

DNSEXT
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: January 5, 2009

J. Reid
Telnic Ltd
J. Schlyter
Kirei AB
B. Timms
Telnic Ltd
July 4, 2008

The RKEY DNS Resource Record
<[draft-reid-dnsext-rkey-00.txt](#)>

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 5, 2009.

Internet-Draft

The RKEY DNS Resource Record

July 2008

Abstract

A DNS Resource record which can be used to encrypt NAPTR records is described in this document.

Table of Contents

- [1.](#) Terminology [3](#)
- [2.](#) Introduction [4](#)
- [3.](#) Definition of RKEY Resource Record [5](#)
- [4.](#) Security Considerations [6](#)
- [5.](#) IANA Considerations [7](#)
- [6.](#) Acknowledgements [8](#)
- [7.](#) References [9](#)
 - [7.1.](#) Normative References [9](#)
 - [7.2.](#) Informative References [10](#)
- Authors' Addresses [11](#)
- Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements [12](#)

1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#), [RFC2119](#) [refs.[RFC2119](#)].

2. Introduction

The DNS protocol is defined in [RFC1034](#) [refs.[RFC1034](#)], [RFC1035](#) [refs.[RFC1035](#)] and clarified in [RFC2181](#) [refs.[RFC2181](#)]. The scope for using DNS KEY Resource Records was limited in [RFC3445](#) [refs.[RFC3445](#)] to keys used by the Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC) which is defined in [RFC4033](#) [refs.[RFC4033](#)], [RFC4034](#) [refs.[RFC4034](#)] and [RFC4035](#) [refs.[RFC4035](#)]. The original KEY RR used sub-typing to store both DNSSEC keys and arbitrary application keys. Storing both DNSSEC and application keys with the same record type is a mistake so [RFC3445](#) removed application keys from the KEY record by redefining the Protocol Octet field in the KEY RR Data. This means that any other uses of keying material in the DNS need to define a new RRtype and mnemonic.

Although this document advocates the introduction of a new resource record specifically to provide this type of information for keys that encrypt NAPTR records [refs.[RFC3403](#)], it can be used for more generalised encryption of DNS resource records. A scheme for encrypting NAPTR records is outlined in [draft-timms-encrypt-naptr](#) [[I-D.timms-enum-encrypt](#)].

[3.](#) Definition of RKEY Resource Record

The RKEY RR uses an IANA-assigned type code and is used as resource record for storing keys which encrypt NAPTR records. The RDATA for an RKEY RR consists of flags, a protocol octet, the algorithm number octet, and the public key itself. The format is as follows:

RKEY RDATA format

```

          1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|               flags               |  protocol  |  algorithm  |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|                                     |                                     |
/                                     /                                     /
/                                     /                                     /
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

All bits of the flags field are reserved and MUST be zero. The protocol field MUST be set to 1. The algorithm and public key fields

are identical to the definitions used in [RFC4034](#) [refs.[RFC4034](#)].

Reid, et al.

Expires January 5, 2009

[Page 5]

Internet-Draft

The RKEY DNS Resource Record

July 2008

[4.](#) Security Considerations

The format and correct usage of DNSSEC keys is not changed by this document and no new security considerations are introduced.

[5.](#) IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to issue a new type code and mnemonic for the proposed resource record. No other IANA services are required by this document.

[6.](#) Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Klaus Malorny, Lawrence Conroy and Roy Arends for their constructive suggestions to this document and for helping to identify potential uses for the proposed record type.

[7.](#) References

[7.1.](#) Normative References

[I-D.timms-enum-encrypt]

Timms, B., Reid, J., and J. Schlyter, "IANA Registration for Encrypted ENUM", [draft-timms-enum-encrypt-00](#) (work in progress), November 2007.

[refs.[RFC1034](#)]

Mockapetris, P., "DOMAIN NAMES - CONCEPTS AND FACILITIES", [RFC 1034](#), November 1987.

[refs.[RFC1035](#)]

Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification", STD 13, [RFC 1035](#), November 1987.

[refs.[RFC1123](#)]

Braden, R., "Requirements for Internet Hosts -- Application and Support", [RFC 1123](#), October 1989.

[refs.[RFC2181](#)]

Elz, R. and R. Bush, "Clarifications to the DNS Specification", [RFC 2181](#), July 1997.

[refs.[RFC3403](#)]

Mealling, M., "Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Part Three: The Domain Name System (DNS) Database", [RFC 3403](#), October 2002.

[refs.[RFC3445](#)]

Massey, D. and S. Rose, "Limiting the Scope of the KEY Resource Record (RR)", [RFC 3445](#), December 2002.

[refs.[RFC3986](#)]

Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), January 2005.

[refs.[RFC4033](#)]

Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "DNS Security Introduction and Requirements", [RFC 4033](#), March 2005.

[refs.[RFC4034](#)]

Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S.

Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions",
[RFC 4034](#), March 2005.

Reid, et al.

Expires January 5, 2009

[Page 9]

Internet-Draft

The RKEY DNS Resource Record

July 2008

[refs.[RFC4035](#)]

Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions", [RFC 4035](#), March 2005.

[7.2.](#) Informative References

[refs.[RFC2026](#)]

Bradner, S., "The Internet Standards Process -- Revision 3", [RFC 2026](#), [BCP 9](#), October 1996.

[refs.[RFC2119](#)]

Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), [BCP 14](#), March 1997.

[refs.[RFC3761](#)]

Faltstrom, P. and M. Mealling, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM)", [RFC 3761](#), April 2004.

[refs.[RFC3833](#)]

Atkins, D. and R. Austein, "Threat Analysis of the Domain Name System (DNS)", [RFC 3833](#), August 2004.

[refs.[RFC3978](#)]

Bradner, S., "IETF Rights in Contributions", [BCP 78](#), [RFC 3978](#), March 2005.

[refs.[RFC3979](#)]

Bradner, S., "Intellectual Property Rights in IETF Technology", [BCP 79](#), [RFC 3979](#), March 2005.

Authors' Addresses

Jim Reid
Telnic Ltd
6 Langside Court
Bothwell, SCOTLAND
United Kingdom

Phone: +44 20 7467 6400
Email: jim@telnic.org

Jakob Schlyter
Kirei AB
PO Box 53204
Goteborg, SE 40016
Sweden

Phone: +46 31 787 8007
Email: jakob@kirei.se

Ben Timms
Telnic Ltd
37 Percy Street
London, W1T 2DJ
United Kingdom

Phone: +44 20 7467 6450
Email: btimms@telnic.org

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information

on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.