BEHAVE Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: March 01, 2014 M. Petit-Huguenin Impedance Mismatch S. Nandakumar G. Salgueiro P. Jones Cisco Systems August 28, 2013

Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN) Uniform Resource Identifiers draft-petithuguenin-behave-turn-uris-06

Abstract

This document specifies the syntax of Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) schemes for the Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN) protocol. It defines two URI schemes to provision the TURN Resolution Mechanism [RFC5928].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 01, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in <u>Section 4</u>.e of

Petit-Huguenin, et al. Expires March 01, 2014

[Page 1]

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Introduction
<u>2</u> . Terminology
$\underline{3}$. Definitions of the TURN and TURNS URI
<u>3.1</u> . URI Scheme Syntax
<u>3.2</u> . URI Scheme Semantics
$\underline{4}$. Implementation Status
<u>4.1</u> . turnuri
<u>4.2</u> . libjingle
<u>4.3</u> . Firefox
<u>5</u> . Security Considerations
<u>6</u> . IANA Considerations
<u>6.1</u> . TURN URI Registration
6.2. TURNS URI Registration
<u>7</u> . Acknowledgements
<u>8</u> . References
<u>8.1</u> . Normative References
<u>8.2</u> . Informative References
Appendix A. Examples
Appendix B. Design Notes
Appendix C. Release notes
C.1. Modifications between petithuguenin-behave-turn-uris-06
and petithuguenin-behave-turn-uris-05 <u>10</u>
Authors' Addresses

<u>1</u>. Introduction

This document specifies the syntax and semantics of the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) scheme for the Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN) protocol.

The TURN protocol is a specification allowing hosts behind NAT to control the operation of a relay server. The relay server allows hosts to exchange packets with its peers. The peers themselves may also be behind NATs. <u>RFC 5766</u> [<u>RFC5766</u>] defines the specifics of the TURN protocol.

The "turn" and "turns" URI schemes are used to designate a TURN server (also known as a relay) on Internet hosts accessible using the TURN protocol. With the advent of standards such as [WEBRTC], we anticipate a plethora of endpoints and web applications to be able to identify and communicate with such a TURN server to carry out the TURN protocol. This also implies those endpoints and/or applications to be provisioned with appropriate configuration required to identify

[Page 2]

the TURN server. Having an inconsistent syntax has its drawbacks and can result in non-interoperable solutions. It can result in solutions that are ambiguous and have implementation limitations on the different aspects of the syntax and alike. The "turn/turns" URI scheme helps alleviate most of these issues by providing a consistent way to describe, configure and exchange the information identifying a TURN server. This would also prevent the shortcomings inherent with encoding similar information in non-uniform syntaxes such as the ones proposed in [WEBRTC], for example.

[RFC5928] defines a resolution mechanism to convert a secure flag, a host name or IP address, an eventually empty port, and an eventually empty transport to a list of IP address, port, and TURN transport tuples.

To simplify the provisioning of TURN clients, this document defines a TURN and a TURNS URI scheme that can carry the four components needed for the resolution mechanism.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] when they appear in ALL CAPS. When these words are not in ALL CAPS (such as "should" or "Should"), they have their usual english meanings, and are not to be interpreted as RFC 2119 key words.

3. Definitions of the TURN and TURNS URI

<u>3.1</u>. URI Scheme Syntax

A TURN/TURNS URI has the following formal ABNF syntax [RFC5234]:

turnURI	<pre>= scheme ":" turn-host [":" turn-port] </pre>				
	["?transport=" transport]				
scheme	"turn" / "turns"				
transport	"udp" / "tcp" / transport-ext				
transport-ext	= 1*unreserved				
turn-host	= IP-literal / IPv4address / reg-name				
turn-port	= *DIGIT				
IP-literal	= "[" (IPv6address / IPvFuture) "]"				
IPvFuture	<pre>= "v" 1*HEXDIG "." 1*(unreserved / sub-delims / ":")</pre>				
IPv6address	= 6(h16 ":") ls32				
	/ "::" 5(h16 ":") ls32				
	/ [h16] "::" 4(h16 ":") ls32				
	/ [*1(h16 ":") h16] "::" 3(h16 ":") ls32				
	/ [*2(h16 ":") h16] "::" 2(h16 ":") ls32				
	/ [*3(h16 ":") h16] "::" h16 ":" ls32				

	/ [*4(h16 ":") h16] "::"	ls32
	/ [*5(h16 ":") h16] "::"	h16
	/ [*6(h16 ":") h16] "::"	
h16	= 1*4HEXDIG	
ls32	= (h16 ":" h16) / IPv4address	
IPv4address	= dec-octet "." dec-octet "." dec-octet "."	dec-octet
dec-octet	= DIGIT ; 0-9	
	/ %x31-39 DIGIT ; 10-99	
	/ "1" 2DIGIT ; 100-199	
	/ "2" %x30-34 DIGIT ; 200-249	
	/ "25" %x30-35 ; 250-255	
reg-name	= *(unreserved / pct-encoded / sub-delims)

<unreserved>, <pct-encoded>, and <sub-delims> are specified in
[RFC3986]. The core rules <DIGIT> and <HEXDIGIT> are used as
described in Appendix B of RFC 5234 [RFC5234].

The <host>, <port> and <transport> components are passed without modification to the [RFC5928] algorithm. <secure> is set to false if <scheme> is equal to "turn" and set to true if <scheme> is equal to "turns" and passed to the [RFC5928] algorithm with the other components.

3.2. URI Scheme Semantics

The TURN protocol supports sending messages over UDP, TCP or TLSover-TCP. The "turns" URI scheme MUST be used when TURN is run over TLS-over-TCP (or in the future DTLS-over-UDP) and the "turn" scheme MUST be used otherwise.

The required <host> part of the "turn" URI denotes the TURN server host.

As specified in [<u>RFC5766</u>] and [<u>RFC5928</u>], the <port> part, if present, denotes the port on which the TURN server is awaiting connection requests. If it is absent, the default port is 3478 for both UDP and TCP. The default port for TURN over TLS is 5349.

<u>4</u>. Implementation Status

Note to RFC Editor: Please remove this section and the reference to [<u>RFC6982</u>] before publication.

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC6982]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to

TURN URIS

assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may exist.

According to [<u>RFC6982</u>], "this will allow reviewers and working groups to assign due consideration to documents that have the benefit of running code, which may serve as evidence of valuable experimentation and feedback that have made the implemented protocols more mature. It is up to the individual working groups to use this information as they see fit".

<u>4.1</u>. turnuri

Organization: Impedance Mismatch

- Name: turnuri 0.3.4 <u>http://debian.implementers.org/stable/source/</u> <u>turnuri.tar.gz</u>
- Description: A reference implementation of this document and of <u>RFC</u> <u>5928</u> [<u>RFC5928</u>].

Level of maturity: Beta.

Coverage: Fully implements this specification and <u>RFC 5928</u>.

Licensing: AGPL3

Contact: Marc Petit-Huguenin <marc@petit-huguenin.org>.

<u>4.2</u>. libjingle

Organization: Google Inc.

- Description: Libjingle is a set of components provided by Google to implement Jingle protocols XEP-166 (<u>http://xmpp.org/extensions/</u> <u>xep-0166.html</u>) and XEP-167 (<u>http://xmpp.org/extensions/</u> <u>xep-0167.html</u>).

Level of maturity: Beta.

Coverage: Implements <u>draft-petithuguenin-behave-turn-uris-01</u> without IPv6.

Licensing: BSD 3-clauses license.

Contact: https://code.google.com/p/chromium/

4.3. Firefox

Organization: Mozilla

- Name: Firefox Aurora 21 <u>http://hg.mozilla.org/mozilla-central/rev/</u> <u>6b5016ab9ebb</u>
- Description: Mozilla Firefox is a free and open source web browser.

Level of maturity: Beta.

Coverage: Implements <u>draft-petithuguenin-behave-turn-uri-03</u> without <u>RFC 5928</u>.

Licensing: Mozilla Public License, v2.0.

Contact: <u>http://www.mozilla.org/en-US/firefox/channel/</u>

<u>5</u>. Security Considerations

Security considerations for the resolution mechanism are discussed in [<u>RFC5928</u>].

The "turn" and "turns" URI schemes do not introduce any specific security issues beyond the security considerations discussed in [RFC3986].

Security considerations for the resolution mechanism are discussed in <u>Section 5 of [RFC5928]</u>. Note that that section contains normative text defining authentication procedures to be followed by turn clients when TLS is used.

<u>6</u>. IANA Considerations

This section contains the registration information for the "turn" and "turns" URI Schemes (in accordance with [<u>RFC4395</u>]).

<u>6.1</u>. TURN URI Registration

URI scheme name: turn

Petit-Huguenin, et al. Expires March 01, 2014 [Page 6]

Status: permanent

URI scheme syntax: See <u>Section 3.1</u>.

URI scheme semantics: See Section 3.2.

Encoding considerations: There are no encoding considerations beyond those in [<u>RFC3986</u>].

Applications/protocols that use this URI scheme name:

The "turn" URI scheme is intended to be used by applications that might need access to a TURN server.

Interoperability considerations: N/A

Security considerations: See <u>Section 5</u>.

Contact: Marc Petit-Huguenin <petithug@acm.org>

Author/Change controller: The IESG

References: RFCXXXX

[[NOTE TO RFC EDITOR: Please change XXXX to the number assigned to this specification, and remove this paragraph on publication.]]

6.2. TURNS URI Registration

URI scheme name: turns

Status: permanent

URI scheme syntax: See Section 3.1.

URI scheme semantics: See <u>Section 3.2</u>.

Encoding considerations: There are no encoding considerations beyond those in [<u>RFC3986</u>].

Applications/protocols that use this URI scheme name:

The "turns" URI scheme is intended to be used by applications that might need access to a TURN server over a secure connection.

Interoperability considerations: N/A

Security considerations: See <u>Section 5</u>.

Petit-Huguenin, et al. Expires March 01, 2014 [Page 7]

Contact: Marc Petit-Huguenin <petithug@acm.org>

Author/Change controller: The IESG

References: RFCXXXX

[[NOTE TO RFC EDITOR: Please change XXXX to the number assigned to this specification, and remove this paragraph on publication.]]

7. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Margaret Wasserman, Magnus Westerlund, Juergen Schoenwaelder, Sean Turner, Ted Hardie, Dave Thaler, Alfred E. Heggestad, Eilon Yardeni, Dan Wing, Alfred Hoenes, and Jim Kleck for the comments, suggestions and questions that helped improve the <u>draft-petithuguenin-behave-turn-uri-bis</u> document.

Many thanks to Cullen Jennings for his detailed review and thoughtful comments on the <u>draft-nandakumar-rtcweb-turn-uri</u> document.

Thanks to Bjoern Hoehrmann, Dan Wing, Russ Housley, S. Moonesamy, Graham Klyne, Harald Alvestrand, Hadriel Kaplan and Tina Tsou for the comments, suggestions and questions that helped improve this document.

The authors would also like to express their gratitude to Dan Wing for his assistance in shepherding this document. We also want to thank Gonzalo Camarillo, the Real-time Applications and Infrastructure Director, for sponsoring this document as well his careful reviews.

The <turn-port> and <turn-host> ABNF productions have been copied from the <port> and <host> ABNF productions from [<u>RFC3986</u>].

<u>8</u>. References

8.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, <u>RFC</u> <u>3986</u>, January 2005.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, <u>RFC 5234</u>, January 2008.

- [RFC5766] Mahy, R., Matthews, P., and J. Rosenberg, "Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN): Relay Extensions to Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN)", <u>RFC 5766</u>, April 2010.
- [RFC5928] Petit-Huguenin, M., "Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN) Resolution Mechanism", <u>RFC 5928</u>, August 2010.

8.2. Informative References

- [RFC4395] Hansen, T., Hardie, T., and L. Masinter, "Guidelines and Registration Procedures for New URI Schemes", <u>BCP 35</u>, <u>RFC</u> <u>4395</u>, February 2006.
- [WEBRTC] Bergkvist, A., Burnett, D., Jennings, C., and A. Narayanan, "WebRTC 1.0: Real-time Communication Between Browsers", World Wide Web Consortium WD WDwebrtc-20120821, August 2012, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2012/WD-webrtc-20120821>.
- [RFC6982] Sheffer, Y. and A. Farrel, "Improving Awareness of Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", <u>RFC 6982</u>, July 2013.

<u>Appendix A</u>. Examples

Table 1 shows how the <secure>, <port> and <transport> components are populated from various URIs. For all these examples, the <host> component is populated with "example.org".

+ URI +	<secure></secure>	<port></port>	++ <transport> ++</transport>
<pre> turn:example.org turns:example.org turn:example.org:8000 turn:example.org?transport=udp turn:example.org?transport=tcp turns:example.org?transport=tcp</pre>	false true false false false	 8000 	 UDP TCP TLS

Table 1

<u>Appendix B</u>. Design Notes

o The ABNF in this document duplicates the <IP-literal>, <IPv4address>, and <reg-name> productions and other dependent productions from [RFC3986], instead of referencing them. This is because the definitions in RFC 3986 are for hierarchical URIs, so

using these references in an opaque URI made reviewers think that a hierarchical URI parser could be used to parse the URIs defined in this document.

- o One recurring comment was to stop using the suffix "s" on URI scheme, and to move the secure option to a parameter (e.g. ";proto=tls"). We decided against this idea because the STUN URI does not have a ";proto=" parameter and we would have lost the symmetry between the TURN and STUN URIS. A more detailed account of the reasoning behind this is available at <<u>http://blog.marc</u> .petit-huguenin.org/2012/09/on-design-of-stun-and-turn-uriformats.html>
- o Following the advice of <u>RFC 4395 section 2.2</u>., and because the TURN URI does not describe a hierarchical structure, the TURN URIs are opaque URIS.
- o <password> is not used in the URIs because it is deprecated
 [RFC3986]. <username> and <auth> are not used in the URIs because
 they do not guide the resolution mechanism.
- o As discussed at IETF 72 in Dublin, there is no generic parameters in the URI to prevent compatibility issues.

Appendix C. Release notes

This section must be removed before publication as an RFC.

- <u>C.1</u>. Modifications between petithuguenin-behave-turn-uris-06 and petithuguenin-behave-turn-uris-05
 - Remove the <IP-literal>, <IPv4address>, and <reg-name> ABNF
 productions and other dependant productions, added references to
 <u>RFC 3986</u>, and modified the design note to warn developers against using a hierarchical URI parser.
 - o Update the normative reference for <u>RFC 6982</u>, refresh the boilerplate, moved the download links into the name item.
 - o Modify the release notes to add "auth" to the list of parameters not supported.
 - o Add clarification that <u>RFC 5766</u> and 5928 are the references for finding the default port.
 - o Add paragraph in security section making clear tha reading <u>RFC</u> <u>5928</u> <u>section 5</u> is essential.

Petit-Huguenin, et al. Expires March 01, 2014 [Page 10]

Authors' Addresses

Marc Petit-Huguenin Impedance Mismatch

Email: petithug@acm.org

Suhas Nandakumar Cisco Systems 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134 US

Email: snandaku@cisco.com

Gonzalo Salgueiro Cisco Systems 7200-12 Kit Creek Road Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 US

Email: gsalguei@cisco.com

Paul E. Jones Cisco Systems 7025 Kit Creek Road Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 US

Email: paulej@packetizer.com

Petit-Huguenin, et al. Expires March 01, 2014 [Page 11]