INTERNET DRAFT

Network Working Group Internet Draft Intended Status: Standard Expiration Date: Nov 2007 S. Previdi L. Ginsberg M. Shand A. Roy D. Ward Cisco Systems May 2007

IS-IS Multi-instance draft-previdi-isis-mi-00.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>Section 6 of BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

Abstract

This draft describes a mechanism that allows a single router to share one or more links among multiple IS-IS routing protocol instances.

Multiple instances allow the isolation of resources associated with

each instance. Routers will form instance specific adjacencies,

Previdi

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 1]

exchange instance specific routing updates and compute paths utilizing instance specific LSDB information. Each PDU will contain a new TLV identifying the instance to which the PDU belongs. This allows a network operator to deploy multiple IS-IS instances in parallel, using the same set of links when required and still have the capability of computing instance specific paths. This draft does not address the forwarding paradigm that needs to be used in order to ensure data PDUs are forwarded according to the paths computed by a specific instance.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Conventions used in this document <u>2</u>
<u>2</u> . Introduction <u>2</u>
<u>3</u> . Elements Of Procedure <u>3</u>
<u>3.1</u> Instance Identifier <u>3</u>
<u>3.2</u> Instance Membership4
<u>3.3</u> Adjacency Establishment <u>4</u>
<u>3.3.1</u> Point-to-Point Adjacencies
<u>3.3.2</u> Multi-Access Adjacencies <u>5</u>
3.4 Interoperability Considerations
<u>3.4.1</u> Interoperability Issues on Broadcast Networks <u>5</u>
3.4.2 Interoperability using p2p networks
4. Security Considerations
5. IANA Considerations
6. References
6.1 Normative References
<u>6.2</u> Informational References
<u>7</u> . Acknowledgments <u>7</u>
<u>8</u> . Authors' Addresses <u>7</u>
<u>9</u> . Full Copyright Statement <u>8</u>

<u>1</u>. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>RFC-2119</u> [<u>BCP14</u>].

2. Introduction

An existing limitation of the protocol defined by [<u>IS-IS</u>] is that only one instance of the protocol can operate on a given link. This document defines an extension to IS-IS to remove this restriction. The extension is referred to as "multi-instance IS-IS" (MI-IS-IS).

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 2]

Routers which support this extension are referred to as "multiinstance capable routers" (MI-RTR).

The use of multiple instances enhances the ability to isolate the resources associated with a given instance both within a router and across the network. Instance specific prioritization for processing PDUs and performing routing calculations within a router may be specified. Instance specific flooding parameters may also be defined so as to allow different instances to consume network wide resources at different rates.

MI-IS-IS might be used to support IS-IS for multiple topologies. When used for this purpose it is an alternative to [MT-IS-IS].

MI-IS-IS might also be used to support an instance which advertises information on behalf of applications. The advertisement of information not directly related to the operation of the IS-IS protocol can therefore be done in a manner which minimizes its impact on the operation of routing.

The above are examples of how MI-IS-IS might be used. The specification of uses of MI-IS-IS is outside the scope of this document.

<u>3</u>. Elements Of Procedure

The protocol extension uses a new TLV called the Instance Identifier (IID) that is included in each IS-IS PDU originated by an MI-RTR. MI-RTRs form instance specific adjacencies and exchange instance specific routing updates only for the instance IDs which are supported both by the MI-RTR and its neighbor.

This also implies an instance specific flooding scheme, instance specific LSDBs and instance specific routing calculations. It MAY also imply instance specific routing and forwarding tables. However, this aspect is outside the scope of this specification. When multiple instances share the same link each instance will have a separate set of adjacencies. Each IS-IS PDU is associated with only one IS-IS instance.

The mechanisms used to implement support for the separation of IS-IS instances within a router are outside the scope of this specification.

<u>3.1</u> Instance Identifier

A new TLV is defined in order to convey an instance identifier (IID). The purpose of the IID is to identify the PDUs associated with each IS-IS instance by a unique 16-bit number. The IID TLV is

carried in all IS-IS PDUs (IIH, SNP, LSP) originated by the router.

Previdi

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 3]

Multiple instances of IS-IS may co-exist on the same network and on the same physical router. IIDs MUST be unique within the same routing domain.

Instance identifier #0 is reserved for the standard instance supported by legacy systems.

The following format is used for the IID:

Type 7 (TBA by IANA) Length 2 Value Instance Identifier (0 to 65535)

<u>3.2</u> Instance Membership

Each router is configured to be participating in one or more instances of IS-IS. For each instance in which it participates, a router marks all IS-IS PDUs (IIH, LSP or SNP) generated pertaining to that instance by including the IID TLV with the appropriate instance identifier. Note that this applies to the standard instance (instance identifier #0). A PDU MUST NOT be generated with multiple IID TLVs. PDUs received with multiple IID TLVs MUST be ignored. A PDU without an IID TLV is assumed to belong to the standard instance (#0).

3.3 Adjacency Establishment

In order to establish adjacencies, IS-IS routers exchange IIH PDUs. Two types of adjacencies exist in IS-IS: point-to-point and broadcast. The following sub-sections describe the additional rules an MI-RTR MUST follow when establishing adjacencies.

<u>3.3.1</u> Point-to-Point Adjacencies

MI-RTRs include the IID TLV in the p2p hello PDUs they originate. Upon reception of an IIH, an MI-RTR inspects the received IID TLV and if it matches any of the IIDs which the router supports on that link, normal adjacency establishment procedures are used to establish an instance specific adjacency. Note that the absence of the IID TLV implies instance ID #0.

This extension allows an MI-RTR to establish multiple adjacencies to the same physical neighbor over a p2p link. However, as the instances are logically independent, the normal expectation of at most one neighbor on a given p2p link still applies.

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 4]

3.3.2 Multi-Access Adjacencies

Multi-Access (broadcast) networks behave differently than p2p in that PDUs sent by one router are visible to all routers and all routers must agree on the election of a DIS.

MI-RTRs will establish adjacencies and elect a DIS per IS-IS instance. Each MI-RTR will form adjacencies only with routers which advertise support for the instances which the local router has been configured to support on that link. Since an MI-RTR is not required to support all possible instances on a LAN, it's possible to elect a different DIS for different instances.

<u>3.4</u> Interoperability Considerations

[IS-IS] requires that any TLV that is not understood is silently ignored without compromising the processing of the whole IS-IS PDU (IIH, LSP, SNP).

To a router not implementing this extension, all IS-IS PDUs received will appear to be associated with the standard instance regardless of whether an IID TLV is present in those PDUs. This can cause interoperability issues unless the mechanisms and procedures discussed below are followed.

<u>3.4.1</u> Interoperability Issues on Broadcast Networks

In order for routers to correctly interoperate with routers not implementing this extension and in order not to cause disruption, a specific and dedicated MAC address is used for multicasting IS-IS PDUs with any non-zero IID. Each level will use a specific layer 2 multicast address. Such an address allows MI-RTRs to exchange IS-IS PDUs with non-zero IIDs without these PDUs being processed by legacy routers and therefore no disruption is caused.

An MI-RTR will use the AllL1IS and AllL2IS ISIS mac layer addresses (as defined in [IS-IS]) when sending ISIS PDUs for the standard instance (IID #0). An MI-RTR will use two new (TBD) dedicated layer 2 multicast addresses (one for each level) when sending IS-IS PDUs for any non-zero IID.

MI-RTRs MUST discard IS-IS PDUs received if either of the following is true:

- . The destination multicast address is AllL1IS or AllL2IS and the PDU contains an IID TLV with non-zero value.
- . The destination multicast address is one of the two new addresses and the PDU contains an IID TLV with a zero value or

has no IID TLV.

Previdi

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 5]

NOTE: If the multicast addresses AllL1IS and/or AllL2IS are improperly used to send IS-IS PDUs for non-zero IIDs, legacy systems will interpret these PDUs as being associated with IID #0. This will cause inconsistencies in the LSDB in those routers, may incorrectly maintain adjacencies, and may lead to inconsistent DIS election.

<u>3.4.2</u> Interoperability using p2p networks

In order for an MI-RTR to interoperate over a p2p link with a router which does NOT support this extension, the MI-RTR MUST NOT send IS-IS PDUs for instances other than IID #0 over the p2p link as these PDUs may affect the state of IID #0 in the neighbor.

The presence/absence of the IID TLV in an IIH indicates that the neighbor does/does not support this extension. Once it is determined that the neighbor does not support this extension, an MI-RTR MUST NOT send PDUs (including IIHs) for instances other than IID #0.

Until an IIH is received from a neighbor, an MI-RTR MAY send IIHs for a non-zero instance. However, once an IIH with no IID TLV has been received - indicating that the neighbor is not an MI-RTR - the MI-RTR MUST NOT send IIHs for a non-zero instance. The temporary relaxation of the restriction on sending IIHs for non-zero instances allows a non-zero instance adjacency to be established on an interface on which an MI-RTR does NOT support instance #0.

<u>4</u>. Security Considerations

Security concerns for IS-IS are addressed in the IS-IS specification [<u>IS-IS</u>], and accompanying specifications on [<u>HMAC-MD5</u>]. No additional considerations need to be made for the extension.

5. IANA Considerations

This document requires the definition a new ISIS TLV that needs to be reflected in the ISIS TLV code-point registry:

Туре	Description	IIH	LSP	SNP
ТВА	MI-MT IID	У	У	У

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 6]

INTERNET DRAFT

<u>6</u>. References

6.1 Normative References

- [IS-IS] ISO, "Intermediate system to Intermediate system routeing information exchange protocol for use in conjunction with the Protocol for providing the Connectionless-mode Network Service (ISO 8473)," ISO/IEC 10589:2002, Second Edition.
- [MT-IS-IS] Pryzgienda, T., Shen, N., and Sheth, N., "Multi Topology (MT) Routing in IS-IS", <u>draft-ietf-isis-wg-multi-</u> topology-11.txt (work in progress), October 2005.
- [BCP9] Bradner, S., "The Internet Standards Process -- Revision 3", <u>BCP 9</u>, <u>RFC 2026</u>, October 1996.
- [BCP14] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997
- [BCP26] Narten, T. and Alvestrand, H., "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", <u>BCP 26</u>, <u>RFC 2434</u>, October 1998
- [BCP79] Bradner, S. Ed., "Intellectual Property Rights in IETF Technology ", <u>BCP 79</u>, <u>RFC 3979</u>, March 2005

<u>6.2</u> Informational References

[HMAC-MD5] Li, T. and R. Atkinson, "Intermediate System to Intermediate System (IS-IS) Cryptographic Authentication", <u>RFC</u> <u>3567</u>, July 2003.

[MT-IS-IS] Pryzgienda, T., Shen, N., and Sheth, N., "Multi Topology (MT) Routing in IS-IS", <u>draft-ietf-isis-wg-multi-</u> <u>topology-11.txt</u> (work in progress), October 2005.

7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge contributions made by Dino Farinacci and Tony Li.

8. Authors' Addresses

Stefano Previdi CISCO Systems, Inc. Via Del Serafico 200 00142 - Roma ITALY

Previdi

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 7]

Les Ginsberg Cisco Systems 510 McCarthy Blvd. Milpitas, Ca. 95035 USA Email: ginsberg@cisco.com

Email: sprevidi@cisco.com

Abhay Roy Cisco Systems 170 W. Tasman Dr. San Jose, CA 95134 USA akr@cisco.com

Mike Shand Cisco Systems 250 Longwater Avenue, Reading, Berkshire, RG2 6GB UK Email: mshand@cisco.com

Dave Ward Cisco Systems 170 W. Tasman Dr. San Jose, CA 95134 USA dward@cisco.com

9. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in $\underline{\text{BCP } 78}$, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any

Previdi

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 8]

kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the IETF Trust or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the IETF Trust or its successors or assigns.

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Expires Nov 2007

[Page 9]