHOULD override any signalled via DHCP.

An IPoE client MAY use default parameters in lieu of manually configured, or DHCP signalled parameters. Statically configured or DHCP signalled parameters SHOULD override any default parameters.

### 3.2. BFD Echo

Unless instructed otherwise, an IPoE client SHOULD use BFD Echo [[RFC5880](#)] as the health check mechanism.

If BFD echos are used, the destination IP address MUST be locally bound on the IPoE client and SHOULD be from the lease triggering the IPoE Health Check.

The use of BFD Echo as the health check mechanism provides the added benefit of validating the DHCP lease state, proving layer 3 as well as layer 2 connectivity.

### [3.3.](#) Neighbor Discovery

If an IPoE client with active DHCPv6 leases is unable to send BFD echos or IPoE client is explicitly configured, it MUST send Neighbor Solicits ([Section 4.3 of \[RFC4861\]](#)) for the target address. If no Alternative Target Address is set, the target address SHOULD be the default gateway as obtained from the Operating System. Neighbor Solicits SHOULD be sent at the frequency set by the Interval parameter ([Section 3.1](#)).

### [3.4.](#) ARP

If an IPoE client with active DHCPv4 leases is unable to send BFD echos or IPoE client is explicitly configured, it MUST send ARP requests [[RFC0826](#)] for the target address. If no Alternative Target Address is set, the target address SHOULD be the client's default gateway, as received within the DHCPv4 Option 3 Router option of the lease.

ARP requests SHOULD be sent at the frequency set by the Interval parameter ([Section 3.1](#)).

### [3.5.](#) Alternative Target

An alternative target IP address MAY be included in the IPoE health check DHCP option, or statically configured. If an alternative target address is specified, it MUST be used as the target for health checks instead of the default gateway.

If an Alternative Target Address provided is outside of a locally attached route, health checks SHOULD implicitly fail until a matching local route is installed. If a matching locally attached route is subsequently installed, health checks SHOULD continue as normal.

### [3.6.](#) Passive Checks

If an IPoE client is unable to proactively send health checks itself,

it SHOULD passively check the operating system's ARP and Neighbor cache tables.

In IPoE Health Check passive mode, alternate target addresses outside of locally attached routes MUST NOT be supported.

Passive IPoE health checks SHOULD use the health check parameters signalled by DHCP or configured statically. The IPoE client SHOULD passively check the ARP or Neighbor cache tables for the target address, every Interval seconds ([Section 3.1](#)). If the neighbor entry is in state INCOMPLETE for Limit checks ([Section 3.1](#)), the specified IPoE Health Check Action MUST be taken.

Passive-only mode can be forced either by local configuration, or by a DHCP server setting the Passive flag in the DHCP option. If passive-only mode has been set, the IPoE client MUST only use passive checking for that particular lease health check.

#### [4.](#) IPoE Health Check DHCP Options

This document defines a new option for both DHCPv4 and DHCPv6 servers to signal suggested health check parameters to clients. IPoE clients SHOULD use these values when no statically configured parameters have been defined.

The option data fields are common between DHCPv6 and DHCPv4, with the exception of the alternate target address field, which is 32 bits in the DHCPv4 option and 128 bits in the DHCPv6 option.

##### [4.1.](#) DHCPv6

For DHCPv6, this Option MUST be within a specific Identity Association as an IPoE client MAY have multiple IAs with different health check parameters.

0	1								2								3														
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1




Figure 1: DHCPv6 IPoE Check Option Format

option-code: OPTION\_IPOE\_HEALTH (TBA1).  
option-len: 28.  
limit: Consecutive failed checks, before an action is taken.  
P: Passive Flag. Force passive-only health checks.  
L: Layer 2 Flag. Force ARP/ND-only health checks.  
behaviour: The following behaviours are defined:  
0: Trigger Renew.  
1: Trigger Rebind.  
2: Expire lease, start solicit phase.  
3: Release.  
4 - 63: Unassigned.  
New behaviour codes can be assigned in the future.  
interval: Indicates how often a health check should be sent.  
Expressed in units of seconds.  
retry-interval: Indicates how often a health check should be sent  
after a previous failure. Expressed in units of seconds.  
alternate-target-address: Optional health check target address.  
MUST always present; it MUST be set to zero if no  
alternate IP address is to be used.

Figure 2






Figure 3: DHCPv4 IPoE Check Option Format

option-code: OPTION\_IPOE\_HEALTH (TBA2).  
option-len: 14.  
limit: Consecutive failed checks, before an action is taken.  
P: Passive Flag. Force passive-only health checks.  
L: Layer 2 Flag. Force ARP/ND-only health checks.  
behaviour: The following behaviours are defined:  
0: Trigger Renew.  
1: Trigger Rebind.  
2: Expire lease, start discovery phase.  
3: Release.  
4 - 63: Unassigned.  
New behaviour codes can be assigned in the future.  
interval: Indicates how often a health check should be sent.  
Expressed in units of seconds.  
retry-interval: Indicates how often a health check should be sent  
after a previous failure. Expressed in units of seconds.  
alternate-target-address: Optional health check target address.  
MUST always present; it MUST be set to zero if no  
alternate IP address is to be used.

Figure 4

## 5. Action Behaviours

IPoE Health Check defines four configurable behaviours once the timeout threshold has been reached. All these behaviours make use of existing procedures outlined in [\[RFC2131\], Section 4.4.5](#) for DHCPv4, and [\[RFC3315\]-bis, Sections 18.2.4, 18.2.5](#) for DHCPv6.

The IPoE Health Check behaviour MAY be signalled per lease by DHCP, or statically configured. Statically configured, non-default,

behaviour settings SHOULD take precedence over those signalled by DHCP.

When triggering a DISCOVER or SOLICIT, an IPoE client may also choose to use Rapid Commit [[RFC4039](#)], [[RFC3315](#)], [Section 22.14](#) to help expedite the recovery process.

#### [5.1.](#) Behaviour 0: Renew (Default)

After Limit ([Section 3.1](#)) consecutive check failures, the IPoE client MUST set T1 of the specified lease, to zero. This will trigger a RENEW to the original DHCP server, as per [[RFC3315](#)]-bis and [[RFC2131](#)].

If connectivity to the original DHCP server has recovered, and the server can satisfy the request, the lease may be renewed and timers updated.

If the original DHCP server cannot satisfy the request, it may reject the request, to which the DHCP client should begin discovery or solicit phase anew.

Neither of the above two responses are guaranteed, and as such, an administrator may elect to use one of the below additional behaviours to help expedite the IPoE client's recovery process.

Unless specified otherwise, additional actions MUST also be taken if the IPoE Health Check DHCP option Behaviour bits are non-zero. Some behaviours may offer alternative actions instead of compound ones, they will state this specifically.

#### [5.2.](#) Behaviour 1: Rebind

If the Behaviour field is set to 1, T2 MUST also be set to zero, along with T1. This tells the IPoE client to immediately move to the rebind phase, attempting to renew the lease from any available server.

This method can be useful in a resilient layer 2 access topology, with multiple active DHCP servers.

#### [5.3.](#) Behaviour 2: Solicit

If the Behaviour field is set to 2, T1 and T2 MUST both be set to zero as per previous behaviours.

The IPoE client MUST skip the renew and rebind phases, moving

straight to the discovery or solicit phase.

Internet-Draft

IPoE-Health

June 2018

The IPoE client MUST NOT send a DHCP RELEASE.

The IPoE client MUST keep the address or prefix in the preferred state until the preferred lifetime expires, and MUST keep the address or prefix until the valid lifetime expires.

The IPoE client SHOULD include the lease address or prefix in the DISCOVER or SOLICIT.

The DUID and IAID MUST be the same as used in the current lease.

This method can be useful when using DHCP servers that silently discard unknown renew attempts instead of sending back a DHCPv4 NAK or DHCPv6 Reply.

#### [5.4.](#) Behaviour 3: Expire & Release

If the Behaviour field is set to 3, T1, T2, and Valid Lifetime MUST all be set to 0, and the IPoE Client MUST send a DHCP RELEASE message as per [\[RFC2131\], Section 3.1](#) for DHCPv4 and [\[RFC3315\]-bis, Section 18.2.7](#)

Once the RELEASE process has completed, the client returns to the discovery or solicit phase.

If the IPoE client is already in the renew or rebind state when Behaviour 3 is triggered, the client MUST cease renew or rebind attempts and wait for any outstanding messages to time out before sending a RELEASE. If an outstanding renew or rebind attempt is successful, the IPoE client MUST update T1, T2 and lease lifetimes appropriately, and MUST NOT continue with Behaviour 3.

This method can be useful to clean out state within the network. For example, a DHCP relay may be left with stale lease information after an outage or maintenance on a DHCP server.

#### [5.5.](#) LAN Considerations

If all DHCPv6 leases have expired, either naturally or proactively

with IPoE health checks, it is expected than an IPoE client acting as a router, would withdraw itself as a default router on the LAN, following requirement G-5 of [\[RFC7084\], Section 4.1](#).

## [6.](#) Multihomed Clients

An IPoE client may have multiple leases from the same, or different DHCP servers. These leases may have different IPoE health check

parameters, and health checks MUST be treated distinctly, tracking the particular lease that they belong to.

Each distinct IPoE health check MUST use an appropriate target address as per IPoE Health Check ([Section 3](#)).

If an IPoE client is configured with multiple IPoE Health Checks that use the same target address, it SHOULD suppress additional checks, preferring the parameters with the lowest timeout value.

I.e.  $\text{Timeout} = \text{Interval} * \text{Limit}$

Local network administrators may choose to override DHCP-signalled parameters in order to facilitate appropriate IPoE Health Check operation in a multihomed environment.

### [6.1.](#) Neighbor Discovery

As DHCPv6 does not convey default gateway or other routing information, an IPoE client using the ND health check method SHOULD obtain the target address by querying the operating system for default routes.

If multiple default routes exist, ND-based IPoE health checks SHOULD attempt to match the target address to the lease by the interface the lease is bound to.

If only a single default route exists, and that default route is not routed out the interface the lease was bound to, ND-based health checks for that particular lease SHOULD be paused.

### [6.2.](#) ARP

ARP-based IPoE health checks for DHCPv4 make use of the default gateway address specified in the lease. As a route for each gateway should exist regardless of current route preference, health checks SHOULD be run for each lease that is configured for IPoE health check.

## 7. Security Considerations

IPoE Health Check frequency would typically be controlled by the network using DHCP options, but overly aggressive, statically configured IPoE Health Checks, could have an adverse impact. For example, this may induce an overload on the IP access nodes.

ARP and Neighbor Discovery may be subject to protections outlined in [RFC6192]; Interval and Retry Interval values SHOULD NOT be set too aggressively and upstream routers SHOULD ensure that sufficient

quantities of this traffic are permitted to safely ingress the control plane.

Unlike ARP and ND, BFD echo uses an IP packet destined for the IPoE client, the peer forwards the packet back to the IPoE client without any local processing.

Behaviour 2 ([Section 5.3](#)) introduces a privacy risk, possibly leaking lease information if the IPoE client has been moved to a different network, e.g., from one fixed line provider to another.

## 8. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign a new DHCPv6 Option Code in the registry maintained in <http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters> [1]:

Option Name	Value
-----	-----
OPTION_IPOE_HEALTH	TBA1

Also, IANA is requested to assign the following new DHCPv4 Option Code in the registry maintained in <http://www.iana.org/assignments/bootp-dhcp-parameters> [2]:

Option Name	Tag	Data	Length	Meaning
-------------	-----	------	--------	---------



This section should be removed by the RFC Editor.

- o Emphasised preference for use of BFD echo as the health check mechanism.
- o Removed lifetime expiration from Behaviour 2 and clarified usage.
- o Updated Behaviour 3 with instructions for whilst mid-renew/rebind.
- o Reworded multihoming section.
- o Added Acknowledgements.

## 12. Appendix C. Changes from -02

This section should be removed by the RFC Editor.

- o Added DHCP option flag to force ARP/ND for health checks.
- o Populated IANA Considerations.
- o Added Retry Interval distinct timer for between failed checks.
- o Added default parameter values.

## 13. References

### 13.1. Normative References

- [RFC0826] Plummer, D., "An Ethernet Address Resolution Protocol: Or Converting Network Protocol Addresses to 48.bit Ethernet Address for Transmission on Ethernet Hardware", STD 37, [RFC 826](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC0826, November 1982, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc826>>.
- [RFC2131] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", [RFC 2131](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2131, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2131>>.

- [RFC3315] Droms, R., Ed., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3315, July 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3315>>.
- [RFC4861] Narten, T., Nordmark, E., Simpson, W., and H. Soliman, "Neighbor Discovery for IP version 6 (IPv6)", [RFC 4861](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4861, September 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4861>>.
- [RFC5880] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", [RFC 5880](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5880, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5880>>.

### 13.2. Informative References

- [RFC1661] Simpson, W., Ed., "The Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP)", STD 51, [RFC 1661](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC1661, July 1994, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1661>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3203] T'Joens, Y., Hublet, C., and P. De Schrijver, "DHCP reconfigure extension", [RFC 3203](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3203, December 2001, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3203>>.
- [RFC4039] Park, S., Kim, P., and B. Volz, "Rapid Commit Option for the Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol version 4 (DHCPv4)", [RFC 4039](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4039, March 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4039>>.

- [RFC6192] Dugal, D., Pignataro, C., and R. Dunn, "Protecting the Router Control Plane", [RFC 6192](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6192, March 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6192>>.



- [RFC7084] Singh, H., Beebee, W., Donley, C., and B. Stark, "Basic Requirements for IPv6 Customer Edge Routers", [RFC 7084](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7084, November 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7084>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [TR-124] "Functional Requirements for Broadband Residential Gateway Devices", 2006, <<https://www.broadband-forum.org/technical/download/TR-124.pdf>>.
- [TR-146] "Subscriber Sessions", 2013, <<https://www.broadband-forum.org/technical/download/TR-146.pdf>>.

### 13.3. URIs

- [1] <http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters>
- [2] <http://www.iana.org/assignments/bootp-dhcp-parameters>

#### Authors' Addresses

Richard Patterson  
Sky UK

Email: richard.patterson@sky.uk

Mikael Abrahamsson  
T-Systems

Email: mikael.abrahamsson@t-systems.se