

DNSEXT	J. Reid	
Internet-Draft	Telnix Ltd	
Intended status: Standards Track	July 04, 2008	
Expires: January 5, 2009		

[TOC](#)

The Zone Status (ZS) DNS Resource Record <draft-reid-dnsext-zs-01.txt>

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 5, 2009.

Abstract

A Domain Name System (DNS) resource record which provides status information about a zone is described in this document.

Table of Contents

- [1.](#) Terminology
- [2.](#) Introduction
- [3.](#) Rationale
- [4.](#) Justification For New RRtype
- [5.](#) ZS Resource Record
- [6.](#) End User Considerations
- [7.](#) Security Considerations
- [8.](#) IANA Considerations
- [9.](#) Acknowledgements
- [10.](#) References

- [10.1.](#) Normative References
 - [10.2.](#) Informative References
 - [§](#) Author's Address
 - [§](#) Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements
-

1. Terminology

[TOC](#)

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, [RFC2119 \(Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.\)](#) [refs.RFC2119].

2. Introduction

[TOC](#)

The DNS protocol is defined in [RFC1034 \(Mockapetris, P., "DOMAIN NAMES - CONCEPTS AND FACILITIES," November 1987.\)](#) [refs.RFC1034], [RFC1035 \(Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification," November 1987.\)](#) [refs.RFC1035] and clarified in [RFC2181 \(Elz, R. and R. Bush, "Clarifications to the DNS Specification," July 1997.\)](#) [refs.RFC2181]. The DNS does not currently provide a well defined mechanism for obtaining information about the status of a zone: what it is being used for, the significance of the zone's contents, when the zone was last updated and so on. This means a variety of ad-hoc techniques are deployed whenever zone administrators choose to make this information available. Typical strategies include descriptive TXT records in the zone or embedding meta-information in the values of existing RRtypes or subtypes such as the SOA record's serial number and RNAME. These are confusing and impractical since an arbitrary DNS client needs a priori knowledge of which of these schemes, if any, has been used by a zone administrator. This document advocates the introduction of a new resource record specifically to provide this type of information.

3. Rationale

[TOC](#)

Common examples where indicating the status of a zone would be useful include: whenever the domain is in the process of a substantial update; a domain undergoing a long-term migration; and changes to the authoritative name servers for a zone. eg "Use of example.net was

deprecated on April 1st. Please visit example.com instead." It would be convenient to store this type of meta information within the zone in a way that makes it easily retrieved. This kind of status information would be particularly helpful for systems such as ENUM [\[refs.RFC3761\]](#) ([Faltstrom, P. and M. Mealling, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers \(URI\) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System \(DDDS\) Application \(ENUM\)," April 2004.](#)) which can be used for publishing real-time contact data for zone owners.

These sorts of details are generally associated with the administration of the zone rather than being tied to the rest of the zone content. Clarifying this separation between information that reflects the status of a zone from any text that a domain holder may choose to publish via DNS is useful. It also avoids the current subtyping issues that would affect processing of a TXT RRset if the status information was embedded there. TXT records are too general and would require imprecise RDATA parsing in order to extract any relevant items of interest to a particular client.

The proposed RRtype will be of particular use for zones where contact data are published in the DNS as NAPTR records [\[refs.RFC3403\]](#) ([Mealling, M., "Dynamic Delegation Discovery System \(DDDS\) Part Three: The Domain Name System \(DNS\) Database," October 2002.](#)). For instance a set of tel: and sip: URIs [\[refs.RFC3986\]](#) ([Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier \(URI\): Generic Syntax," January 2005.](#)) could be associated with the proposed zone status RRtype. That could indicate these URIs are the ones published by the zone owner when they are at work, or while travelling or when at home. Client software could lookup the zone's ZS records and display a meaningful message to the end user about the NAPTR records that had been retrieved from an earlier lookup. A description of which contact data the zone owner has published would offer additional information to what might be inferred from the actual NAPTR RRset or other zone data itself. The ZS RRtype could express concepts like "the zone owner is asleep, so don't bother trying voice-based communication" or "the zone owner is at work but in a meeting".

Publishing and obtaining this information will become more significant because of emerging applications and services which make innovative use of the DNS such as the real-time manipulation of zone content data. For example, updating NAPTR records (ie the zone owner's contact data) whenever the zone owner switches between the NAPTR RRset they publish while at work, at home, commuting or while sleeping.

4. Justification For New RRtype

[TOC](#)

TXT records are unsuitable for providing this sort of zone status information because the semantics of TXT record RDATA are unstructured. TXT records can and are used for all sorts of purposes: version control

strings, comments or reminders to zone administrators, anti-spam information, references to ticketing systems, contact details of the zone administrator and so on. It would be impractical for an application to interpret the contents of a response to a TXT query and guess which, if any, of the returned TXT records contained meaningful data about the status of the zone.

One approach would be to adopt a convention that a "magic string" in the RDATA for some TXT record identified zone status information. This is not viable for two reasons. First, it may break backwards compatibility with the installed base which might already be using this "magic string" in TXT records. The second reason is this proposal would introduce yet another example of subtyping which is generally accepted as poor protocol design.

Likewise, it is not sensible to insert TXT records in some part of the name space to be dedicated for this specific purpose. That would be another instance of bad protocol design because a fundamental but unstated principle of the Domain Name System is any RRtype can be used in any zone irrespective of the name of the zone.

5. ZS Resource Record

[TOC](#)

Apart from its type code, the wire and text formats for the proposed ZS RRtype are identical to the definitions of the TXT record given in RFC1035:

ZS RDATA format

```
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
/                               ZS-DATA                               /
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
```

where: ZS-DATA One or more character-strings.

The ZS RRtype will hold descriptive text intended to contain information reflecting the status of the zone in which it is held.

6. End User Considerations

[TOC](#)

Users publishing ZS records SHOULD pay attention to the needs of potential readers of these resource records, especially with respect to character sets and language. Although arbitrary text can be stored in character-strings, publishers of ZS records SHOULD carefully consider the capabilities of the devices and end users who query for ZS records. For example, a mobile phone or other hand-held device may not have the font information or suitable rendering capabilities to display (say) Chinese or Arabic characters. Similarly, publishers of ZS records

should try to avoid displaying information in multiple languages or assume that all readers of these records understand the same language or languages they have chosen to use. In these circumstances it would be inadvisable to publish a string in a ZS record that is unlikely to be intelligible to those who lookup ZS records.

7. Security Considerations

[TOC](#)

Although this document does not appear to introduce any extra security issues beyond those listed in the thorough analysis of the threats to DNS in [RFC3833 \(Atkins, D. and R. Austein, "Threat Analysis of the Domain Name System \(DNS\)," August 2004.\)](#) [refs.RFC3833], there are some additional considerations. These are described below.

It is unrealistic to assume that zone owners who publish ZS records can be relied upon to ensure any ZS records contain accurate, timely information. Similarly it cannot be assumed that ZS records contain text that will be understandable by an arbitrary reader that looks them up in the DNS. Therefore any data contained in a ZS record is solely for informational purposes. The information contained in a ZS record MUST NOT be relied upon for any location-based services. In particular, emergency services MUST NOT treat the contents of a ZS record as definitive information about the location or disposition of the domain name owner.

8. IANA Considerations

[TOC](#)

IANA is requested to issue a new type code and mnemonic for the proposed resource record. No other IANA services are required by this document.

9. Acknowledgements

[TOC](#)

The author would like to thank Ben Timms, John Cundall, John Tidmuss and Lawrence Conroy for their constructive suggestions to this document and for helping to identify potential uses for the proposed record type.

[TOC](#)

10. References

10.1. Normative References

[TOC](#)

[refs.RFC1034]	Mockapetris, P. , " DOMAIN NAMES - CONCEPTS AND FACILITIES ," RFC 1034, November 1987 (TXT).
[refs.RFC1035]	Mockapetris, P., " Domain names - implementation and specification ," STD 13, RFC 1035, November 1987 (TXT).
[refs.RFC1123]	Braden, R. , " Requirements for Internet Hosts -- Application and Support ," RFC 1123, October 1989 (TXT).
[refs.RFC2181]	Elz, R. and R. Bush , " Clarifications to the DNS Specification ," RFC 2181, July 1997 (TXT).
[refs.RFC3403]	Mealling, M. , " Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Part Three: The Domain Name System (DNS) Database ," RFC 3403, October 2002.
[refs.RFC3986]	Berners-Lee, T. , Fielding, R. , and L. Masinter , " Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax ," STD 66, RFC 3986, January 2005.

10.2. Informative References

[TOC](#)

[refs.RFC2026]	Bradner, S. , " The Internet Standards Process -- Revision 3 ," RFC 2026, BCP 9, October 1996.
[refs.RFC2119]	Bradner, S. , " Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels ," RFC 2119, BCP 14, March 1997.
[refs.RFC3761]	Faltstrom, P. and M. Mealling , " The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM) ," RFC 3761, April 2004.
[refs.RFC3833]	Atkins, D. and R. Austein , " Threat Analysis of the Domain Name System (DNS) ," RFC 3833, August 2004.
[refs.RFC3978]	Bradner, S., " IETF Rights in Contributions ," BCP 78, RFC 3978, March 2005.
[refs.RFC3979]	Bradner, S., " Intellectual Property Rights in IETF Technology ," BCP 79, RFC 3979, March 2005.

Author's Address

[TOC](#)

	Jim Reid
	Telnic Ltd

	Telnic Ltd.
	6 Langside Court
	Bothwell, SCOTLAND
	United Kingdom
Phone:	+44 20 7467 6400
Email:	jim@telnic.org

Full Copyright Statement

[TOC](#)

Copyright © The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.