Network Working Group Internet Draft

Category: Standards Track

Expiration Date: December 2010

R. Aggarwal (Editor)
Juniper Networks

A. Isaac Bloomberg

J. Uttaro AT&T

R. Shekhar Juniper Networks

F. Balus Alcatel-Lucent

W. Henderickx Alcatel-Lucent

June 2, 2010

BGP MPLS Based MAC VPN

<u>draft-raggarwa-mac-vpn-01.txt</u>

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of $BCP\ 78$ and $BCP\ 79$.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

raggarwa [Page 1]

Copyright and License Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP-78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Abstract

This document describes procedures for BGP MPLS based MAC VPNs (MAC-VPN).

raggarwa [Page 2]

Table of Contents

<u>1</u>	Specification of requirements	<u>4</u>	
<u>2</u>	Contributors	<u>4</u>	
<u>3</u>	Introduction	<u>4</u>	
<u>4</u>	Terminology	<u>5</u>	
<u>5</u>	BGP MPLS Based MAC-VPN	<u>6</u>	
<u>6</u>	Ethernet Segment Identifier	<u>7</u>	
<u>7</u>	BGP MAC-VPN NLRI	8	
<u>8</u>	Auto-Discovery of Ethernet Tags on Ethernet Segments	9	
<u>9</u>	Determining Reachability to Unicast MAC Addresses	<u>11</u>	
<u>9.1</u>	Local Learning	<u>11</u>	
9.2	Remote learning	<u>11</u>	
9.2.1	BGP MAC-VPN MAC Address Advertisement	<u>12</u>	
<u>10</u>	Designated Forwarder Election	<u>13</u>	
<u>11</u>	Handling of Broadcast, Multicast and Unknown Unicast Traf	fic	15
<u>11.1</u>	P-Tunnel Identification	<u>16</u>	
<u>11.2</u>	Ethernet Segment Identifier and Ethernet Tag	<u>17</u>	
<u>12</u>	Processing of Unknown Unicast Packets	<u>17</u>	
<u>12.1</u>	Ingress Replication	<u>18</u>	
12.2	P2MP MPLS LSPs	<u>18</u>	
<u>13</u>	Forwarding Unicast Packets	<u>19</u>	
<u>13.1</u>	Forwarding packets received from a CE	<u>19</u>	
<u>13.2</u>	Forwarding packets received from a remote MES	<u>20</u>	
<u>13.2.1</u>	Unknown Unicast Forwarding	<u>20</u>	
13.2.2	Known Unicast Forwarding	<u>20</u>	
<u>14</u>	Split Horizon	<u>21</u>	
<u>14.1</u>	ESI MPLS Label: Ingress Replication	<u>22</u>	
<u>14.2</u>	ESI MPLS Label: P2MP MPLS LSPs	<u>23</u>	
<u>15</u>	Load Balancing of Unicast Packets	<u>23</u>	
<u>15.1</u>	Load balancing of traffic from a MES to remote CEs	<u>23</u>	
<u>15.2</u>	Load balancing of traffic between a MES and a local CE .	<u>2</u> !	<u>5</u>
<u>15.2.1</u>	Data plane learning	<u>25</u>	
15.2.2	Control plane learning	<u>25</u>	
<u>16</u>	MAC Moves	<u>25</u>	
<u>17</u>	Multicast	<u>26</u>	
<u> 17.1</u>	Ingress Replication	<u> 26</u>	
<u>17.2</u>	P2MP LSPs	<u>27</u>	
17.2.1	Inclusive Trees	27	
17.2.2	Selective Trees	28	
17.3	Explicit Tracking	28	
<u>18</u>	Convergence	29	

[Page 3] raggarwa

<u>18.1</u>	Transit Link and Node Failures between MESes	<u>29</u>
<u>18.2</u>	MES Failures	<u>29</u>
<u> 18.2.1</u>	Local Repair	<u>29</u>
<u>18.3</u>	MES to CE Network Failures	29
<u>19</u>	Acknowledgements	<u>30</u>
<u>20</u>	References	30
21	Author's Address	31

1. Specification of requirements

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Contributors

In addition to the authors listed above, the following individuals also contributed to this document.

Quaizar Vohra Kireeti Kompella Apurva Mehta Juniper Networks

3. Introduction

This document describes procedures for BGP MPLS based MAC VPNs (MAC-VPN).

There is a desire by Service Providers (SP) and data center providers to provide MPLS based bridged / LAN services or/and infrastructure such that they meet the requirements listed below. An example of such a service is a VPLS service offered by a SP. Another example is a MPLS based infrastructure in a data center. Here are the requirements:

- Minimal or no configuration required. MPLS implementations have reduced the amount of configuration over the years. There is a need for greater auto-configuration.

raggarwa [Page 4]

- Support of multiple active points of attachment for CEs which may be hosts, switches or routers. Current MPLS technologies such as VPLS, currently do not support this. This allows load-balancing among multiple active paths. Regular Ethernet switching technologies, based on MAC learning do not allow the same MAC to be learned from two different PEs and be active at the same time in the same switching instance
- Ability to span a VLAN across multiple racks in different geographic locations, which may not be in the same data center.
- Minimize or eliminate flooding of unknown unicast traffic.
- Allow hosts and Virtual Machines (VMs) in a data center to relocate without requiring renumbering. For instnace VMs may be moved for load or failure reasons.
- Ability to scale up to hundreds of thousands of hosts or more across multiple data centers, where connectivity is required between hosts in different data centers.
- Support for virtualization. This includes the ability to separate hosts and VMs working together from other such groups, and the ability to have overlapping IP and MAC addresses/
- Fast convergence

This document proposes a MPLS based technology, referred to as MPLS-based MAC VPN (MAC-VPN) for meeting the requirements described in this section. MAC-VPN requires extensions to existing IP/MPLS protocols as described in section 5. In addition to these extensions MAC-VPN uses several building blocks from existing MPLS technologies.

4. Terminology

MES: MPLS Edge Switch

CE: Host or router or switch

MVI: MAC VPN Instance

ESI: Ethernet segment identifier

raggarwa [Page 5]

5. BGP MPLS Based MAC-VPN

This section describes the framework of MAC-VPN to meet the requirements described in section 3.

An MAC-VPN comprises CEs that are connected to PEs or MPLS Edge Switches (MES) that comprise the edge of the MPLS infrastructure. A CE may be a host, a router or a switch. The MPLS Edge Switches provide layer 2 virtual bridge connectivity between the CEs. There may be multiple MAC VPNs in the provider's network. This document uses the terms MAC-VPN and MAC VPN inter-changeably. A MAC VPN routing and forwarding instance on a MES is referred to as a MAC VPN Instance (MVI).

The MESes are connected by a MPLS LSP infrastructure which provides the benefits of MPLS such as fast-reroute, resiliency etc.

In a MAC VPN, learning between MESes occurs not in the data plane (as happens with traditional bridging) but in the control plane. Control plane learning offers much greater control over the learning process, such as restricting who learns what, and the ability to apply policies. Furthermore, the control plane chosen for this is BGP (very similar to IP VPNs (RFC 4364)), providing much greater scale, and the ability to "virtualize" or isolate groups of interacting agents (hosts, servers, Virtual Machines) from each other. In MAC VPNs MESes advertise the MAC addresses learned from the CEs that are connected to them, along with a MPLS label, to other MESes in the control plane. Control plane learning enables load balancing and allows CEs to connect to multiple active points of attachment. It also improves convergence times in the event of certain network failures.

However, learning between MESes and CEs is done by the method best suited to the CE: data plane learning, IEEE 802.1x, LLDP, 802.1aq or other protocols.

It is a local decision as to whether the Layer 2 forwarding table on a MES contains all the MAC destinations known to the control plane or implements a cache based scheme. For instance the forwarding table may be populated only with the MAC destinations of the active flows transiting a specific MES.

The policy attributes of a MAC VPN are very similar to an IP VPN. A MAC-VPN instance requires a Route-Distinguisher (RD) and a MAC-VPN requires one or more Route-Targets (RTs). A CE attaches to a MAC-VPN on a MES in a particular MVI on a VLAN or simply an ethernet interface. When the point of attachment is a VLAN there may be one or more VLANs in a particular MAC-VPN. Some deployment scenarios

raggarwa [Page 6]

guarantee uniqueness of VLANs across MAC-VPNs: all points of attachment of a given MAC VPN use the same VLAN, and no other MAC VPN uses this VLAN. This document refers to this case as a "Default Single VLAN MAC-VPN" and describes simplified procedures to optimize for it.

6. Ethernet Segment Identifier

If a CE is multi-homed to two or more MESes, the set of attachment circuits constitutes an "Ethernet segment". An Ethernet segment may appear to the CE as a Link Aggregation Group (LAG). Ethernet segments have an identifier, called the "Ethernet Segment Identifier" (ESI). A single-homed CE is considered to be attached to a Ethernet segment with ESI 0. Otherwise, an Ethernet segment MUST have a unique non-zero ESI. The ESI can be assigned using various mechanisms:

- 1. The ESI may be configured. For instance when MAC VPNs are used to provide a VPLS service the ESI is fairly analogous to the VE ID used for the procedures in [BGP-VPLS] or the Multi-homing site ID in [BGP-VPLS-MH].
- 2. If LACP is used, between the MESes and CEs that are hosts, then the ESI is determined by LACP. This is the 48 bit virtual MAC address of the host for the LACP link bundle. As far as the host is concerned it would treat the multiple MESes that it is homed to as the same switch. This allows the host to aggregate links to different MESes in the same bundle.
- 3. If LLDP is used, between the MESes and CEs that are hosts, then the ESI is determined by LLDP. The ESI will be specified in a following version.
- 4. In the case of indirectly connected hosts and a bridged LAN between the hosts and the MESes, the ESI is determined based on the Layer 2 bridge protocol as follows:

If STP is used then the value of the ESI is derived by listening to BPDUs on the ethernet segment. The MES does not run STP. However it does learn the Switch ID, MSTP ID and Root Bridge ID by listening to BPDUs. The ESI is as follows:

{Switch ID (6 bits), MSTP ID (6 bits), Root Bridge ID (48 bits)}

raggarwa [Page 7]

7. BGP MAC-VPN NLRI

This document defines a new BGP NLRI, called the MAC-VPN NLRI.

Following is the format of the MAC-VPN NLRI:

The Route Type field defines encoding of the rest of MAC-VPN NLRI (Route Type specific MAC-VPN NLRI).

The Length field indicates the length in octets of the Route Type specific field of MAC-VPN NLRI.

This document defines the following Route Types:

- + 1 Ethernet Tag Auto-Discovery (A-D) route
- + 2 MAC advertisement route
- + 3 Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag Route
- + 4 Ethernet Segment Route
- + 5 Selective Multicast Auto-Discovery (A-D) Route
- + 6 Leaf Auto-Discovery (A-D) Route

The detailed encoding and procedures for these route types are described in subsequent sections.

The MAC-VPN NLRI is carried in BGP [RFC4271] using BGP Multiprotocol Extensions [RFC4760] with an AFI of TBD and an SAFI of MAC-VPN (To be assigned by IANA). The NLRI field in the MP_REACH_NLRI/MP_UNREACH_NLRI attribute contains the MAC-VPN NLRI (encoded as specified above).

In order for two BGP speakers to exchange labeled MAC-VPN NLRI, they must use BGP Capabilities Advertisement to ensure that they both are capable of properly processing such NLRI. This is done as specified in [RFC4760], by using capability code 1 (multiprotocol BGP) with an AFI of TBD and an SAFI of MAC-VPN.

raggarwa [Page 8]

8. Auto-Discovery of Ethernet Tags on Ethernet Segments

If a CE is multi-homed to two or more MESes on a particular ethernet segment, each MES MUST advertise to other MSEs in the MAC VPN, the information about the Ethernet Tags (e.g., VLANs) on that ethernet segment. If a CE is not multi-homed, then the MES that it is attached to MAY advertise the information about Ethernet Tags (e.g., VLANs) on the ethernet segment connected to the CE.

The information about an Ethernet Tag on a particular ethernet segment is advertised using a "Ethernet Tag Auto-Discovery route (Ethernet Tag A-D route)". This route is advertised using the MAC-VPN NLRI.

MAC VPNs support both the non-qualified and qualified learning model. When non-qualified learning is used the Ethernet Tag Identifier specified in this section and in other places in this document MUST be set to a default value. When qualified learning is used the Ethernet Tag Identifier, when required, MUST be set to a MAC VPN provider assigned tag that maps locally on the advertising MES to an ethernet broadcast domain identifier such as a VLAN ID.

The Ethernet Tag Auto-discovery information is used for Designated Forwarder (DF) election as described in <u>section 10</u>. It is also used to enable equal cost multi-path as described in <u>section 15</u>. Further, it can be used to optimize withdrawl of MAC addresses as described in <u>section 18</u>.

A Ethernet Tag A-D route type specific MAC-VPN NLRI consists of the following:

++
RD (8 octets)
Ethernet Segment Identifier (8 octets)
Ethernet Tag ID (4 octets)
MPLS Label (3 octets)
Originating Router's IP Addr
1

Route-Distinguisher (RD) MUST be set to the RD of the MAC-VPN instance that is advertising the NLRI. A RD MUST be assigned for a given MAC-VPN instance on a MES. This RD MUST be unique across all MAC-VPN instances on a MES. This can be accomplished by using a Type 1 RD [RFC4364]. The value field comprises an IP address of the MES

raggarwa [Page 9]

(typically, the loopback address) followed by a number unique to the MES. This number may be generated by the MES, or, in the Default Single VLAN MAC-VPN case, may be the 12 bit VLAN ID, with the remaining 4 bits set to 0.

Ethernet Segment Identifier MUST be an 8 octet entity as described in section 6.

The Ethernet Tag ID is the identifier of a Ethernet Tag on the ethernet segment. This value may be a two octet VLAN ID or it may be another Ethernet Tagused by the MAC VPN provider. It MAY be set to the default Ethernet Tag on the ethernet segment.

The usage of the MPLS label is described in section 15.

The Originating Router's IP address MUST be set to an IP address of the PE. This address SHOULD be common for all the MVIs on the PE (e.,g., this address may be PE's loopback address).

The Next Hop field of the MP_REACH_NLRI attribute of the route MUST be set to the same IP address as the one carried in the Originating Router's IP Address field.

The Ethernet Tag A-D route MUST carry one or more Route Target (RT) attributes. RTs may be configured (as in IP VPNs), or may be derived automatically from the Ethernet Tag ID associated with the advertisement.

The following is the procedure for deriving the RT attribute automatically from the Ethernet Tag ID associated with the advertisement:

- + The Global Administrator field of the RT MUST be set to the Autonomous System (AS) number that the MES belongs to.
- + The Local Administrator field of the RT contains a 4 octets long number that encodes the Ethernet Tag-ID.

The above auto-configuration of the RT implies that a different RT is used for every Ethernet Tag in a MAC-VPN, if the MAC-VPN contains multiple Ethernet Tags. For the "Default Single VLAN MAC-VPN" this results in auto-deriving the RT from the Ethernet Tag for that MAC-VPN.

raggarwa [Page 10]

9. Determining Reachability to Unicast MAC Addresses

MESes forward packets that they receive based on the destination MAC address. This implies that MESes must be able to learn how to reach a given destination unicast MAC address.

There are two components to MAC address learning, "local learning" and "remote learning":

9.1. Local Learning

A particular MES must be able to learn the MAC addresses from the CEs that are connected to it. This is referred to as local learning.

The MESes in a particular MAC-VPN MUST support local data plane learning using vanilla ethernet learning procedures. A MES must be capable of learning MAC addresses in the data plane when it receives packets such as the following from the CE network:

- DHCP requests
- gratuitous ARP request for its own MAC.
- ARP request for a peer.

Alternatively if a CE is a host then MESes MAY learn the MAC addresses of the host in the control plane.

In the case where a CE is a host or a switched network connected on ESI X to hosts, the MAC address that is reachable via a given MES may move such that it becomes reachable via the same MES on another MES on ESI Y. This is referred to as a "MAC Move" Procedures to support this are described in <u>section 16</u>.

9.2. Remote learning

A particular MES must be able to determine how to send traffic to MAC addresses that belong to or are behind CEs connected to other MESes i.e. to remote CEs or hosts behind remote CEs. We call such MAC addresses as "remote" MAC addresses.

This document requires a MES to learn remote MAC addresses in the control plane. In order to achieve this each MES advertises the MAC addresses it learns from its locally attached CEs in the control plane, to all the other MESes in the MAC-VPN, using BGP.

raggarwa [Page 11]

9.2.1. BGP MAC-VPN MAC Address Advertisement

BGP is extended to advertise these MAC addresses using the MAC advertisement route type in the MAC-VPN-NLRI.

A MAC advertisement route type specific MAC-VPN NLRI consists of the following:

The RD MUST be the RD of the MAC-VPN instance that is advertising the NLRI. The procedures for setting the RD for a given MAC VPN are described in section 8.

The Ethernet Segment Identifier is set to the eight octet ESI identifier described in section 6.

If qualified learning is used and the MAC address that is learned from the CE is associated with an Ethernet Tag, the Ethernet Tag ID MUST be the Ethernet Tag Identifier, assigned by the MAC VPN provider and mapped to the CE's ethernet tag. If non-qualified learning is used the Ethernet Tag identifier SHOULD be set to the default Ethernet Tag on the ethernet segment.

The encoding of a MAC address is the 6-octet MAC address specified by IEEE 802 documents [802.1D-ORIG] [802.1D-REV].

The MPLS label MUST be the downstream assigned MAC-VPN MPLS label that is used by the MES to forward MPLS encapsulated ethernet packets received from remote MESes, where the destination MAC address in the ethernet packet is the MAC address advertised in the above NLRI. The forwarding procedures are specified in section 13. A MES may advertise the same MAC-VPN label for all MAC addresses in a given MAC-VPN instance. This label assignment methodology is referred to as a per MVI label assignment. Or a MES may advertise a unique MAC-VPN label per <ESI, Ethernet Tag> combination. This label methodology is

raggarwa [Page 12]

referred to as a per <ESI, Ethernet Tag> label assignment. Or a MES may advertise a unique MAC-VPN label per MAC address. All of these methodologies have their tradeoffs.

Per MVI label assignment requires the least number of MAC-VPN labels, but requires a MAC lookup in addition to a MPLS lookup on an egress MES for forwarding. On the other hand a unique label per <ESI, Ethernet Tag> or a unique label per MAC allows an egress MES to forward a packet that it receives from another MES, to the connected CE, after looking up only the MPLS labels and not having to do a MAC lookup.

The Originating Router's IP address MUST be set to an IP address of the PE. This address SHOULD be common for all the MVIs on the PE (e.,g., this address may be PE's loopback address).

The Next Hop field of the MP_REACH_NLRI attribute of the route MUST be set to the same IP address as the one carried in the Originating Router's IP Address field.

The BGP advertisement that advertises the MAC advertisement route MUST also carry one or more Route Target (RT) attributes. The assignemnt of RTs described in <u>section 8 MUST</u> be followed.

It is to be noted that this document does not require MESes to create forwarding state for remote MACs when they are learned in the control plane. When this forwarding state is actually created is a local implementation matter.

10. Designated Forwarder Election

Consider a CE that is a host or a router that is multi-homed directly to more than one MES in a MAC-VPN on a given ethernet segment. One or more Ethernet Tags may be configured on the ethernet segment. In this scenario only one of the MESes, referred to as the Designated Forwarder (DF), is responsible for certain actions:

- Sending multicast and broadcast traffic, on a given Ethernet Tag

on a particular ethernet segment, to the CE. Note that this behavior, which allows selecting a DF at the granularity of <ESI, Ethernet Tag> for multicast and broadcast

traffic is the default behavior in this specification. Optional mechanisms, which will be specified in the future, will allow selecting a DF at the granularity of <ESI, Ethernet Tag, S, G>.

raggarwa [Page 13]

Flooding unknown unicast traffic (i.e. traffic for which a MES does not know the destination MAC address), on a given Ethernet Tag on a particular ethernet segment to the CE,

if the environment requires flooding of unknown unicast traffic.

Note that a CE always sends packets using a single link. For instance if the CE is a host then, as mentioned earlier, the host treats the multiple links that it uses to reach the MESes as a Link Aggregation Group (LAG).

If a bridge network is multi-homed to more than one MES in a MAC-VPN via switches, then the support of active-active points of attachments as described in this specification requires the bridge network to be connected to two or more MESes using a LAG. In this case the reasons for doing DF election are the same as those described above when a CE is a host or a router.

If a bridge network does not connect to the MESes using LAG, then only one of the links between a CE that is a switch and the MESes must be the active link. Procedures for supporting active-active points of attachments, when a bridge network does not connect to the MESes using LAG, are for further study.

The granularity of the DF election MUST be at least the ethernet segment via which the CE is multi-homed to the MESes. If the DF election is done at the ethernet segment granularity then a single MES MUST be elected as the DF on the ethernet segment.

If there are one or more Ethernet Tags (e.g., VLANs) on the ethernet segment then the granularity of the DF election SHOULD be the combination of the ethernet segment and Ethernet Tag on that ethernet segment. In this case the same MES MUST be elected as the DF for a particular Ethernet Tag on that ethernet segment.

The MESes perform a designated forwarder (DF) election, for an ethernet segment, or ethernet segment, Ethernet Tag combination using the Ethernet Tag A-D BGP route described in section 8.

The DF election for a particular ESI or a particular <ESI, Ethernet Tag> combination proceeds as follows. First a MES constructs a candidate list of MESes. This comprises all the Ethernet Tag A-D routes with that particular ESI or <ESI, Ethernet Tag> tuple that a MES imports in a MAC-VPN instance, including the Ethernet Tag A-D route generated by the MES itself, if any. The DF MES is chosen from this candidate list. Note that DF election is carried out by all the

raggarwa [Page 14]

MESes that import the DF route.

The default procedure for choosing the DF is the MES with the highest IP address, of all the MESes in the candidate list. This procedure MUST be implemented. It ensures that except during routing transients each MES chooses the same DF MES for a given ESI and Ethernet Tag combination.

Other alternative procedures for performing DF election are possible and will be described in the future.

11. Handling of Broadcast, Multicast and Unknown Unicast Traffic

Procedures are required for a given MES to send broadcast or multicast traffic, received from a CE encapsulated in a given Ethernet Tag in a MAC VPN, to all the other MESes that span that Ethernet Tag in the MAC VPN. In certain scenarios, described in section 12, a given MES may also need to flood unknown unicast traffic to other MESes.

The MESes in a particular MAC-VPN may use ingress replication or P2MP LSPs to send unknown unicast, broadcast or multicast traffic to other MESes.

Each MES MUST advertise an "Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag Route" to enable the above. This section provides the encoding and the overview of the Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route. Subsequent sections describe in further detail its usage.

An Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route type specific MAC-VPN NLRI consists of the following:

+						+
İ	RD	(8 oct				
Etl	hernet	Segment	Identi	ifier (8 octet	s)
E1	thernet	Tag II	(4 oct	tets)		
•		ting Ro	outer's	IP Add		
T						. – – +

The RD MUST be the RD of the MAC-VPN instance that is advertising the NLRI. The procedures for setting the RD for a given MAC VPN are

raggarwa [Page 15]

described in <u>section 8</u>.

The Ethernet Segment Identifier MAY be set to the eight octet ESI identifier described in $\underline{\text{section 6}}$. Or it MAY be set to 0. It MUST be set to 0 if the Ethernet Tag is set to 0.

The Ethernet Tag ID is the identifier of the Ethernet Tag. It MAY be set to 0 in which case an egress MES MUST perform a MAC lookup to forward the packet.

The Originating Router's IP address MUST be set to an IP address of the PE. This address SHOULD be common for all the MVIs on the PE (e.,g., this address may be PE's loopback address).

The Next Hop field of the MP_REACH_NLRI attribute of the route MUST be set to the same IP address as the one carried in the Originating Router's IP Address field.

The BGP advertisement that advertises the Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route MUST also carry one or more Route Target (RT) attributes. The assignemnt of RTs described in section 8 MUST be followed.

11.1. P-Tunnel Identification

In order to identify the P-Tunnel used for sending broadcast, unknown unicast or multicast traffic, the Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route MUST carry a "PMSI Tunnel Attribute" specified in [BGP MVPN].

Depending on the technology used for the P-tunnel for the MAC VPN on the PE, the PMSI Tunnel attribute of the Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route is constructed as follows.

- + If the PE that originates the advertisement uses a P-Multicast tree for the P-tunnel for the MAC VPN, the PMSI Tunnel attribute MUST contain the identity of the tree (note that the PE could create the identity of the tree prior to the actual instantiation of the tree).
- + A PE that uses a P-Multicast tree for the P-tunnel MAY aggregate two or more Ethernet Tags in the same or different MAC VPNs present on the PE onto the same tree. In this case in addition to carrying the identity of the tree, the PMSI Tunnel attribute MUST carry an MPLS upstream assigned label which the PE has bound uniquely to the <ESI, Ethernet Tag> for MAC VPN associated with this update (as determined by its RTs).

raggarwa [Page 16]

If the PE has already advertised Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag routes for two or more Ethernet Tags that it now desires to aggregate, then the PE MUST re-advertise those routes. The readvertised routes MUST be the same as the original ones, except for the PMSI Tunnel attribute and the label carried in that attribute.

- + If the PE that originates the advertisement uses ingress replication for the P-tunnel for the MAC VPN, the route MUST include the PMSI Tunnel attribute with the Tunnel Type set to Ingress Replication and Tunnel Identifier set to a routable address of the PE. The PMSI Tunnel attribute MUST carry a downstream assigned MPLS label. This label is used to demultiplex the broadcast, multicast or unknown unicast MAC VPN traffic received over a unicast tunnel by the PE.
- + The Leaf Information Required flag of the PMSI Tunnel attribute MUST be set to zero, and MUST be ignored on receipt.

11.2. Ethernet Segment Identifier and Ethernet Tag

As described above the encoding rules allow setting the Ethernet Segment Identifier and Ethernet Tag to either valid values or to 0. If the Ethernet Tag is set to a valid value, then an egress MES can forward the packet to the set of egress ESIs in the Ethernet Tag, in the MAC VPN, by performing a MPLS lookup alone. Further if the ESI is also set to non zero then the egress MES does not need to replicate the packet as it is destined for a given ethernet segment. If both Ethernet Tag and ESI are set to 0 then an egress MES MUST perform a MAC lookup in the MVI determined by the MPLS label, after the MPLS lookup, to forward the packet.

If a MES advertises multiple Inclusive Ethernet Tag routes for a given MAC VPN then the PMSI Tunnel Attributes for these routes MUST be distinct.

12. Processing of Unknown Unicast Packets

The procedures in this document do not require MESes to flood unknown unicast traffic to other MESes. If MESes learn CE MAC addresses via a control plane, the MESes can then distribute MAC addresses via BGP, and all unicast MAC addresses will be learnt prior to traffic to those destinations.

However, if a destination MAC address of a received packet is not known by the MES, the MES may have to flood the packet. Flooding must

raggarwa [Page 17]

take into account "split horizon forwarding" as follows. The principles behind the following procedures are borrowed from the split horizon forwarding rules in VPLS solutions [RFC 4761, RFC 4762]. When a MES capable of flooding (say MESx) receives a broadcast Ethernet frame, or one with an unknown destination MAC address, it must flood the frame. If the frame arrived from an attached CE, MESx must send a copy of the frame to every other attached CE, as well as to all other MESs participating in the MAC VPN. If, on the other hand, the frame arrived from another MES (say MESy), MESx must send a copy of the packet only to attached CEs. MESx MUST NOT send the frame to other MESs, since MESy would have already done so. Split horizon forwarding rules apply to broadcast and multicast packets, as well as packets to an unknown MAC address.

Whether or not to flood packets to unknown destination MAC addresses should be an administrative choice, depending on how learning happens between CEs and MESes.

The MESes in a particular MAC VPN may use ingress replication using RSVP-TE P2P LSPs or LDP MP2P LSPs for sending broadcast, multicast and unknown unicast traffic to other MESes. Or they may use RSVP-TE or LDP P2MP LSPs for sending such traffic to other MESes.

12.1. Ingress Replication

If ingress replication is in use, the P-Tunnel attribute, carried in the Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag routes (<u>section 11</u>) for the MAC VPN, specifies the downstream label that the other MESes can use to send unknown unicast, multicast or broadcast traffic for the MAC VPN to this particular MES.

The MES that receives a packet with this particular MPLS label MUST treat the packet as a broadcast, multicast or unknown unicast packet. Further if the MAC address is a unicast MAC address, the MES MUST treat the packet as an unknown unicast packet.

12.2. P2MP MPLS LSPs

The procedures for using P2MP LSPs are very similar to VPLS procedures [VPLS-MCAST]. The P-Tunnel attribute used by a MES for sending unknown unicast, broadcast or multicast traffic for a particular ethernet segment, is advertised in the Inclusive Ethernet Tag Multicast route as described in section 11.

The P-Tunnel attribute specifies the P2MP LSP identifier. This is the equivalent of an Inclusive tree in [VPLS-MCAST]. Note that multiple

raggarwa [Page 18]

Ethernet Tags, which may be in different MAC-VPNs, may use the same P2MP LSP, using upstream labels [VPLS-MCAST]. When P2MP LSPs are used for flooding unknown unicast traffic, packet re-ordering is possible.

The MES that receives a packet on the P2MP LSP specified in the PMSI Tunnel Attribute MUST treat the packet as a broadcast, multicast or unknown unicast packet. Further if the MAC address is a unicast MAC address, the MES MUST treat the packet as an unknown unicast packet.

13. Forwarding Unicast Packets

13.1. Forwarding packets received from a CE

When a MES receives a packet from a CE, on a given Ethernet Tag, it must first look up the source MAC address of the packet. In certain environments the source MAC address may be used to authenticate the CE and determine that traffic from the host can be allowed into the network.

If the MES decides to forward the packet the destination MAC address of the packet must be looked up. If the MES has received MAC address advertisements for this destination MAC address from one or more other MESes or learned it from locally connected CEs, it is considered as a known MAC address. Else the MAC address is considered as an unknown MAC address.

For known MAC addresses the MES forwards this packet to one of the remote MESes. The packet is encapsulated in the MAC-VPN MPLS label advertised by the remote MES, for that MAC address, and in the MPLS LSP label stack to reach the remote MES.

If the MAC address is unknown then, if the administrative policy on the MES requires flooding of unknown unicast traffic:

- The MES MUST flood the packet to other MESes. If the ESI over which the MES receives the packet is multi-homed, then the MES MUST first encapsulate the packet in the ESI MPLS label as described in section 14. If ingress replication is used the packet MUST be replicated one or more times to each remote MES with the bottom label of the stack being a MPLS label determined as follows. This is the MPLS label advertised by the remote MES in a PMSI Tunnel Attribute in the Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route for an <ESI, Ethernet Tag> combination. The Ethernet Tag in the route must be the same as the Ethernet Tag advertised by the ingress MES in its Ethernet Tag A-D route associated with the interface on which the ingress MES receives the packet. If P2MP LSPs are being used the packet MUST be sent on the P2MP LSP that the MES is the root of for the Ethernet Tag in the MAC-VPN. If the same P2MP LSP is used for all Ethernet Tags then all

raggarwa [Page 19]

the MESes in the MAC VPN MUST be the leaves of the P2MP LSP. If a distinct P2MP LSP is used for a given Ethernet Tag in the MAC VPN then only the MESes in the Ethernet Tag MUST be the leaves of the P2MP LSP. The packet MUST be encapsulated in the P2MP LSP label stack.

If the MAC address is unknown then, if the admnistrative policy on the MES does not allow flooding of unknown unicast traffic:

- The MES MUST drop the packet.

13.2. Forwarding packets received from a remote MES

13.2.1. Unknown Unicast Forwarding

When a MES receives a MPLS packet from a remote MES then, after processing the MPLS label stack, if the top MPLS label ends up being a P2MP LSP label associated with a MAC-VPN or the downstream label advertised in the P-Tunnel attribute and after performing the split horizon procedures described in section 14:

- If the MES is the designated forwarder of unknown unicast, broadcast or multicast traffic, on a particular set of ESIs for the Ethernet Tag, the default behavior is for the MES to flood the packet on the ESIs. In other words the default behavior is for the MES to assume that the destination MAC address is unknown unicast, broadcast or multicast and it is not required to do a destination MAC address lookup, as long as the granularity of the MPLS label included the Ethernet Tag. As an option the MES may do a destination MAC lookup to flood the packet to only a subset of the CE interfaces in the Ethernet Tag. For instance the MES may decide to not flood an unknown unicast packet on certain ethernet segments even if it is the DF on the ethernet segment, based on administrative policy.
- If the MES is not the designated forwarder on any of the ESIs for the Ethernet Tag, the default behavior is for it to drop the packet.

13.2.2. Known Unicast Forwarding

If the top MPLS label ends up being a MAC-VPN label that was advertised in the unicast MAC advertisements, then the MES either forwards the packet based on CE next-hop forwarding information associated with the label or does a destination MAC address lookup to forward the packet to a CE.

raggarwa [Page 20]

14. Split Horizon

Consider a CE that is multi-homed to two or more MESes on an ethernet segment ES1. If the CE sends a multicast, broadcast or unknown unicast packet to a particular MES, say MES1, then MES1 will forward that packet to all or subset of the other MESes in the MAC VPN. In this case the MESes, other than MES1, that the CE is multi-homed to MUST drop the packet and not forward back to the CE. This is referred to as "split horizon" in this document.

In order to accomplish this each MES distributes to other MESes that are connected to the ethernet segment an "Ethernet Segment Route".

An Ethernet Segment route type specific MAC-VPN NLRI consists of the following:

++
RD (8 octets)
Ethernet Segment Identifier (8 octets)
MPLS Label (3 octets)
Originating Router's IP Addr

The RD MUST be the RD of the MAC-VPN instance that is advertising the NLRI. The procedures for setting the RD for a given MAC VPN are described in section 8.

The Ethernet Segment Identifier MUST be set to the eight octet ESI identifier described in <u>section 6</u>.

The MPLS label is referred to as an "ESI label". This label MUST be a downstream assigned MPLS label if the advertising MES is using ingress replication for sending multicast, broadcast or unknown unicast traffic, to other MESes. If the advertising MES is using P2MP MPLS LSPs for the same, then this label MUST be an upstream assigned MPLS label. The usage of this label is described below.

The Originating Router's IP address MUST be set to an IP address of the PE. This address SHOULD be common for all the MVIs on the PE (e.,g., this address may be PE's loopback address).

The Next Hop field of the MP_REACH_NLRI attribute of the route MUST be set to the same IP address as the one carried in the Originating Router's IP Address field.

raggarwa [Page 21]

The BGP advertisement that advertises the MAC advertisement route MUST also carry one Route Target (RT) attribute. The construction of this RT will be specified in the next version.

This route will be enhanced to carry LAG specific information such as LACP parameters in the future.

14.1. ESI MPLS Label: Ingress Replication

An MES that is using ingress replication for sending broadcast, multicast or unknown unicast traffic, distributes to other MESes, that belong to the ethernet segment, a downstream assigned "ESI MPLS label" in the Ethernet Segment route. This label MUST be programmed in the platform label space by the advertising MES. Further the forwarding entry for this label must result in NOT forwarding packets received with this label onto the ethernet segment that the label was distributed for.

Consider MES1 and MES2 that are multi-homed to CE1 on ES1. Further consider that MES1 is using P2P or MP2P LSPs to send packets to MES2. Consider that MES1 receives a a multicast, broadcast or unknown unicast packet from CE1 on VLAN1 on ESI1.

First consider the case where MES2 distributes an unique Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route for VLAN1, for each ethernet segment on MES2. In this case MES1 MUST NOT replicate the packet to MES2 for <ESI1, VLAN1>.

Next consider the case where MES2 distributes a single Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route for VLAN1 for all ethernet segments on MES2. In this case when MES1 sends a multicast, broadcast or unknown unicast packet, that it receives from CE1, it MUST first push onto the MPLS label stack the ESI label that MES2 has distributed for ESI1. It MUST then push on the MPLS label distributed by MES2 in the Inclusive Ethernet Tag Multicast route for Ethernet Tag1. The resulting packet is further encapsulated in the P2P or MP2P LSP label stack required to transmit the packet to MES2. When MES2 receives this packet it determines the set of ESIs to replicate the packet to from the top MPLS label, after any P2P or MP2P LSP labels have been removed. If the next label is the ESI label assigned by MES2 then MES2 MUST NOT forward the packet onto ESI1.

raggarwa [Page 22]

14.2. ESI MPLS Label: P2MP MPLS LSPs

An MES that is using P2MP LSPs for sending broadcast, multicast or unknown unicast traffic, distributes to other MESes, that belong to the ethernet segment, an upstream assigned "ESI MPLS label" in the Ethernet Segment route. This label is upstream assigned by the MES that advertises the route. This label MUST be programmed by the other MESes, that are connected to the ESI advertised in the route, in the context label space for the advertising MES. Further the forwarding entry for this label must result in NOT forwarding packets received with this label onto the ethernet segment that the label was distributed for.

Consider MES1 and MES2 that are multi-homed to CE1 on ES1. Further assume that MES1 is using P2MP MPLS LSPs to send broadcast, multicast or uknown unicast packets. When MES1 sends a multicast, broadcast or unknown unicast packet, that it receives from CE1, it MUST first push onto the MPLS label stack the ESI label that it has assigned for the ESI that the packet was received on. The resulting packet is further encapsulated in the P2MP MPLS label stack necessary to transmit the packet to the other MESes. Penultimate hop popping MUST be disabled on the P2MP LSPs used in the MPLS transport infrastructure for MAC VPN. When MES2 receives this packet it decapsulates the top MPLS label and forwards the packet using the context label space determined by the top label. If the next label is the ESI label assigned by MES1 then MES2 MUST NOT forward the packet onto ESI1.

15. Load Balancing of Unicast Packets

This section specifies how load balancing is achieved to/from a CE that has more than one interface that is directly connected to one or more MESes. The CE may be a host or a router or it may be a switched network that is connected via LAG to the MESes.

<u>15.1</u>. Load balancing of traffic from a MES to remote CEs

Whenever a remote MES imports a MAC advertisement for a given <ESI, Ethernet Tag> in a MAC VPN instance, it MUST consider the MAC as reachahable via all the MESes from which it has imported Ethernet Tag A-D routes for that <ESI, Ethernet Tag>. Further the remote MES MUST use these MAC advertisement and Ethernet Tag A-D routes to constuct the set of next-hops that it can use to send the packet to the destination MAC. Each next-hop comprises a MPLS label, that is to be used by the egress MES to forward the packet. This label is determined as follows. If the next-hop is constructed as a result of a MAC route which has a valid MPLS label, then this label MUST be

raggarwa [Page 23]

used. However if the MAC route doesn't have a valid MPLS label or if the next-hop is constructed as a result of a Ethernet Tag A-D route then the MPLS label from the Ethernet Tag A-D route MUST be used.

Consider a CE, CE1, that is dual homed to two MESes, MES1 and MES2 on a LAG interface, ES1, and is sending packets with MAC address MAC1 on VLAN1. Based on MAC-VPN extensions described in sections 8 and 9, a remote MES say MES3 is able to learn that a MAC1 is reachable via MES1 and MES2. Both MES1 and MES2 may advertise MAC1 in BGP if they receive packets with MAC1 from CE1. If this is not the case and if MAC1 is advertised only by MES1, MES3 still considers MAC1 as reachable via both MES1 and MES2 as both MES1 and MES2 advertise a Ethernet Tag A-D route for <ESI1, VLAN1>.

The MPLS label stack to send the packets to MES1 is the MPLS LSP stack to get to MES1 and the MAC-VPN label advertised by MES1 for CE1's MAC.

The MPLS label stack to send packets to MES2 is the MPLS LSP stack to get to MES2 and the upstream assigned label in the Ethernet Tag A-D route advertised by MES2 for <ES1, VLAN1>, if MES2 has not advertised MAC1 in BGP.

We will refer to these label stacks as MPLS next-hops.

The remote MES, MES3, can now load balance the traffic it receives from its CEs, destined for CE1, between MES1 and MES2. MES3 may use the IP flow information for it to hash into one of the MPLS next-hops for load balancing for IP traffic. Or MES3 may rely on the source and destination MAC addresses for load balancing.

Note that once MES3 decides to send a particular packet to MES1 or MES2 it can pick from more than path to reach the particular remote MES using regular MPLS procedures. For instance if the tunneling technology is based on RSVP-TE LSPs, and MES3 decides to send a particular packet to MES1 then MES3 can choose from multiple RSVP-TE LSPs that have MES1 as their destination.

When MES1 or MES2 receive the packet destined for CE1 from MES3, if the packet is a unicast MAC packet it is forwarded to CE1. If it is a multicast or broadcast MAC packet then only one of MES1 or MES2 must forward the packet to the CE. Which of MES1 or MES2 forward this packet to the CE is determined by default based on which of the two is the DF. An alternate procedure to load balance multicast packets will be described in the future.

If the connectivity between the multi-homed CE and one of the MESes that it is multi-homed to fails, the MES MUST withdraw the MAC

raggarwa [Page 24]

address from BGP. This enables the remote MESes to remove the MPLS next-hop to this particular MES from the set of MPLS next-hops that can be used to forward traffic to the CE. For further details and procedures on withdrawl of MAC VPN route types in the event of MES to CE failures please section 18.4.

15.2. Load balancing of traffic between a MES and a local CE

A CE may be configured with more than one interface connected to different MESes or the same MES for load balancing. The MES(s) and the CE can load balance traffic onto these interfaces using one of the following mechanisms.

15.2.1. Data plane learning

Consider that the MESes perform data plane learning for local MAC addresses learned from local CEs. This enables the MES(s) to learn a particular MAC address and associate it with one or more interfaces. The MESes can now load balance traffic destined to that MAC address on the multiple interfaces.

Whether the CE can load balance traffic that it generates on the multiple interfaces is dependent on the CE implementation.

15.2.2. Control plane learning

The CE can be a host that advertises the same MAC address using a control protocol on both interfaces. This enables the MES(s) to learn the host's MAC address and associate it with one or more interfaces. The MESes can now load balance traffic destined to the host on the multiple interfaces. The host can also load balance the traffic it generates onto these interfaces and the MES that receives the traffic employs MAC-VPN forwarding procedures to forward the traffic.

16. MAC Moves

In the case where a CE is a host or a switched network connected to hosts, the MAC address that is reachable via a given MES on a particular ESI may move such that it becomes reachable via another MES on another ESI. This is referred to as a "MAC Move".

Remote MESes must be able to distinguish a MAC move from the case where a MAC address on an ESI is reachable via two different MESes and load balancing is performed as described in <u>section 15</u>. This

raggarwa [Page 25]

distinction can be made as follows. If a MAC is learned by a particular MES from multiple MESes, then the MES performs load balancing only amongst the set of MESes that advertised the MAC with the same ESI. If this is not the case then the MES chooses only one of the advertising MESes to reach the MAC as per BGP path selection.

There can be traffic loss during a MAC move. Consider MAC1 that is advertised by MES1 and learned from CE1 on ESI1. If MAC1 now moves behind MES2, on ESI2, MES2 advertises the MAC in BGP. Until a remote MES, MES3, determines that the best path is via MES2, it will continue to send traffic destined for MAC1 to MES1. This will not occur deterministially until MES1 withdraws the advertisement for MAC1.

One recommended optimization to reduce the traffic loss during MAC moves is the following option. When an MES sees a MAC update from a CE on an ESI, which is different from the ESI on which the MES has currently learned the MAC, the corresponding entry in the local bridge forwarding table SHOULD be immediately purged causing the MES to withdraw its own MAC-VPN MAC advertisement route and replace it with the update.

A future version of this specification will describe other optimized procedures to minimize traffic loss during MAC moves.

17. Multicast

The MESes in a particular MAC-VPN may use ingress replication or P2MP LSPs to send multicast traffic to other MESes.

17.1. Ingress Replication

The MESes may use ingress replication for flooding unknown unicast, multicast or broadcast traffic as described in section 11. A given unknown unicast or broadcast packet must be sent to all the remote MESes. However a given multicast packet for a multicast flow may be sent to only a subset of the MESes. Specifically a given multicast flow may be sent to only those MESes that have receivers that are interested in the multicast flow. Determining which of the MESes have receivers for a given multicast flow is done using explicit tracking described below.

raggarwa [Page 26]

17.2. P2MP LSPs

A MES may use an "Inclusive" tree for sending an unknown unicast, broadcast or multicast packet or a "Selective" tree. This terminology is borrowed from [VPLS-MCAST].

A variety of transport technologies may be used in the SP network. For inclusive P-Multicast trees, these transport technologies include point-to-multipoint LSPs created by RSVP-TE or mLDP. For selective P-Multicast trees, only unicast MES-MES tunnels (using MPLS or IP/GRE encapsulation) and P2MP LSPs are supported, and the supported P2MP LSP signaling protocols are RSVP-TE, and mLDP.

17.2.1. Inclusive Trees

An Inclusive Tree allows the use of a single multicast distribution tree, referred to as an Inclusive P-Multicast tree, in the SP network to carry all the multicast traffic from a specified set of MAC VPN instances on a given MES. A particular P-Multicast tree can be set up to carry the traffic originated by sites belonging to a single MAC VPN, or to carry the traffic originated by sites belonging to different MAC VPNs. The ability to carry the traffic of more than one MAC VPN on the same tree is termed 'Aggregation'. The tree needs to include every MES that is a member of any of the MAC VPNs that are using the tree. This implies that a MES may receive multicast traffic for a multicast stream even if it doesn't have any receivers that are interested in receiving traffic for that stream.

An Inclusive P-Multicast tree as defined in this document is a P2MP tree. A P2MP tree is used to carry traffic only for MAC VPN CEs that are connected to the MES that is the root of the tree.

The procedures for signaling an Inclusive Tree are the same as those in [VPLS-MCAST] with the VPLS-AD route replaced with the Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag route. The P-Tunnel attribute [VPLS-MCAST] for an Inclusive tree is advertised in the Inclusive Ethernet Tag A-D route as described in section 11. Note that a MES can "aggregate" multiple inclusive trees for different MAC-VPNs on the same P2MP LSP using upstream labels. The procedures for aggregation are the same as those described in [VPLS-MCAST], with VPLS A-D routes replaced by MAC-VPN Inclusive Multicast Ethernet Tag A-D routes.

raggarwa [Page 27]

17.2.2. Selective Trees

A Selective P-Multicast tree is used by a MES to send IP multicast traffic for one or IP more specific multicast streams, originated by CEs connected to the MES, that belong to the same or different MAC VPNs, to a subset of the MESs that belong to those MAC VPNs. Each of the MESs in the subset should be on the path to a receiver of one or more multicast streams that are mapped onto the tree. The ability to use the same tree for multicast streams that belong to different MAC VPNs is termed a MES the ability to create separate SP multicast trees for specific multicast streams, e.g. high bandwidth multicast streams. This allows traffic for these multicast streams to reach only those MES routers that have receivers in these streams. This avoids flooding other MES routers in the MAC VPN.

A SP can use both Inclusive P-Multicast trees and Selective P-Multicast trees or either of them for a given MAC VPN on a MES, based on local configuration.

The granularity of a selective tree is <RD, MES, S, G> where S is an IP multicast source address and G is an IP multicast group address or G is a multicast MAC address. Wildcard sources and wildcard groups are supported. Selective trees require explicit tracking as described below.

A MAC-VPN MES advertises a selective tree using a MAC-VPN selective A-D route. The procedures are the same as those in [VPLS-MCAST] with S-PMSI A-D routes in [VPLS-MCAST] replaced by MAC-VPN Selective A-D routes. The information elements of the MAC VPN selective A-D route are similar to those of the VPLS S-PMSI A-D route with the following differences. A MAC VPN Selective A-D route includes an optional Ethernet Tag field. Also a MAC VPN selective A-D route may encode a MAC address in the Group field. The encoding details of the MAC VPN selective A-D route will be described in the next revision.

Selective trees can also be aggregated on the same P2MP LSP using aggregation as described in [VPLS-MCAST].

17.3. Explicit Tracking

[VPLS-MCAST] describes procedures for explicit tracking that rely on Leaf A-D routes. The same procedures are used for explicit tracking in this specification with VPLS Leaf A-D routes replaced with MAC-VPN Leaf A-D routes. These procedures allow a root MES to request multicast membership information for a given (S, G), from leaf MESs. Leaf MESs rely on IGMP snooping or PIM snooping between the MES and the CE to determine the multicast membership information. Note that

raggarwa [Page 28]

the procedures in [VPLS-MCAST] do not describe how explicit tracking is performed if the CEs are enabled with join suppression. The procedures for this case will be described in a future version.

18. Convergence

This section describes failure recovery from different types of network failures.

18.1. Transit Link and Node Failures between MESes

The use of existing MPLS Fast-Reroute mechanisms can provide failure recovery in the order of 50ms, in the event of transit link and node failures in the infrastructure that connects the MESes.

18.2. MES Failures

Consider a host host1 that is dual homed to MES1 and MES2. If MES1 fails, a remote MES, MES3, can discover this based on the failure of the BGP session. This failure detection can be in the sub-second range if BFD is used to detect BGP session failure. MES3 can update its forwarding state to start sending all traffic for host1 to only MES2. It is to be noted that this failure recovery is potentially faster than what would be possible if data plane learning were to be used. As in that case MES3 would have to rely on re-learning of MAC addresses via MES2.

18.2.1. Local Repair

It is possible to perform local repair in the case of MES failures. Details will be specified in the future.

18.3. MES to CE Network Failures

When an ethernet segment connected to a MES fails or when a Ethernet Tag is deconfigured on an ethernet segment, then the MES MUST withdraw the Ethernet Tag A-D route(s) announced for the <ESI, Ethernet Tags> that are impacted by the failure or de-configuration. In addition the MES MUST also withdraw the MAC advertisement routes that are impacted by the failure or de-configuration.

The Ethernet Tag A-D routes should be used by an implementation to optimize the withdrawal of MAC advertisement routes. When a MES

raggarwa [Page 29]

receives a withdrawl of a particular Ethernet Tag A-D route it SHOULD consider all the MAC advertisement routes, that are learned from the same <ESI, Ethernet Tag> as in the Ethernet Tag A-D route, as having been withdrawn. This optimizes the network convergence times in the event of MES to CE failures.

19. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Yakov Rekhter, Kaushik Ghosh, Nischal Sheth and Amit Shukla for discussions that helped shape this document. We would also like to thank Han Nguyen for his comments and support of this work.

20. References

[RFC4364] "BGP/MPLS IP VPNs", Rosen, Rekhter, et. al., February 2006

[VPLS-MCAST] "Multicast in VPLS". R. Aggarwal et.al., <u>draft-ietf-l2vpn-vpls-mcast-04.txt</u>

[RFC4761] Kompella, K. and Y. Rekhter, "Virtual Private LAN Service (VPLS) Using BGP for Auto-Discovery and Signaling", <u>RFC 4761</u>, January 2007.

[RFC4762] Lasserre, M. and V. Kompella, "Virtual Private LAN Service (VPLS) Using Label Distribution Protocol (LDP) Signaling", <u>RFC 4762</u>, January 2007.

[VPLS-MULTIHOMING] "BGP based Multi-homing in Virtual Private LAN Service", K. Kompella et. al., draft-ietf-l2vpn-vpls-multihoming-00.txt

[PIM-SNOOPING] "PIM Snooping over VPLS", V. Hemige et. al., <u>draftietf-l2vpn-vpls-pim-snooping-01</u>

[IGMP-SNOOPING] "Considerations for Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) and Multicast Listener Discovery (MLD) Snooping Switches", M. Christensen et. al., RFC4541,

raggarwa [Page 30]

21. Author's Address

Rahul Aggarwal Juniper Networks 1194 N. Mathilda Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94089 US

Email: rahul@juniper.net

Aldrin Isaac Bloomberg

Email: aisaac71@bloomberg.net

James Uttaro AT&T 200 S. Laurel Avenue Middletown, NJ 07748 USA

Email: uttaro@att.com

Ravi Shekhar Juniper Networks 1194 N. Mathilda Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94089 US

Wim Henderickx
Alcatel-Lucent
e-mail: wim.henderickx@alcatel-lucent.be

Florin Balus Alcatel-Lucent

e-mail: Florin.Balus@alcatel-lucent.be

raggarwa [Page 31]