

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: January 2, 2011

D. Recordon, Ed.  
Facebook  
Jul 2010

OAuth 2.0 Token Upgrade Extension  
draft-recordon-oauth-v2-upgrade-00

## Abstract

This specification defines an OAuth 2.0 assertion request format for upgrading OAuth 1.0 token and secret pairs to OAuth 2.0 access tokens.

## Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 2, 2011.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet-Draft

OAuth 2.0 Token Upgrade Extension

Jul 2010

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	Notational Conventions . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Assertion Request . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Assertion Response . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
	Author's Address . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>

## 1. Introduction

This extension defines an assertion format as described in [section 4.1.3](#) of [[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2](#)].

### 1.1. Notational Conventions

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

## 2. Assertion Request

The client will make a request to the authorization server as described in section 4.1.3 of [[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2](#)] with the following parameters:

assertion\_type

REQUIRED. "http://oauth.net/token/1.0"

assertion

REQUIRED. The OAuth 1.0 token and token secret to be upgraded as a JSON object with the keys `token` and `token\_secret`.

client\_id

REQUIRED. The client identifier as described in Section 2 of [[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2](#)].

client\_secret

REQUIRED. The client secret as described in Section 2 of [[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2](#)].

For example, the client makes the following HTTP request using transport-layer security:

POST /token HTTP/1.1  
Host: server.example.com  
Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded

grant\_type=assertion&  
assertion\_type=http%3A%2F%2Foauth.net%2Ftoken%2F1.0&  
assertion=%7B'token'%3A'rjmaGaw9ATW'%2C'token\_secret'%3A'OgFcEjBR'%7D&  
client\_id=8eSEIpnqmM&  
client\_secret=s6BhdRkqt3

Recordon Expires January 2, 2011 [Page 3]

---

Internet-Draft OAuth 2.0 Token Upgrade Extension Jul 2010

### [3.](#) Assertion Response

The authorization server MUST validate the client credentials, token, and token secret. If they are all valid, the authorization server issues an access token response as described in Section 4.2 of [\[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2\]](#). The new access token SHOULD have the same expiration and scope as the OAuth 1.0 token which the client is upgrading.

### [4.](#) Security Considerations

No additional considerations beyond those described within the OAuth 2.0 Protocol.

### [5.](#) References

#### [5.1.](#) Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

#### [5.2.](#) Informative References

[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2]  
Hammer-Lahav, E., Ed., Recordon, D., and D. Hardt, "The OAuth 2.0 Protocol", Jun 2010.

## Author's Address

David Recordon (editor)  
Facebook

Email: [davidrecordon@facebook.com](mailto:davidrecordon@facebook.com)