

Internet Draft
[draft-rivest-rc2desc-00.txt](#)

Ron Rivest
RSA Data Security, Inc.

Created 1987

Revised March 12, 1992

Revised June 23, 1997

Expires December 22, 1997

A Description of the RC2(r) Encryption Algorithm

Status of this memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the "1id-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

1. Introduction

This draft is an RSA Laboratories Technical Note. It is meant for informational use by the Internet community.

This memo describes a conventional (secret-key) block encryption algorithm, called RC2, which may be considered as a proposal for a DES replacement. The input and output block sizes are 64 bits each. The key size is variable, from one byte up to 128 bytes, although the current implementation uses eight bytes.

The algorithm is designed to be easy to implement on 16-bit microprocessors. On an IBM AT, the encryption runs about twice as fast as DES (assuming that key expansion has been done).

1.1 Algorithm description

We use the term "word" to denote a 16-bit quantity. The symbol + will denote twos-complement addition. The symbol & will denote the bitwise "and" operation. The term XOR will denote the bitwise "exclusive-or" operation. The symbol ~ will denote bitwise complement. The symbol ^ will denote the exponentiation operation. The term MOD will denote the modulo operation.

There are three separate algorithms involved:

Key expansion. This takes a (variable-length) input key and produces an expanded key consisting of 64 words $K[0], \dots, K[63]$.

Encryption. This takes a 64-bit input quantity stored in words $R[0], \dots, R[3]$ and encrypts it "in place" (the result is left in $R[0], \dots, R[3]$).

Decryption. The inverse operation to encryption. (This will not be described, since it is merely the inverse operation.)

2. Key expansion

Since we will be dealing with eight-bit byte operations as well as 16-bit word operations, we will use two alternative notations for referring to the key buffer:

For word operations, we will refer to the positions of the buffer as $K[0], \dots, K[63]$; each $K[i]$ is a 16-bit word.

For byte operations, we will refer to the key buffer as $L[0], \dots, L[127]$; each $L[i]$ is an eight-bit byte.

These are alternative views of the same data buffer. At all times it will be true that

$$K[i] = L[2*i] + 256*L[2*i+1].$$

(Note that the low-order byte of each K word is given before the high-order byte.)

We will assume that exactly T bytes of key are supplied, for some T in the range $1 \leq T \leq 128$. (Our current implementation uses $T = 8$.) However, regardless of T , the algorithm has a maximum effective key length in bits, denoted T_1 . That is, the search space is $2^{(8*T)}$, or 2^{T_1} , whichever is smaller.

The purpose of the key-expansion algorithm is to modify the key buffer so that each bit of the expanded key depends in a complicated way on every bit of the supplied input key.

The key expansion algorithm begins by placing the supplied T -byte key into bytes $L[0], \dots, L[T-1]$ of the key buffer.

The key expansion algorithm then computes the effective key length in bytes T_8 and a mask T_M based on the effective key length in bits T_1 . It uses the following operations:

```

T8 = (T1+7)/8;
TM = 255 MOD 2^(8 + T1 - 8*T8);

```

Thus TM has its $8 - (8 \times T8 - T1)$ least significant bits set.

For example, with an effective key length of 64 bits, $T1 = 64$, $T8 = 8$ and $TM = 0xff$. With an effective key length of 63 bits, $T1 = 63$, $T8 = 8$ and $TM = 0x7f$.

Here PITABLE[0], ..., PITABLE[255] is an array of "random" bytes based on the digits of $PI = 3.14159...$. More precisely, the array PITABLE is a random permutation of the values 0, ..., 255. Here is the PITABLE in hexadecimal notation:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	a	b	c	d	e	f
00:	d9	78	f9	c4	19	dd	b5	ed	28	e9	fd	79	4a	a0	d8	9d
10:	c6	7e	37	83	2b	76	53	8e	62	4c	64	88	44	8b	fb	a2
20:	17	9a	59	f5	87	b3	4f	13	61	45	6d	8d	09	81	7d	32
30:	bd	8f	40	eb	86	b7	7b	0b	f0	95	21	22	5c	6b	4e	82
40:	54	d6	65	93	ce	60	b2	1c	73	56	c0	14	a7	8c	f1	dc
50:	12	75	ca	1f	3b	be	e4	d1	42	3d	d4	30	a3	3c	b6	26
60:	6f	bf	0e	da	46	69	07	57	27	f2	1d	9b	bc	94	43	03
70:	f8	11	c7	f6	90	ef	3e	e7	06	c3	d5	2f	c8	66	1e	d7
80:	08	e8	ea	de	80	52	ee	f7	84	aa	72	ac	35	4d	6a	2a
90:	96	1a	d2	71	5a	15	49	74	4b	9f	d0	5e	04	18	a4	ec
a0:	c2	e0	41	6e	0f	51	cb	cc	24	91	af	50	a1	f4	70	39
b0:	99	7c	3a	85	23	b8	b4	7a	fc	02	36	5b	25	55	97	31
c0:	2d	5d	fa	98	e3	8a	92	ae	05	df	29	10	67	6c	ba	c9
d0:	d3	00	e6	cf	e1	9e	a8	2c	63	16	01	3f	58	e2	89	a9
e0:	0d	38	34	1b	ab	33	ff	b0	bb	48	0c	5f	b9	b1	cd	2e
f0:	c5	f3	db	47	e5	a5	9c	77	0a	a6	20	68	fe	7f	c1	ad

The key expansion operation consists of the following two loops and intermediate step:

```

for i = T, T+1, ..., 127 do
    L[i] = PITABLE[L[i-1] + L[i-T]];

L[128-T8] = PITABLE[L[128-T8] & TM];

for i = 127-T8, ..., 0 do
    L[i] = PITABLE[L[i+1] XOR L[i+T8]];

```

(In the first loop, the addition of $L[i-1]$ and $L[i-T]$ is performed modulo 256.)

The "effective key" consists of the values $L[128-T8], \dots, L[127]$. The intermediate step's bitwise "and" operation reduces the search space for $L[128-T8]$ so that the effective number of key bits is $T1$. The expanded key depends only on the effective key bits, regardless of the supplied key K . Since the expanded key is

not itself modified during encryption or decryption, as a pragmatic matter one can expand the key just once when encrypting or decrypting a large block of data.

[3. Encryption algorithm](#)

The encryption operation is defined in terms of primitive "mix" and "mash" operations.

Here the expression " $x \text{ rol } k$ " denotes the 16-bit word x rotated left by k bits, with the bits shifted out the top end entering the bottom end.

[3.1 Mix up \$R\[i\]\$](#)

The primitive "Mix up $R[i]$ " operation is defined as follows, where $s[0]$ is 1, $s[1]$ is 2, $s[2]$ is 3, and $s[3]$ is 5, and where the indices of the array R are always to be considered "modulo 4," so that $R[i-1]$ refers to $R[3]$ if i is 0 (these values are "wrapped around" so that R always has a subscript in the range 0 to 3 inclusive):

```
R[i] = R[i] + K[j] + (R[i-1] & R[i-2]) + ((~R[i-1]) & R[i-3]);  
j = j + 1;  
R[i] = R[i] rol s[i];
```

In words: The next key word $K[j]$ is added to $R[i]$, and j is advanced. Then $R[i-1]$ is used to create a "composite" word which is added to $R[i]$. The composite word is identical with $R[i-2]$ in those positions where $R[i-1]$ is one, and identical to $R[i-3]$ in those positions where $R[i-1]$ is zero. Then $R[i]$ is rotated left by $s[i]$ bits (bits rotated out the left end of $R[i]$ are brought back in at the right). Here j is a "global" variable so that $K[j]$ is always the first key word in the expanded key which has not yet been used in a "mix" operation.

[3.2 Mixing round](#)

A "mixing round" consists of the following operations:

```
Mix up R[0]  
Mix up R[1]  
Mix up R[2]  
Mix up R[3]
```

[3.3 Mash \$R\[i\]\$](#)

The primitive "Mash $R[i]$ " operation is defined as follows (using the previous conventions regarding subscripts for R):

```
R[i] = R[i] + K[R[i-1] & 63];
```

In words: $R[i]$ is "mashed" by adding to it one of the words of the expanded key. The key word to be used is determined by looking at the low-order six bits of $R[i-1]$, and using that as an index into the key array K .

[3.4](#) Mashing round

A "mashing round" consists of:

```
Mash R[0]
Mash R[1]
Mash R[2]
Mash R[3]
```

[3.5](#) Encryption operation

The entire encryption operation can now be described as follows. Here j is a global integer variable which is affected by the mixing operations.

1. Initialize words $R[0]$, ..., $R[3]$ to contain the 64-bit input value.
2. Expand the key, so that words $K[0]$, ..., $K[63]$ become defined.
3. Initialize j to zero.
4. Perform five mixing rounds.
5. Perform one mashing round.
6. Perform six mixing rounds.
7. Perform one mashing round.
8. Perform five mixing rounds.

Note that each mixing round uses four key words, and that there are 16 mixing rounds altogether, so that each key word is used exactly once in a mixing round. The mashing rounds will refer to up to eight of the key words in a data-dependent manner. (There may be repetitions, and the actual set of words referred to will vary from encryption to encryption.)

[A](#). Intellectual Property Notice

RC2 is a registered trademark of RSA Data Security, Inc. RSA's

copyrighted RC2 software is available under license from RSA
Data Security, Inc.

B. Author's Address

Ron Rivest
RSA Laboratories
100 Marine Parkway, #500
Redwood City, CA 94065 USA
(415) 595-8782
rsa-labs@rsa.com