TOC

Network Working Group	J. Reschke
Internet-Draft	greenbytes
Intended status: Informational	December 22, 2009
Expires: June 25, 2010	

# Test Renditions for new RFC Headers & Boilerplate draft-reschke-hab-02

#### **Abstract**

This document contains test renditions for the new header and boilerplate values proposed in draft-iab-streams-headers-boilerplates, and reports on the implementation status for xml2rfc processors.

#### Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 25, 2010.

# **Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

#### Table of Contents

```
    Introduction

2. Implementation Status for Xml2Rfc
   2.1. rfc2629.xslt (XML -> XSLT -> (X)HTML|XSL-F0)
   2.2. xml2rfc.tcl (XML -> TCL -> TXT|HTML)
3. Test Renditions
   3.1. IETF Stream
       3.1.1. IETF Standards Track w/ consensus
       3.1.2. IETF Best Current Practice w/ consensus
       3.1.3. IETF Experimental w/ consensus
       3.1.4. IETF Experimental w/o consensus
       3.1.5. IETF Historic w/ consensus
       3.1.6. IETF Historic w/o consensus
       3.1.7. IETF Informational w/ consensus
       3.1.8. IETF Informational w/o consensus
   3.2. IAB Stream
       3.2.1. IAB Historic
       3.2.2. IAB Informational
   3.3. IRTF Stream
        3.3.1. IRTF Experimental w/ RG consensus
       3.3.2. IRTF Experimental w/o RG consensus
       3.3.3. IRTF Historic w/ RG consensus
       3.3.4. IRTF Historic w/o RG consensus
       3.3.5. IRTF Informational w/ RG consensus
       3.3.6. IRTF Informational w/o RG consensus
   3.4. Independent Stream
       3.4.1. Independent Submission Experimental
       3.4.2. Independent Submission Historic
       3.4.3. Independent Submission Informational
4. Informative References
Appendix A. Change Log
   A.1. Since draft-reschke-hab-00
   A.2. Since draft-reschke-hab-01
§ Author's Address
```

# 1. Introduction

This document contains test renditions for the changes proposed in <a href="mailto:ldraft-iab-streams-headers-boilerplates">[draft-iab-streams-headers-boilerplates</a>] (Daigle, L. and O. Kolkman, "On RFC Streams, Headers, and Boilerplates," April 2009.), as generated by an experimental version of rfc2629.xslt (see <a href="http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/rfc2629xslt/rfc2629xslt.html">http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/rfc2629xslt/rfc2629xslt.html</a>). Its purpose is to illustrate the resulting text for all the variations of the various input

parameters, and to track the changes applied to the proposal during the RFC-Editor's publication process.

This draft has been updated to produce the text proposed by the RFC Editor during the AUTH48 phase for RFC 5741-to-be, as of 2009-12-17.

# 2. Implementation Status for Xml2Rfc

TOC

Please join the xml2rfc mailing list (<a href="http://lists.xml.resource.org/mailman/listinfo/xml2rfc">http://lists.xml.resource.org/mailman/listinfo/xml2rfc</a>) for discussion of changes to the RFC 2629 vocabulary (<a href="https://resource.org/mailman/listinfo/xml2rfc">[RFC2629]</a> (Rose, M., "Writing I-Ds and RFCs using XML," June 1999.)).

# 2.1. rfc2629.xslt (XML -> XSLT -> (X)HTML|XSL-F0)

TOC

The examples below were generated with an experimental version of rfc2629.xslt (see <a href="http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/rfc2629xslt/rfc2629xslt.html">http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/rfc2629xslt/rfc2629xslt.html</a>).

# 2.2. xml2rfc.tcl (XML -> TCL -> TXT|HTML)

TOC

At the time of this writing, there was no work scheduled for updating xml2rfc, thus no direct conversion of XML source to TXT files is available (see <a href="http://xml.resource.org">http://xml.resource.org</a>).

# 3. Test Renditions

TOC

# 3.1. IETF Stream

TOC

## 3.1.1. IETF Standards Track w/ consensus

# 3.1.1.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Standards Track

#### 3.1.1.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741. Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

## 3.1.2. IETF Best Current Practice w/ consensus

TOC

# 3.1.2.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Best Current Practice

## 3.1.2.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This memo documents an Internet Best Current Practice.
This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the

Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on BCPs is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

## 3.1.3. IETF Experimental w/ consensus

TOC

## 3.1.3.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Experimental

### 3.1.3.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation. This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

#### 3.1.4. IETF Experimental w/o consensus

# 3.1.4.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Experimental

## 3.1.4.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation. This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

#### 3.1.5. IETF Historic w/ consensus

TOC

# 3.1.5.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Historic

#### 3.1.5.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force

(IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

#### 3.1.6. IETF Historic w/o consensus

TOC

# 3.1.6.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Historic

# 3.1.6.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

# 3.1.7. IETF Informational w/ consensus

# 3.1.7.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

#### 3.1.7.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

#### 3.1.8. IETF Informational w/o consensus

TOC

# 3.1.8.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

# 3.1.8.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

## 3.2. IAB Stream

TOC

## 3.2.1. IAB Historic

TOC

# 3.2.1.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Architecture Board (IAB)

Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Historic

## 3.2.1.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Architecture Board (IAB), and represents information that the IAB has deemed valuable to provide for permanent record. Documents approved for publication by the IAB are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

#### 3.2.2. IAB Informational

TOC

## 3.2.2.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Architecture Board (IAB)
Request for Comments: 9999
Category: Informational

#### 3.2.2.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Architecture Board (IAB), and represents information that the IAB has deemed valuable to provide for permanent record. Documents approved for publication by the IAB are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

# 3.3. IRTF Stream

TOC

## 3.3.1. IRTF Experimental w/ RG consensus

TOC

# 3.3.1.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)
Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Experimental

## 3.3.1.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation. This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the consensus of the <insert\_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

# 3.3.2. IRTF Experimental w/o RG consensus

TOC

## 3.3.2.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)

Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Experimental

#### 3.3.2.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation. This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the individual opinion(s) of one or more members of the <insert\_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

#### 3.3.3. IRTF Historic w/ RG consensus

TOC

# 3.3.3.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)
Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Historic

#### 3.3.3.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the consensus of the <insert\_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.3.4. IRTF Historic w/o RG consensus

TOC

3.3.4.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)

Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Historic

#### 3.3.4.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the individual opinion(s) of one or more members of the <insert\_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

## 3.3.5. IRTF Informational w/ RG consensus

# 3.3.5.1. Header (Left Column)

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)

Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

## 3.3.5.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the consensus of the <insert\_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

## 3.3.6. IRTF Informational w/o RG consensus

TOC

# 3.3.6.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)
Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Informational

# 3.3.6.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the individual opinion(s) of one or more members of the <insert\_name> Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

## 3.4. Independent Stream

TOC

# **3.4.1.** Independent Submission Experimental

TOC

# 3.4.1.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Independent Submission Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Experimental

# 3.4.1.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation. This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

3.4.2. Independent Submission Historic

TOC

3.4.2.1. Header (Left Column)

TOC

Independent Submission Request for Comments: 9999

Category: Historic

#### 3.4.2.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for the historical record.

This document defines a Historic Document for the Internet community. This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

# 3.4.3. Independent Submission Informational

TOC

# 3.4.3.1. Header (Left Column)

Independent Submission Request for Comments: 9999 Category: Informational

## 3.4.3.2. Text of 'Status Of This Memo'

TOC

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9999.

#### 4. Informative References

TOC

[RFC2629]	Rose, M., "Writing I-Ds and RFCs using XML," RFC 2629, June 1999.
[draft-iab-streams- headers- boilerplates]	Daigle, L. and O. Kolkman, "On RFC Streams, Headers, and Boilerplates," draft-iab-streams- headers-boilerplates-08 (work in progress), April 2009.

# Appendix A. Change Log

TOC

# A.1. Since draft-reschke-hab-00

TOC

Updated to RFC 5741-to-be as of 2009-12-17: (i) expanded submission stream names, (2) replaced "RFC XXXX" by "RFC 5741".

# A.2. Since draft-reschke-hab-01

TOC

Fix oversight: "Not all documents approved by the IESG are candidate for any level of Internet Standards" -> "Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard".

# **Author's Address**

т	П	
	u	и.
	v	_

	Julian F. Reschke
	greenbytes GmbH
	Hafenweg 16
	Muenster, NW 48155
	Germany
Email:	<u>julian.reschke@greenbytes.de</u>
URI:	http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/