

Network
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: September 9, 2017

T. Pauly
D. Schinazi
Apple Inc.
March 8, 2017

An Update to Happy Eyeballs
draft-pauly-v6ops-happy-eyeballs-update-00

Abstract

"Happy Eyeballs" ([RFC6555](#)) is the name for a technique of reducing user-visible delays on dual-stack hosts. Since one address family (IPv4 or IPv6) may be blocked, broken, or sub-optimal on a network, clients that attempt connections for both address families in parallel have a higher chance of establishing a connection sooner. Now that this approach has been deployed at scale and measured for several years, the algorithm specification can be refined to improve its reliability and generalization.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 9, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#) [2](#)
- [1.1. Requirements Language](#) [2](#)
- [2. Hostname Resolution Query Handling](#) [3](#)
- [2.1. Handling Multiple DNS Server Addresses](#) [3](#)
- [3. Sorting Addresses](#) [3](#)
- [4. Connection Attempt Delay](#) [4](#)
- [5. Handling DNS Answer Changes](#) [5](#)
- [6. Summary of Configurable Values](#) [5](#)
- [7. Security Considerations](#) [5](#)
- [8. IANA Considerations](#) [6](#)
- [9. Acknowledgments](#) [6](#)
- [10. References](#) [6](#)
- [10.1. Normative References](#) [6](#)
- [10.2. Informative References](#) [6](#)
- Authors' Addresses [6](#)

1. Introduction

"Happy Eyeballs" [[RFC6555](#)] is the name for a technique of reducing user-visible delays on dual-stack hosts. Since one address family (IPv4 or IPv6) may be blocked, broken, or sub-optimal on a network, clients that attempt connections for both address families in parallel have a higher chance of establishing a connection sooner. Now that this approach has been deployed at scale and measured for several years, the algorithm specification can be refined to improve its reliability and generalization.

This document recommends an algorithm of racing resolved addresses that has several stages of ordering and racing to avoid delays to the user whenever possible, while preferring the use of IPv6. Specifically, it discusses how to handle DNS queries when starting a connection on a dual-stack client, how to create an ordered list of addresses to which to attempt connections, and how to race the connection attempts.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels" [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

2. Hostname Resolution Query Handling

When a client has both IPv4 and IPv6 connectivity, and is trying to establish a connection with a named host, it needs to send out both A and AAAA DNS queries. Both queries SHOULD be sent on the wire as close together as possible, with the ordering being dictated by the system's host address preference policy. For example, if the system has a preference for IPv6, it will send the AAAA query first, immediately followed by the A query.

Implementations MUST NOT wait for both families of answers to return before attempting connection establishment. If one query fails to return, or takes significantly longer to return, waiting for the second address family can significantly delay the connection establishment of the first one. If the system's host address preference policy is set to prefer IPv6, if the AAAA query returns first, the first IPv6 connection attempt MUST be immediately started. If the A query returns first, the client SHOULD wait for a short time for the AAAA response. This delay will be referred to as the "Resolution Delay". A recommended delay is 50 milliseconds. If the AAAA response is received within the delay period, the client MUST start the IPv6 connection attempt. If the AAAA response has not been received at the end of the delay period, the client SHOULD start the IPv4 connection attempt.

2.1. Handling Multiple DNS Server Addresses

If multiple DNS server addresses are configured for the current network, the client may have the option of sending its DNS queries over IPv4 or IPv6. In keeping with the Happy Eyeballs approach, queries SHOULD be sent over IPv6 first. If DNS queries sent to the IPv6 address do not receive responses, that address may be marked as penalized, and queries can be sent to other DNS server addresses.

As native IPv6 deployments become more prevalent, and IPv4 addresses are exhausted, it is expected that IPv6 connectivity will have preferential treatment within networks. If a DNS server is configured to be accessible over IPv6, IPv6 should be assumed to be the preferred address family.

3. Sorting Addresses

Before attempting to connect to any of the resolved addresses, the client should define the order in which to start the attempts. Once the order has been defined, the client can use a simple algorithm for racing each option after a short delay [[Section 4](#)]. It is important that the ordered list involves all addresses from both families, as

this allows the client to get the racing effect of Happy Eyeballs for the entire list, not just the first IPv4 and first IPv6 addresses.

First, the client MUST sort the addresses using Destination Address Selection ([\[RFC6724\], Section 6](#)).

If the client is stateful and has history of expected round-trip times (RTT) for the routes to access each address, it SHOULD add a Destination Address Selection rule between rules 8 and 9 that prefers addresses with lower RTTs. If the client keeps track of which addresses it has used in the past, it SHOULD add another destination address selection rule between the RTT rule and rule 9, which prefers used addresses over unused ones. This helps servers that use the client's IP address for authentication, as is the case for TCP Fast Open ([\[RFC7413\]](#)) and some HTTP cookies. This historical data MUST NOT be used across networks, and SHOULD be flushed on network changes.

Next, the client SHOULD modify the ordered list to interleave address families. Whichever address family is first in the list should be followed by an address of the other address family; that is, if the first address in the sorted list is IPv6, then the first IPv4 address should be moved up in the list to be second in the list. An implementation MAY want to favor one address family more by allowing multiple addresses of that family to be attempted before trying the other family. The number of contiguous addresses of the first address family will be referred to as the "First Address Family Count", and can be a configurable value.

4. Connection Attempt Delay

Once the list of addresses has been constructed, the client will attempt to make connections. In order to minimize network load, connection attempts SHOULD NOT be made simultaneously. Instead, one connection attempt to a single address is started first, followed by the others in the list, one at a time. Starting a new connection attempt does not affect previous attempts, as multiple connection attempts may occur in parallel. Once one of the connection attempts succeeds (generally when the TCP handshake completes), all other connections attempts that have not yet succeeded SHOULD be cancelled. Any address that was not yet attempted as a connection SHOULD be ignored.

A simple implementation can have a fixed delay for how long to wait before starting the next connection attempt. This delay is referred to as the "Connection Attempt Delay". One recommended value for this delay is 250 milliseconds. If the client has historical RTT data, it can also use the expected RTT to choose a more nuanced delay value.

The recommended formula for calculating the delay after starting a connection attempt is: $\text{MAX}(1.25 * \text{RTT_MEAN} + 4 * \text{RTT_VARIANCE}, 2 * \text{RTT_MEAN})$, where the RTT values are based on the statistics for previous address used. If the TCP implementation leverages historical RTT data to compute SYN timeout, these algorithms should match so that a new attempt will be started at the same time as the previous is sending its second TCP SYN.

5. Handling DNS Answer Changes

If, during the course of connection establishment, the DNS answers change either by adding resolved addresses, or removing previously resolved addresses, the client should react based on its current progress.

If an address is removed from the list that already had a connection attempt started, the connection attempt SHOULD NOT be cancelled, but rather be allowed to continue. If the removed address had not yet had a connection attempt started, it SHOULD be removed from the list of addresses to try.

If an address is added to the list, it should be sorted into the list of addresses not yet attempted according to the rules above ([Section 3](#)).

6. Summary of Configurable Values

The values that may be configured as defaults on a client for use in Happy Eyeballs are as follows:

- o Resolution Delay ([Section 2](#)): The time to wait for a AAAA response after receiving an A response. Recommended at 50 milliseconds.
- o First Address Family Count ([Section 3](#)): The number of addresses belonging to the first address family (such as IPv6) that should be attempted before attempting another address family. Recommended as 1, or 2 to more aggressively favor one address family.
- o Connection Attempt Delay ([Section 4](#)): The time to wait between connection attempts in the absence of RTT data. Recommended at 250 milliseconds.

7. Security Considerations

This memo has no direct security considerations.

8. IANA Considerations

This memo includes no request to IANA.

9. Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dan Wing, Andrew Yourtchenko, and everyone else who worked on the original Happy Eyeballs design ([RFC6555]), Josh Graessley, Stuart Cheshire, and the rest of team at Apple that helped implement and instrument this algorithm, and Jason Fesler and Paul Saab who helped measure and refine this algorithm.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC6555] Wing, D. and A. Yourtchenko, "Happy Eyeballs: Success with Dual-Stack Hosts", [RFC 6555](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6555, April 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6555>>.
- [RFC6724] Thaler, D., Ed., Draves, R., Matsumoto, A., and T. Chown, "Default Address Selection for Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6)", [RFC 6724](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6724, September 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6724>>.

10.2. Informative References

- [RFC7413] Cheng, Y., Chu, J., Radhakrishnan, S., and A. Jain, "TCP Fast Open", [RFC 7413](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7413, December 2014, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7413>>.

Authors' Addresses

Tommy Pauly
Apple Inc.
1 Infinite Loop
Cupertino, California 95014
US

Email: tpauly@apple.com

David Schinazi
Apple Inc.
1 Infinite Loop
Cupertino, California 95014
US

Email: dschinazi@apple.com