

SIP Working Group
Internet-Draft
Expires: January 9th, 2008
Intended Status: Standards Track
Updates [RFC 4412](#) (if published)

James Polk
Cisco Systems

New Session Initiation Protocol Resource-Priority Header
Namespaces for the Defense Information Systems Agency
[draft-polk-sip-rph-new-namespaces-01](#)

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 9th, 2008.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

Abstract

This document creates additional Session Initiation Protocol Resource-Priority header namespaces, to be IANA registered. This document intends to update [RFC 4412](#), as a Proposed Standard document if published by the RFC-Editor.

Internet-Draft

New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA

July 2007

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1	Conventions used in this document	3
2.	Creating an Implied RPH Namespace Delimiter	3
2.1	One-Part or Two-Part Namespaces Do Not Change Anything	3
3.	New RPH Namespaces Created	4
4.	IANA Considerations	5
5.	Security Considerations	11
6.	Acknowledgements	11
7.	References	11
7.1	Normative References	11
	Author's Address	11
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	12

[1.](#) Introduction

The US Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) is rolling out their Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) based architecture at this time. This network will require more Resource-Priority header (RPH) namespaces than were defined, and IANA registered, in [RFC 4412](#) [[RFC4412](#)]. The purpose of this document is to define these additional namespaces. Each will be [RFC 4412](#) defined preemption based in nature, and will have the same 5 priority-values. However, the need for additional namespaces is due to DISA's plan to have multiple divisions within their network, which will limit the ability of one of these divisions from preempting sessions identified as being from another namespace division. A simple example of this is within the DSN network, there may be a specific namespace assigned to the US Army, one to the US Navy, one to the US Air Force, and one to the US Marines. Each of these namespaces will need to be identified as being part of the DSN network, so each namespace will start with "dsn", such as

dsn-usarmy.priority-value

while another namespace within the dsn network is

dsn-usmarines.priority-value

and so on for the Navy and Air Force.

A unique application of these different namespaces is that they will be able to gain preferential treatment only to SIP messages, and by extension - the sessions established with like namespaces, but not other messages/sessions with different namespaces. This is a local policy decision that [RFC 4412](#) considers to be fundamental.

In other words, messages with a namespace of dsn-usarmy may only have their RPH priority-values compared for preferential treatment to other dsn-usarmy namespaces, and not any other namespaces, unless

Polk

Expires January 9th, 2008

[Page 2]

Internet-Draft

New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA

July 2007

two or more (complete) namespaces are considered to be equivalent, as defined in [section 8 of RFC 4412](#) [[RFC4412](#)].

This is all a matter of local policy. However, this policy is a known requirement from DISA to support moving forward.

[1.1](#) Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

[2.](#) Creating an Implied RPH Namespace Delimiter

As shown in [section 1](#) of this document, the common ASCII character separating one namespace from another is the '-' dash character. The beginning 3 characters of each namespace created within this document is "dsn". This document does not officially split the RPH namespace into 3 parts, but RECOMMENDS another creating an IANA registered RPH namespace, or one that is not IANA registered, avoid the use of the '-' dash character unless they wish to have some implementations process this character as a delimiter because namespace parts. The DISA network, the original reason for the creation of the SIP Resource-Priority header, intends to use this character in this fashion.

[2.1](#) One-Part or Two-Part Namespaces Do Not Change Anything

The fact that there is a '-' dash character does not change the fact that everything on the left side of the '.' character is either the

same or different. This means this document does not create a 2-part namespace, and by extension, a 3-part Resource-Priority header value. The simple fact that anything has changed on the left side of the '.' character means there is a new namespace to process, regardless of whether this difference is on the right or left side of a '-' dash character within what [RFC 4412](#) defines as the namespace field within the RPH.

That said, code in a SIP entity can look for the '-' dash character to identify a virtual delimiter to be used however that implementation wants. This is also viewed as an effective visual delimiter for anyone looking at the RPH namespace to see which subgroup within a primary domain the namespace belongs to (or within).

The purpose of the characters on the left side of the '-' dash character in the RPH namespace is not binding, but is generally understood to be the domain identifier part of the namespace.

Polk

Expires January 9th, 2008

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA

July 2007

Equally, the purpose of the characters on the right side of the '-' dash character in the RPH namespace is also not binding, but is generally understood to be the sub-domain identifier. Looking at the namespaces shown in [section 1](#) of this document, DISA is defining all of these new namespaces to be within the "dsn" domain (the first part of all the namespaces here), of which all users within the US Army will communicate within. All the users within the US Navy, US Air Force and US Marines also will only communicate within the "dsn" domain. Thus the subdomain for these 4 groups are "usarmy", "usnavy", "usairforce", and "usmarines". This scenario creates four new RPH namespaces:

```
dsn-usarmy
dsn-usnavy
dsn-usairforce
dsn-usmarines
```

that can be viewed as being part of the same network-ID ("dsn") and different subdomains, called a precedence-domain, which are separated by a '-' dash character. The '-' dash character is part of the overall single namespace of each.

If any one (or more) character(s) in a namespace is different, it is to be considered a different namespace. For example, "dsn-usarmy" is a different namespace than "dsn-usarmy1", which is different than

"dsn-usarmy2". The fact that the differences between these namespaces are on the right side of the '-' dash character means SIP, through [RFC 4412](#), interprets the difference to be a namespace difference. Hence, a 417 (Unknown Namespace) is the appropriate response to a Resource-Priority header with an unrecognized namespace. The same is true for a comparison between these namespaces: "dsn-usarmy" and "dsn2-usarmy".

3. New RPH Namespaces Created

The following 50 SIP Resource Priority header namespaces are created by this document:

dsn-000000	dsn-000010	dsn-000020	dsn-000030
dsn-000001	dsn-000011	dsn-000021	dsn-000031
dsn-000002	dsn-000012	dsn-000022	
dsn-000003	dsn-000013	dsn-000023	
dsn-000004	dsn-000014	dsn-000024	
dsn-000005	dsn-000015	dsn-000025	
dsn-000006	dsn-000016	dsn-000026	
dsn-000007	dsn-000017	dsn-000027	
dsn-000008	dsn-000018	dsn-000028	
dsn-000009	dsn-000019	dsn-000029	
dsn-00000A	dsn-00001A	dsn-00002A	
dsn-00000B	dsn-00001B	dsn-00002B	
dsn-00000C	dsn-00001C	dsn-00002C	

Polk

Expires January 9th, 2008

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft

New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA

July 2007

dsn-00000D	dsn-00001D	dsn-00002D
dsn-00000E	dsn-00001E	dsn-00002E
dsn-00000F	dsn-00001F	dsn-00002F

Each namespace listed above will have the same 5 priority-levels:

- .0 (lowest priority)
- .2
- .4
- .6
- .8 (highest priority)

As stated earlier, one namespace will not be considered for preferential treatment over another namespace unless local policy has configured a SIP entity processing two messages (each with different namespaces) as being equivalent (see [section 8 of RFC 4412](#)

[RFC4412] for this detailed).

The reality of this is, a message (or a call) with this RPH field of:

dsn-000001.8

for example, will not have any preferential treatment over a message, for example, with this RPH field:

dsn-000010.0

This is currently the policy within DISA.

As stated in [Section 9 of RFC 4412](#) [RFC4412], an IANA registered namespace SHOULD NOT change the number, and MUST NOT change the relative priority order, of its assigned priority-values.

[4.](#) IANA Considerations

Abiding by the rules established within [RFC 4412](#) [RFC4412], this is a Standards-Track document registering new SIP Resource-Priority header namespaces, and their associated priority-values and intended algorithms.

[4.1](#) IANA Resource-Priority Namespace Registration

Within the "Resource-Priority Namespaces" registry in the sip-parameters section of IANA, the following table lists the new RPH namespaces registered by this document (NOTE: RFCXXXX is to be replaced by this document's RFC number if this document is published by the RFC-Editor):

Polk Expires January 9th, 2008 [Page 5]

Internet-Draft New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA July 2007

Namespace	Levels	Intended Algorithm	New warn-code	New resp. code	Reference
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
dsn-000000	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000001	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000002	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000003	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]

dsn-000004	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000005	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000006	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000007	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000008	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000009	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000A	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000B	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000C	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000D	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000E	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000F	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000010	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000011	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000012	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000013	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000014	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000015	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000016	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000017	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000018	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000019	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001A	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001B	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001C	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001D	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001E	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001F	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000020	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000021	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000022	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000023	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000024	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000025	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000026	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000027	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000028	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000029	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00002A	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00002B	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00002C	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00002D	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00002E	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00002F	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000030	5	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]

dsn-000031 5 preemption no no [RFCXXXX]

[4.2](#) IANA Priority-Value Registrations

Within the "Resource-Priority Priority-values" registry in the sip-parameters section of IANA, the list of priority-values for each of the newly created RPH namespaces from [section 4.1](#) of this document, prioritized least to greatest, is registered by the following:

Namespace: dsn-000000

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000001

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000002

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000003

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000004

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000005

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000006

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000007

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000008

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000009

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Polk

Expires January 9th, 2008

[Page 7]

Internet-Draft

New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA

July 2007

Namespace: dsn-00000A

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00000B

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00000C

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00000D

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00000E

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00000F

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000010

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000011

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000012

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000013

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000014
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000015
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000016
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Polk

Expires January 9th, 2008

[Page 8]

Internet-Draft

New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA

July 2007

Namespace: dsn-000017
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000018
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000019
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00001A
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00001B
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00001C
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00001D
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00001E
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00001F

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000020

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000021

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000022

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000023

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Polk

Expires January 9th, 2008

[Page 9]

Internet-Draft

New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA

July 2007

Namespace: dsn-000024

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000025

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000026

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000027

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000028

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000029

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00002A
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00002B
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00002C
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00002D
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00002E
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-00002F
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Namespace: dsn-000030
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

Polk	Expires January 9th, 2008	[Page 10]
------	---------------------------	-----------

Internet-Draft	New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA	July 2007
----------------	---------------------------------	-----------

Namespace: dsn-000031
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "2", "4", "6", "8"

[5.](#) Security Considerations

This document has the same Security Considerations as [RFC 4412](#).

[6.](#) Acknowledgements

To Jeff Hewett for his helpful guidance in this effort.

7. References

7.1 Normative References

- [RFC4412] Schulzrinne, H., Polk, J., "Communications Resource Priority for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", [RFC 4411](#), Feb 2006
- [RFC2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), March 1997

Author's Address

James M. Polk
3913 Treemont Circle
Colleyville, Texas 76034
USA

Phone: +1-817-271-3552
Fax: none
Email: jmpolk@cisco.com

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use

Polk

Expires January 9th, 2008

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

New SIP RPH Namespaces for DISA

July 2007

of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2007). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

