

LSR Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 8 July 2023

P. Psenak
J. Horn
Cisco Systems
A. Dhamija
Rakuten
4 January 2023

**IGP Flexible Algorithms Reverse Affinity Constraint
draft-ppsenak-lsr-igp-flex-algo-reverse-affinity-01**

Abstract

An IGP Flexible Algorithm (Flex-Algorithm) allows IGP to compute constraint-based paths.

This document extends IGP Flex-Algorithm with additional constraints.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 8 July 2023.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2023 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Requirements Language	2
3.	Use Case Example	3
4.	IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Exclude Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV	3
5.	IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV	4
6.	IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV	4
7.	OSPF Flexible Algorithm Exclude Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV	5
8.	OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV	6
9.	OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV	6
10.	Calculation of Flexible Algorithm Paths	6
11.	IANA Considerations	7
11.1.	Sub-Sub-TLVs for Flexible Algorithm Definition Sub-TLV	7
11.2.	OSPF Flexible Algorithm Definition TLV Sub-TLV Registry	7
12.	Security Considerations	8
13.	Acknowledgements	8
14.	Normative References	8
	Authors' Addresses	9

[1.](#) Introduction

An IGP Flex-Algorithm as specified in [[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo](#)] computes a constraint-based path.

This document extends IGP Flex-Algorithm with additional constraints.

[2.](#) Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Use Case Example

The Flexible Algorithm definition can specify 'colors' that are used by the operator to include or exclude links during the Flex-Algorithm path computation. These link 'colors' are checked in the forwarding direction of the SPF computation - e.g. in the direction from the parent to the child.

In some cases, it is beneficial to check the 'colors' of the link from the reverse direction of the traffic flow. For example, on a point-to-point link between endpoints A and B and for the traffic flowing in a direction from A to B, the input errors can only be detected at node B. An operator may measure the rate of such input errors and set certain 'color' on a link locally on node B when the input error rate crosses a certain threshold. When Flex-Algorithm calculation processes the link A to B, it may look at the 'colors' of the link in the reverse direction, e.g., link B to A. This would allow the operator to exclude such link from the Flex-Algorithm topology.

4. IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Exclude Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV

The IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Exclude Reverse Admin Group (FAERAG) Sub-TLV is used to advertise the exclude rule that is used during the Flex-Algorithm path calculation as specified in [Section 10](#).

The IS-IS FAERAG Sub-TLV is a Sub-TLV of the IS-IS FAD Sub-TLV. It has the following format:

```

      0                   1                   2                   3
      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|      Type      |      Length      |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     Extended Admin Group                                     |
+-+-----+
|                                     ...                                     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

where:

Type: 10

Length: variable, dependent on the size of the Extended Admin Group. MUST be a multiple of 4 octets.

Extended Administrative Group: Extended Administrative Group as defined in [\[RFC7308\]](#).

The IS-IS FAERAG Sub-TLV MUST NOT appear more than once in a single IS-IS FAD Sub-TLV. If it appears more than once, the IS-IS FAD Sub-TLV MUST be ignored by the receiver.

The IS-IS FAERAG Sub-TLV MUST NOT appear more than once in the set of FAD sub-TLVs for a given Flex-Algorithm from a given IS. If it appears more than once in such set, the IS-IS FAERAG Sub-TLV in the first occurrence in the lowest numbered LSP from a given IS MUST be used and any other occurrences MUST be ignored.

5. IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV

The IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV is used to advertise include-any rule that is used during the Flex-Algorithm path calculation as specified in [Section 10](#).

The format of the IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV is identical to the format of the FAERAG Sub-TLV in [Section 4](#).

The IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV Type is 11.

The IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV MUST NOT appear more than once in a single IS-IS FAD Sub-TLV. If it appears more than once, the IS-IS FAD Sub-TLV MUST be ignored by the receiver.

The IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV MUST NOT appear more than once in the set of FAD sub-TLVs for a given Flex-Algorithm from a given IS. If it appears more than once in such set, the IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV in the first occurrence in the lowest numbered LSP from a given IS MUST be used and any other occurrences MUST be ignored.

6. IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV

The IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV is used to advertise include-any rule that is used during the Flex-Algorithm path calculation as specified in [Section 10](#).

The format of the IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV is identical to the format of the FAERAG Sub-TLV in [Section 4](#).

The IS-IS Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV Type is 12.

8. OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV

The usage of this Sub-TLVs is described in [Section 5](#).

The format of the OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV is identical to the format of the OSPF FAERAG Sub-TLV in [Section 7](#).

The OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV Type is 11.

The OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV MUST NOT appear more than once in an OSPF FAD TLV. If it appears more than once, the OSPF FAD TLV MUST be ignored by the receiver.

9. OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV

The usage of this Sub-TLVs is described in [Section 6](#).

The format of the OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV is identical to the format of the OSPF FAERAG Sub-TLV in [Section 7](#).

The OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV Type is 12.

The OSPF Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group Sub-TLV MUST NOT appear more than once in an OSPF FAD TLV. If it appears more than once, the OSPF FAD TLV MUST be ignored by the receiver.

10. Calculation of Flexible Algorithm Paths

Three new rules are added to the existing rules specified in sec 13 of [\[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo\]](#).

6. Check if any exclude reverse AG rule is part of the Flex-Algorithm definition. If such exclude rule exists, check if any color that is part of the exclude rule is also set on the link from the reverse direction. If such a color is set on the link from the reverse direction, the link MUST be pruned from the computation.

7. Check if any include-any reverse AG rule is part of the Flex-Algorithm definition. If such include-any rule exists, check if any color that is part of the include-any rule is also set on the link from the reverse direction. If no such color is set on the link from the reverse direction, the link MUST be pruned from the computation.

8. Check if any include-all reverse AG rule is part of the Flex-Algorithm definition. If such include-all rule exists, check if all colors that are part of the include-all rule are also set on the link from the reverse direction. If all such colors are not set on the link from the reverse direction, the link MUST be pruned from the computation.

11. IANA Considerations

11.1. Sub-Sub-TLVs for Flexible Algorithm Definition Sub-TLV

This document defines the following Sub-Sub-TLVs in the "ISIS Sub-Sub-TLVs for Flexible Algorithm Definition Sub-TLV" registry:

Type: 10

Description: Flexible Algorithm Exclude Reverse Admin Group

Reference: This document ([Section 4](#)).

Type: 11

Description: Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group

Reference: This document ([Section 5](#)).

Type: 12

Description: Flexible Algorithm Include-All Reverse Admin Group

Reference: This document ([Section 6](#)).

Above code points represent suggested values. The final code points allocation is subject to the allocations made by [\[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo\]](#) and [\[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con\]](#).

11.2. OSPF Flexible Algorithm Definition TLV Sub-TLV Registry

This document registers following Sub-TLVs in the "OSPF TLVs for Flexible Algorithm Definition TLV" registry:

Type: 10

Description: Flexible Algorithm Exclude Reverse Admin Group

Reference: This document ([Section 7](#)).

Type: 11

Description: Flexible Algorithm Include-Any Reverse Admin Group

Reference: This document ([Section 8](#)).

Type: 12

Description: Flexible Algorithm Include-All ReverseAdmin Group

Reference: This document ([Section 9](#)).

Above code points represent suggested values. The final code points allocation is subject to the allocations made by [\[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo\]](#) and [\[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con\]](#).

[12.](#) Security Considerations

This document inherits security considerations from [\[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo\]](#).

[13.](#) Acknowledgements

TBD

[14.](#) Normative References

[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo]

Psenak, P., Hegde, S., Filsfils, C., Talaulikar, K., and A. Gulko, "IGP Flexible Algorithm", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-lsr-flex-algo-26](#), 17 October 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-lsr-flex-algo-26.txt>>.

[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con]

Hegde, S., Britto, W., Shetty, R., Decraene, B., Psenak, P., and T. Li, "Flexible Algorithms: Bandwidth, Delay, Metrics and Constraints", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con-03](#), 8 July 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con-03.txt>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC7308] Osborne, E. and RFC Publisher, "Extended Administrative Groups in MPLS Traffic Engineering (MPLS-TE)", [RFC 7308](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7308, July 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7308>>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

Authors' Addresses

Peter Psenak
Cisco Systems
Apollo Business Center
Mlynske nivy 43
82109 Bratislava
Slovakia
Email: ppsenak@cisco.com

Jakub Horn
Cisco Systems
Milpitas, CA 95035
United States of America
Email: jakuhorn@cisco.com

Amit Dhamija
Rakuten
Email: amit.dhamija@rakuten.com

