Network T. Pauly Internet-Draft Apple Inc. P. Wouters

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: March 25, 2017 Red Hat September 21, 2016

# Split DNS Configuration for IKEv2 draft-pauly-ipsecme-split-dns-02

#### Abstract

This document defines two Configuration Payload Attribute Types for the IKEv2 protocol that add support for private DNS domains. These domains should be resolved using DNS servers reachable through an IPsec connection, while leaving all other DNS resolution unchanged. This approach of resolving a subset of domains using non-public DNS servers is referred to as "Split DNS".

#### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{BCP}$  78 and  $\underline{BCP}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 25, 2017.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

### Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Introduction	2
<u>1.1</u> . Requirements Language	<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> . Background	<u>3</u>
3. Protocol Exchange	<u>3</u>
3.1. Configuration Request	<u>4</u>
3.2. Configuration Reply	<u>4</u>
3.3. Mapping DNS Servers to Domains	<u>5</u>
3.4. Example Exchanges	<u>5</u>
<u>3.4.1</u> . Simple Case	<u>5</u>
3.4.2. Requesting Limited Domains	<u>6</u>
3.4.3. Requesting Domains and DNSSEC trust anchors	<u>6</u>
4. Payload Formats	<u>7</u>
4.1. INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN Configuration Attribute Type	7
4.2. INTERNAL_DNSSEC_TA Configuration Attribute	<u>8</u>
5. Split DNS Usage Guidelines	8
$\underline{6}$ . Security Considerations	9
7. IANA Considerations	<u>10</u>
<u>8</u> . References	<u>10</u>
<u>8.1</u> . Normative References	<u>11</u>
8.2. Informative References	<u>11</u>
Authors' Addresses	11

### 1. Introduction

Split DNS is a common configuration for secure tunnels, such as Virtual Private Networks in which host machines private to an organization can only be resolved using internal DNS resolvers [RFC2775]. In such configurations, it is often desirable to only resolve hosts within a set of private domains using the tunnel, while letting resolutions for public hosts be handled by a device's default DNS configuration.

The Internet Key Exchange protocol version 2 [RFC7296] negotiates configuration parameters using Configuration Payload Attribute Types. This document defines two Configuration Payload Attribute Types that add support for trusted Split DNS domains.

The INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute type is used to convey one or more DNS domains that should be resolved only using the provided DNS nameserver IP addresses, causing these requests to use the IPsec connection.

The INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA attribute type is used to convey DNSSEC trust anchors for those domains.

When only a subset of traffic is routed into a private network using an IPsec SA, these Configuration Payload options can be used to define which private domains should be resolved through the IPsec connection without affecting the client's global DNS resolution.

For the purposes of this document, DNS resolution servers accessible through an IPsec connection will be referred to as "internal DNS servers", and other DNS servers will be referred to as "external DNS servers".

A client using these configuration payloads will be able to request and receive Split DNS configurations using the INTERNAL DNS DOMAIN and INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA configuration attributes. The client device can use the internal DNS server(s) for any DNS queries within the assigned domains, while routing other DNS gueries to its regular external DNS server.

#### 1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <a href="RFC 2119">RFC 2119</a> [RFC2119].

## 2. Background

Split DNS is a common configuration for enterprise VPN deployments, in which only one or a few private DNS domains are accessible and resolvable via an IPsec based VPN connection.

Other tunnel-establishment protocols already support the assignment of Split DNS domains. For example, there are proprietary extensions to IKEv1 that allow a server to assign Split DNS domains to a client. However, the IKEv2 standard does not include a method to configure this option. This document defines a standard way to negotiate this option for IKEv2.

## 3. Protocol Exchange

In order to negotiate which domains are considered internal to an IKEv2 tunnel, initiators indicate support for Split DNS in their CFG\_REQUEST payloads, and responders assign internal domains (and DNSSEC trust anchors) in their CFG\_REPLY payloads. When Split DNS has been negotiated, the existing DNS server configuration attributes will be interpreted as internal DNS servers that can resolve hostnames within the internal domains.

### 3.1. Configuration Request

To indicate support for Split DNS, an initiator sending a CFG REQUEST payload MAY include one or more INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes as defined in Section 4. If an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute is included in the CFG\_REQUEST, the initiator SHOULD also include one or both of the INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS and INTERNAL\_IP6\_DNS attributes in its CFG REQUEST.

If the length of the INTERNAL DNS DOMAIN attribute is zero, then the initiator is requesting that the attribute be assigned without restricting the subdomains that it will accept.

If the length of the INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN is greater than zero, the value is a single DNS domain. The initiator is indicating that it will only allow this domain and any sub-domains within this domain to be resolved using the internal DNS servers. The list of INTERNAL DNS DOMAIN attributes in the CFG REQUEST defines the full set of domains the intiator is willing to resolve using the internal DNS servers.

The absence of INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes in the CFG\_REQUEST payload indicates that the initiator does not support or is unwilling to accept Split DNS configuration.

To indicate support for DNSSEC, an initiator sending a CFG\_REQUEST payload MAY include one or more INTERNAL\_DNS\_TA attributes as defined in Section 4. These payloads MUST immediately follow a INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute, which binds the DNSSEC trust anchor request to the domain.

An initiator MAY convey its current DNSSEC trust anchors for the domain specified in the INTERNAL DNS DOMAIN attribute. If it does not wish to convey this information, it MUST use a length of 0.

The absence of INTERNAL\_DNS\_TA attributes in the CFG\_REQUEST payload indicates that the initiator does not support or is unwilling to accept DNSSEC trust anchor configuration.

# 3.2. Configuration Reply

Responders MAY send one or more INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes in their CFG\_REPLY payload if the CFG\_REQUEST contained at least one INTERNAL DNS DOMAIN attribute. If the CFG REQUEST did not contain an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute, the responder MUST NOT include an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute in the CFG\_REPLY. If an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute is included in the CFG\_REPLY, the responder SHOULD also include one or both of the INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS and INTERNAL\_IP6\_DNS attributes in its CFG\_REPLY. These DNS server configurations are necessary to define which servers should receive queries for hostnames in internal domains. If the CFG\_REQUEST included an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute, but the CFG\_REPLY does not include an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute, the initiator should behave as if Split DNS configurations are not supported by the server.

Each INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN represents a domain that the DNS servers address listed in INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS and INTERNAL\_IP6\_DNS can resolve.

If the CFG\_REQUEST included INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes with nonzero lengths, the CFG\_REPLY MUST NOT assign any domains in its INTERNAL DNS DOMAIN attributes that are not contained within the requested domains. The initiator SHOULD ignore any domains beyond its requested list.

For each DNS domain specified in an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute, one or more INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA attributes MAY be included by the responder. This attribute lists the corresponding DSSNEC trust anchor in the DNS wire format of a DS record as specified in [RFC4034]. The INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA attribute MUST immediately follow the INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute that it applies to.

## 3.3. Mapping DNS Servers to Domains

All DNS servers provided in the CFG\_REPLY MUST support resolving hostnames within all INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN domains. In other words, the INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes in a CFG\_REPLY payload form a single list of Split DNS domains that applies to the entire list of INTERNAL IP4 DNS and INTERNAL IP6 DNS attributes.

## 3.4. Example Exchanges

## 3.4.1. Simple Case

In this example exchange, the initiator requests INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS and INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes in its CFG\_REQUEST, but does not specify any value for either. This indicates that it supports Split DNS, but has no preference for which DNS requests should be routed through the tunnel.

The responder replies with two DNS server addresses, and one internal domain, "example.com".

Any subsequent DNS queries from the initiator for domains such as "www.example.com" should use 198.51.100.2 or 198.51.100.4 to resolve.

```
CP(CFG_REQUEST) =
   INTERNAL_IP4_ADDRESS()
   INTERNAL_IP4_DNS()
   INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN()

CP(CFG_REPLY) =
   INTERNAL_IP4_ADDRESS(198.51.100.234)
   INTERNAL_IP4_DNS(198.51.100.2)
   INTERNAL_IP4_DNS(198.51.100.4)
   INTERNAL_IP4_DNS_DOMAIN(example.com)
```

### 3.4.2. Requesting Limited Domains

In this example exchange, the initiator requests INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS and INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes in its CFG\_REQUEST, specifically requesting only "example.com" and "other.com". The responder replies with two DNS server addresses, 198.51.100.2 and 198.51.100.4, and two domains, "example.com" and "city.other.com". Note that one of the domains in the CFG\_REPLY, "city.other.com", is a subset of the requested domain, "other.com". This indicates that hosts within "other.com" that are not within "city.other.com" should be resolved using an external DNS server. The CFG\_REPLY would not be allowed to respond with "com" or "example.net", however, since these were contained within the limited set of requested domains.

Any subsequent DNS queries from the initiator for domains such as "www.example.com" or "city.other.com" should use 198.51.100.2 or 198.51.100.4 to resolve.

```
CP(CFG_REQUEST) =
   INTERNAL_IP4_ADDRESS()
   INTERNAL_IP4_DNS()
   INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN(example.com)
   INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN(other.com)

CP(CFG_REPLY) =
   INTERNAL_IP4_ADDRESS(198.51.100.234)
   INTERNAL_IP4_DNS(198.51.100.2)
   INTERNAL_IP4_DNS(198.51.100.4)
   INTERNAL_IP4_DNS_DOMAIN(example.com)
   INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN(city.other.com)
```

## 3.4.3. Requesting Domains and DNSSEC trust anchors

In this example exchange, the initiator requests INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS, INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN and INTERNAL\_DNS\_TA attributess in its CFG\_REQUEST

Any subsequent DNS queries from the initiator for domains such as "www.example.com" or "city.other.com" would be DNSSEC validated using the DNSSEC trust anchor received in the CFG\_REPLY

In this example, the initiator has no existing DNSSEC trust anchors would the requested domain. the "example.com" dommain has DNSSEC trust anchors that are returned, while the "other.com" domain has no DNSSEC trust anchors

```
CP(CFG_REQUEST) =
 INTERNAL_IP4_ADDRESS()
 INTERNAL_IP4_DNS()
 INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN(example.com)
 INTERNAL_DNS_TA()
 INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN(other.com)
 INTERNAL_DNS_TA()
CP(CFG_REPLY) =
  INTERNAL_IP4_ADDRESS(198.51.100.234)
  INTERNAL_IP4_DNS(198.51.100.2)
  INTERNAL_IP4_DNS(198.51.100.4)
  INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN(example.com)
  INTERNAL_DNS_TA(43547,8,1,B6225AB2CC613E0DCA7962BDC2342EA4F1B56083)
  INTERNAL_DNS_TA(31406,8,2,F78CF3344F72137235098ECBBD08947C2C90....)
 INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN(city.other.com)
```

## 4. Payload Formats

## 4.1. INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN Configuration Attribute Type

```
2
              1
\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{smallmatrix}
+-+----+
    Attribute Type | Length
+-+----+
                   Domain Name
+-----+
```

- o Reserved (1 bit) Defined in IKEv2 RFC [RFC7296].
- o Attribute Type (15 bits) [TBD IANA] INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN.
- o Length (2 octets, unsigned integer) Length of domain name.
- o Domain Name (0 or more octets) A domain or subdomain used for Split DNS rules, such as example.com. This is a string of ASCII

characters with labels separated by dots, with no trailing dot, using IDNA [RFC5890] for non-ASCII DNS domains. The value is NOT null-terminated.

## 4.2. INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA Configuration Attribute

	1		2	3
	67890123			
R	Attribute Type	İ	Lengt	ih
1	Key Tag	l A	lgorithm	Digest Type

- o Reserved (1 bit) Defined in IKEv2 RFC [RFC7296].
- o Attribute Type (15 bits) [TBD IANA] INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA.
- o Length (2 octets, unsigned integer) Length of DNSSEC Trust Anchor data.
- o Key Tag value (0 or 2 octets, unsigned integer) Key Tag as specified in [RFC4034] Section 5.1
- o DNSKEY algorithm (0 or 1 octet) Value from the IANA DNS Security Algorithm Numbers Registry
- o DS algorithm (0 or 1 octet) Value from the IANA Delegation Signer (DS) Resource Record (RR) Type Digest Algorithms Registry
- o Digest (0 or more octets) The raw digest as specified in [RFC4034] Section 5.1

## 5. Split DNS Usage Guidelines

If a CFG\_REPLY payload contains no INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes, the client MAY use the provided INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS or INTERNAL\_IP6\_DNS servers as the default DNS server(s) for all queries.

For each INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN entry in a CFG\_REPLY payload, the client SHOULD use the provided INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS or INTERNAL\_IP6\_DNS DNS servers as the only resolvers for the listed domains and its subdomains and it SHOULD NOT attempt to resolve the provided DNS domains using its external DNS servers.

If the initiator host is configured to block DNS answers containing IP addresses from special IP address ranges such as those of [RFC1918], the initiator SHOULD allow the DNS domains listed in the INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes to contain these IP addresses.

If a CFG\_REPLY contains one or more INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes, the client SHOULD configure its DNS resolver to resolve those domains and all their subdomains using only the DNS resolver(s) listed in that CFG\_REPLY message. If those resolvers fail, those names SHOULD NOT be resolved using any other DNS resolvers. All other domain names MUST be resolved using some other external DNS resolver(s), configured independently, and SHOULD NOT be sent to the internal DNS resolver(s) listed in that CFG\_REPLY message. For example, if the INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute specifies "example.com", then "example.com", "www.example.com" and "mail.eng.example.com" MUST be resolved using the internal DNS resolver(s), but "anotherexample.com" and "ample.com" MUST be resolved using the system's external DNS resolver(s).

An initiator SHOULD ignore INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attributes containing domains that are designated Special Use Domain Names in [RFC6761], such as "local", "localhost", "invalid", etc. Although it may explicitly wish to support some Special Use Domain Names.

When an IPsec connection is terminated, the DNS forwarding must be unconfigured. The DNS forwarding itself MUST be be deleted. All cached data of the INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN provided DNS domainis MUST be flushed. This includes negative cache entries. Obtained DNSSEC trust anchors MUST be removed from the list of trust anchors. The outstanding DNS request queue MAY be cleared.

A domain that is served via INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN MUST NOT have indirect references to DNS records that point to other Split DNS domains that are not served via INTERNAL DNS DOMAIN attributes. Indirect reference RRtypes include CNAME, DNAME, MX and SRV RR's.

INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN and INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA attributes SHOULD only be used on split tunnel configurations where only a subset of traffic is routed into a private remote network using the IPsec connection. If all traffic is routed over the IPsec connection, the existing global INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS and INTERNAL\_IP6\_DNS can be used without creating specific DNS exemptions.

## 6. Security Considerations

The use of Split DNS configurations assigned by an IKEv2 responder is predicated on the trust established during IKE SA authentication. However, if IKEv2 is being negotiated with an anonymous or unknown

endpoint (such as for Opportunistic Security [RFC7435]), the initiator MUST ignore Split DNS configurations assigned by the responder.

If a host connected to an authenticated IKE peer is connecting to another IKE peer that attempts to claim the same domain via the INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute, the IKE connection should be terminated.

If the IP address value of the received INTERNAL\_IP4\_DNS or INTERNAL\_IP6\_DNS attribute is not covered by the proposed IPsec connection, then the local DNS should not be reconfigured until a CREATE\_CHILD Exchange is received that covers these IP addresses.

INTERNAL DNSSEC TA directives MUST immediately follow an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN directive. As the INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA format itself does not contain the domain name, it relies on the preceding INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN to provide the domain for which it specifies the trust anchor.

If the initiator is using DNSSEC validation for a domain in its public DNS view, and it requests and receives an INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN attribute without an INTERNAL\_DNSSEC\_TA, it will need to reconfigure its DNS resolver to allow for an insecure delegation. It SHOULD NOT accept insecure delegations for domains that are DNSSEC signed in the public DNS view, for which it has not explicitely requested such deletation by specifying the domain specifically using a INTERNAL\_DNS\_DOMAIN(domain) request.

### 7. IANA Considerations

This document defines two new IKEv2 Configuration Payload Attribute Types, which are allocated from the "IKEv2 Configuration Payload Attribute Types" namespace.

	Multi-			
Value	Attribute Type	Valued	Length	Reference
[TBD]	INTERNAL_DNS_DOMAIN	YES	0 or more	[this document]
[TBD]	INTERNAL_DNSSEC_TA	YES	0 or more	[this document]

Figure 1

#### 8. References

#### 8.1. Normative References

- [RFC1918] Rekhter, Y., Moskowitz, B., Karrenberg, D., de Groot, G.,
  and E. Lear, "Address Allocation for Private Internets",
  BCP 5, RFC 1918, DOI 10.17487/RFC1918, February 1996,
  <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1918>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
  Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
  <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</a>.

- [RFC7296] Kaufman, C., Hoffman, P., Nir, Y., Eronen, P., and T.
  Kivinen, "Internet Key Exchange Protocol Version 2
   (IKEv2)", STD 79, RFC 7296, DOI 10.17487/RFC7296, October
  2014, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7296">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7296</a>.

### 8.2. Informative References

- [RFC2775] Carpenter, B., "Internet Transparency", RFC 2775, DOI 10.17487/RFC2775, February 2000, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2775">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2775</a>>.
- [RFC6761] Cheshire, S. and M. Krochmal, "Special-Use Domain Names", <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6761">RFC 6761</a>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6761, February 2013, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6761">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6761</a>.
- [RFC7435] Dukhovni, V., "Opportunistic Security: Some Protection Most of the Time", <u>RFC 7435</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7435, December 2014, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7435">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7435</a>>.

Authors' Addresses

Tommy Pauly Apple Inc. 1 Infinite Loop Cupertino, California 95014 US

Email: tpauly@apple.com

Paul Wouters Red Hat

Email: pwouters@redhat.com