Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Informational

Expires: June 20, 2010

J. Reschke greenbytes December 17, 2009

Test Renditions for new RFC Headers & Boilerplate draft-reschke-hab-01

Abstract

This document contains test renditions for the new header and boilerplate values proposed in draft-iab-streams-headers-boilerplates, and reports on the implementation status for xml2rfc processors.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of \underline{BCP} 78 and \underline{BCP} 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 20, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Introduction	<u>3</u>
2. Implementation Status for Xml2Rfc	
<pre>2.1. rfc2629.xslt (XML -> XSLT -> (X)HTML XSL-F0)</pre>	<u>3</u>
2.2. xml2rfc.tcl (XML -> TCL -> TXT HTML)	
$\underline{3}$. Test Renditions	<u>3</u>
<u>3.1</u> . IETF Stream	<u>3</u>
3.1.1. IETF Standards Track w/ consensus	<u>3</u>
3.1.2. IETF Best Current Practice w/ consensus	<u>4</u>
3.1.3. IETF Experimental w/ consensus	<u>4</u>
3.1.4. IETF Experimental w/o consensus	<u>5</u>
3.1.5. IETF Historic w/ consensus	
3.1.6. IETF Historic w/o consensus	<u>6</u>
3.1.7. IETF Informational w/ consensus	<u>7</u>
3.1.8. IETF Informational w/o consensus	<u>7</u>
<u>3.2</u> . IAB Stream	<u>8</u>
<u>3.2.1</u> . IAB Historic	<u>8</u>
3.2.2. IAB Informational	<u>8</u>
3.3. IRTF Stream	<u>9</u>
3.3.1. IRTF Experimental w/ RG consensus	<u>9</u>
3.3.2. IRTF Experimental w/o RG consensus	<u>9</u>
3.3.3. IRTF Historic w/ RG consensus 1	.0
3.3.4. IRTF Historic w/o RG consensus 1	.0
3.3.5. IRTF Informational w/ RG consensus 1	1
3.3.6. IRTF Informational w/o RG consensus 1	1
3.4. Independent Stream	.2
$\underline{3.4.1}$. Independent Submission Experimental $\underline{1}$.2
3.4.2. Independent Submission Historic <u>1</u>	.3
3.4.3. Independent Submission Informational 1	
$\underline{4}$. Informative References $\underline{1}$	<u>.4</u>
Appendix A. Change Log	<u>.4</u>
A.1. Since draft-reschke-hab-00	<u>.4</u>
Author's Address	_4

1. Introduction

This document contains test renditions for the changes proposed in [draft-iab-streams-headers-boilerplates], as generated by an experimental version of rfc2629.xslt (see http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/rfc2629xslt/rfc2629xslt.html). Its purpose is to illustrate the resulting text for all the variations of the various input parameters, and to track the changes applied to the proposal during the RFC-Editor's publication process.

This draft has been updated to produce the text proposed by the RFC Editor during the AUTH48 phase for RFC 5741-to-be, as of 2009-12-17.

2. Implementation Status for Xml2Rfc

Please join the xml2rfc mailing list (<http://lists.xml.resource.org/mailman/listinfo/xml2rfc) for discussion of changes to the RFC 2629 vocabulary ([RFC2629]).

2.1. rfc2629.xslt (XML -> XSLT -> (X)HTML|XSL-F0)

The examples below were generated with an experimental version of rfc2629.xslt (see http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/rfc2629xslt/rfc2629xslt.html).

2.2. xml2rfc.tcl (XML -> TCL -> TXT|HTML)

At the time of this writing, there was no work scheduled for updating xml2rfc, thus no direct conversion of XML source to TXT files is available (see http://xml.resource.org).

3. Test Renditions

3.1. IETF Stream

3.1.1. IETF Standards Track w/ consensus

3.1.1.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Standards Track

3.1.1.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.1.2. IETF Best Current Practice w/ consensus

3.1.2.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Best Current Practice

3.1.2.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This memo documents an Internet Best Current Practice.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on BCPs is available in <u>Section 2 of RFC 5741</u>.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.1.3. IETF Experimental w/ consensus

3.1.3.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Experimental

3.1.3.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation.

This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are candidate for any level of Internet Standards; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.1.4. IETF Experimental w/o consensus

3.1.4.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Experimental

3.1.4.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation.

This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are candidate for any level of Internet Standards; see <u>Section 2 of RFC 5741</u>.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.1.5. IETF Historic w/ consensus

3.1.5.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Historic

3.1.5.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are candidate for any level of Internet Standards; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.1.6. IETF Historic w/o consensus

3.1.6.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Historic

3.1.6.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are candidate for any level of Internet Standards; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.1.7. IETF Informational w/ consensus

3.1.7.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

3.1.7.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are candidate for any level of Internet Standards; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.1.8. IETF Informational w/o consensus

3.1.8.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

3.1.8.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are candidate for any level of Internet Standards; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.2. IAB Stream

3.2.1. IAB Historic

3.2.1.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Architecture Board (IAB) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Historic

3.2.1.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Architecture Board (IAB), and represents information that the IAB has deemed valuable to provide for permanent record. Documents approved for publication by the IAB are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.2.2. IAB Informational

3.2.2.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Architecture Board (IAB) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

3.2.2.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Architecture Board (IAB), and represents information that the IAB has deemed valuable to provide for permanent record. Documents approved for publication by the IAB are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.3. IRTF Stream

3.3.1. IRTF Experimental w/ RG consensus

3.3.1.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)
Request for Comments: 9999
Category: Experimental

3.3.1.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation.

This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the consensus of the <insert_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.3.2. IRTF Experimental w/o RG consensus

3.3.2.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Experimental

3.3.2.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation.

This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be

suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the individual opinion(s) of one or more members of the <insert name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.3.3. IRTF Historic w/ RG consensus

3.3.3.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Historic

3.3.3.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the consensus of the <insert_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.3.4. IRTF Historic w/o RG consensus

3.3.4.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Historic

3.3.4.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the individual opinion(s) of one or more members of the <insert name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.3.5. IRTF Informational w/ RG consensus

3.3.5.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

3.3.5.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the consensus of the <insert_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.3.6. IRTF Informational w/o RG consensus

3.3.6.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

3.3.6.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the individual opinion(s) of one or more members of the <insert_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.4. Independent Stream

3.4.1. Independent Submission Experimental

3.4.1.1. Header (Left Column)

Independent Submission
Request for Comments: 9999
Category: Experimental

3.4.1.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation.

This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.4.2. Independent Submission Historic

3.4.2.1. Header (Left Column)

Independent Submission
Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Historic

3.4.2.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.4.3. Independent Submission Informational

3.4.3.1. Header (Left Column)

Independent Submission Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

3.4.3.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

4. Informative References

[RFC2629] Rose, M., "Writing I-Ds and RFCs using XML", RFC 2629, June 1999.

[draft-iab-streams-headers-boilerplates]

Daigle, L. and O. Kolkman, "On RFC Streams, Headers, and Boilerplates", <u>draft-iab-streams-headers-boilerplates-08</u> (work in progress), April 2009.

Appendix A. Change Log

A.1. Since draft-reschke-hab-00

Updated to RFC 5741-to-be as of 2009-12-17: (i) expanded submission stream names, (2) replaced "RFC XXXX" by "RFC 5741".

Author's Address

Julian F. Reschke greenbytes GmbH Hafenweg 16 Muenster, NW 48155 Germany

Email: julian.reschke@greenbytes.de
URI: http://greenbytes.de/tech/webday/