

SIPPING
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: April 29, 2009

B. Rosen
NeuStar, Inc.
H. Schulzrinne
Columbia U.
H. Tschofenig
Nokia Siemens Networks
October 26, 2008

A Uniform Resource Name (URN) for Early Warning Emergency Services and
Location-to-Service Translation (LoST) Protocol Usage
draft-rosen-ecrit-lost-early-warning-00.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 29, 2009.

Abstract

The Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) is an XML document format for exchanging emergency alerts and public warnings. Different organizations issue alerts for specific geographic regions. The Location-to-Service Translation (LoST) protocol provides a way to discover servers that distribute these alerts for a geographical region. This document defines the Service Uniform Resource Names (URN)s for warnings in the same way as they have been defined with [RFC 5031](#) for citizen-to-authority emergency services. Additionally,

Internet-Draft

Early Warning URN and LoST Usage

October 2008

this document suggests to use LoST for the discovery of servers
distributing alerts.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Terminology	3
3.	Protocol Semantics	3
4.	Examples	3
5.	Security Considerations	6
6.	IANA Considerations	7
6.1.	Sub-Services for the 'warning' Service	7
6.2.	Initial IANA Registration	8
7.	Acknowledgments	8
8.	References	8
8.1.	Normative References	8
8.2.	Informative References	9
	Authors' Addresses	9
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	11

[1.](#) Introduction

The Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) is an XML document format for exchanging emergency alerts and public warnings. Different organizations issue alerts for specific geographical regions. The Location-to-Service Translation (LoST) protocol provides a way to discover servers that distribute these alerts for a geographical region. This document defines the Service Uniform Resource Names (URN)s for warnings in the same way as they have been defined with [RFC 5031](#) for citizen-to-authority emergency services. Additionally, this document suggests to use LoST for the discovery of servers distributing alerts.

[2.](#) Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

[3.](#) Protocol Semantics

This document makes use of LoST, [RFC 5222](#) [[RFC5222](#)]. However, instead of performing a translation from location information and a Service URN to a PSAP URI (plus supplementary information), as used with [[I-D.ietf-ecrit-phonebcp](#)] for the citizen-to-authority emergency services use case, the LoST client asks the LoST server for a URI to receive further information on how to obtain warning alerts. In a response the URIs in the <uri> element MUST be from the following format: sip, xmpp or http. The SIP URI MUST subsequently be used with [[I-D.rosen-sipping-cap](#)]. An XMPP URI MUST be used as described in [[XEP-0127](#)]. An HTTP URI MUST be used with GeoRSS ([Reference to be added.]).

In a LoST response the optional <serviceNumber> element is not used

by this specification. In mapping citizen-to-authority services, receiving multiple mappings is an exception. However, since many organizations may provide warnings for the same area, this is likely to be more common for alerts. As such, the extensions defined in [[I-D.forte-ecrit-lost-extensions](#)] (e.g., the ability to limit the number of returned mappings) are useful in this context.

4. Examples

Figure 1 shows a regular LoST query including geodetic location information with the Service URN pointing to 'urn:service:warning'.

Rosen, et al.

Expires April 29, 2009

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

Early Warning URN and LoST Usage

October 2008

The semantic of the query is: "I am at location (point,"37.775 -122.422"). Please give me a URI where I can obtain information for warnings under the category 'urn:service:warning'.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<findService
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lost1"
  xmlns:p2="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  serviceBoundary="value"
  recursive="true">

  <location id="6020688f1ce1896d" profile="geodetic-2d">
    <p2:Point id="point1" srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
      <p2:pos>37.775 -122.422</p2:pos>
    </p2:Point>
  </location>
  <service>urn:service:warning</service>

</findService>
```

Figure 1: A <findService> geodetic query

In response to the query in Figure 1 the LoST server returns a regular LoST response, as shown in Figure 2. The returned mapping information indicates that the URIs (sip:alerts@example.com and xmpp:alerts@example.com) can be contacted to subscribe to warning events. The service boundary indicates that subsequent requests to the same service will lead to the same response for the geodetic

region indicated by the polygon in the <serviceBoundary> element.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<findServiceResponse xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lost1"
  xmlns:p2="http://www.opengis.net/gml">
  <mapping
    expires="2007-01-01T01:44:33Z"
    lastUpdated="2006-11-01T01:00:00Z"
    source="authoritative.example"
    sourceId="7e3f40b098c711dbb6060800200c9a66">
    <displayName xml:lang="en">
      Austrian Early Warning Center
    </displayName>
    <service>urn:service:warning</service>
    <serviceBoundary profile="geodetic-2d">
      <p2:Polygon srsName="urn:ogc:def::crs:EPSG::4326">
        <p2:exterior>
          <p2:LinearRing>
            <p2:pos>37.775 -122.4194</p2:pos>
            <p2:pos>37.555 -122.4194</p2:pos>
            <p2:pos>37.555 -122.4264</p2:pos>
            <p2:pos>37.775 -122.4264</p2:pos>
            <p2:pos>37.775 -122.4194</p2:pos>
          </p2:LinearRing>
```

```

        </p2:exterior>
      </p2:Polygon>
    </serviceBoundary>
    <uri>sip:alerts@example.com</uri>
    <uri>xmpp:alerts@example.com</uri>
  </mapping>
  <path>
    <via source="resolver.example"/>
    <via source="authoritative.example"/>
  </path>
  <locationUsed id="6020688f1ce1896d"/>
</findServiceResponse>

```

Figure 2: A <findServiceResponse> geodetic answer

Figure 3 shows a <ListServicesByLocation> query asking for the services that are available at a given location; in this example at a point (-34.407 150.883).

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<listServicesByLocation
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lost1"
  xmlns:p2="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
  recursive="true">
  <location id="3e19dfb3b9828c3" profile="geodetic-2d">
    <p2:Point srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
      <p2:pos>-34.407 150.883</p2:pos>
    </p2:Point>
  </location>
  <service>urn:service:warning</service>
</listServicesByLocation>

```

Figure 3: Example of <ListServicesByLocation> query

Figure 4 lists a possible response to the <ListServicesByLocation> query with 6 subservices being offered for the indicated geographical region.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<listServicesByLocationResponse
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lost1">
  <serviceList>
    urn:service:warning.geo
    urn:service:warning.met
    urn:service:warning.safety
    urn:service:warning.security
    urn:service:warning.rescue
    urn:service:warning.fire
  </serviceList>
  <path>
    <via source="resolver.example"/>
    <via source="authoritative.example"/>
  </path>
  <locationUsed id="3e19dfb3b9828c3"/>
</listServicesByLocationResponse>
```

Figure 4: Example of <listServicesByLocationResponse>

[5.](#) Security Considerations

The security considerations of [RFC 5031](#) [[RFC5031](#)], [RFC 5222](#) [[RFC5222](#)] and [[I-D.rosen-sipping-cap](#)] are relevant to this document. This document does not introduce new security vulnerabilities.

[6.](#) IANA Considerations

[6.1.](#) Sub-Services for the 'warning' Service

This section defines the service registration within the IANA registry defined in [Section 4.1 of \[RFC5031\]](#), using the top-level service label 'warning'.

The 'warning' service type describes services providing public safety alerts, i.e., alerts that can warn members of the public about dangers to life, health and property. Additional sub-services can be added after expert review and must be of general public interest and have a similar emergency nature. The expert is designated by the ECRIT working group, its successor, or, in their absence, the IESG. The expert review should only approve early warning based emergency services that are offered widely and in different countries, with approximately the same caller expectation in terms of services rendered. The 'warning' service is not meant to be used by non-emergency services related information.

The warning classification (including description) in the list below is taken from the CAP specification [[cap](#)]:

'urn:service:warning': The generic 'warning' service denotes a generic early warning message of any type encompassing all of the services listed below.

'urn:service:warning:geo': Geophysical (inc. landslide)

'urn:service:warning:met': Meteorological (inc. flood)

'urn:service:warning:safety': General emergency and public safety

'urn:service:warning:security': Law enforcement, military, homeland and local/private security

'urn:service:warning:rescue': Rescue and recovery

'urn:service:warning:fire': Fire suppression and rescue

'urn:service:warning:health': Medical and public health

'urn:service:warning:env': Pollution and other environmental

'urn:service:warning:transport': Public and private transportation

'urn:service:warning:infra': Utility, telecommunication, other non-transport infrastructure

'urn:service:warning:cbrne': Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear or High-Yield Explosive threat or attack

[6.2.](#) Initial IANA Registration

The following table contains the initial IANA registration for early warning services.

Service	Reference	Description
warning	RFC TBD	Early Warning Services
warning.geo	RFC TBD	Geophysical (inc. landslide)
warning.met	RFC TBD	Meteorological (inc. flood)
warning.safety	RFC TBD	General emergency and public safety
warning.security	RFC TBD	Law enforcement, military, homeland and local/private security
warning.rescue	RFC TBD	Rescue and recovery
warning.fire	RFC TBD	Fire suppression and rescue
warning.health	RFC TBD	Medical and public health
warning.env	RFC TBD	Pollution and other environmental
warning.transport	RFC TBD	Public and private transportation
warning.infra	RFC TBD	Utility, telecommunication, other non-transport infrastructure
warning.cbrne	RFC TBD	Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear or High-Yield Explosive threat or attack

[7.](#) Acknowledgments

We would also like to thank the participants of the Early Warning Adhoc meeting at IETF#69.

[8.](#) References

[8.1.](#) Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", March 1997.

- [cap] Jones, E. and A. Botterell, "Common Alerting Protocol v. 1.1", October 2005.
- [RFC5222] Hardie, T., Newton, A., Schulzrinne, H., and H. Tschofenig, "LoST: A Location-to-Service Translation Protocol", [RFC 5222](#), August 2008.
- [I-D.rosen-sipping-cap] Rosen, B., Schulzrinne, H., and H. Tschofenig, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Event Package for the Common Alerting Protocol (CAP)", [draft-rosen-sipping-cap-02](#) (work in progress), July 2008.
- [RFC5031] Schulzrinne, H., "A Uniform Resource Name (URN) for Emergency and Other Well-Known Services", [RFC 5031](#), January 2008.

[8.2](#). Informative References

- [XEP-0127] Saint-Andre, P. and B. Fletcher, "Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) Over XMPP", XSF XEP 0127, December 2004.
- [I-D.forte-ecrit-lost-extensions] Forte, A. and H. Schulzrinne, "Location-to-Service Translation Protocol (LoST) Extensions", [draft-forte-ecrit-lost-extensions-00](#) (work in progress), March 2008.
- [I-D.ietf-ecrit-phonebcap] Rosen, B. and J. Polk, "Best Current Practice for Communications Services in support of Emergency Calling", [draft-ietf-ecrit-phonebcap-05](#) (work in progress), July 2008.

Authors' Addresses

Brian Rosen
NeuStar, Inc.
470 Conrad Dr
Mars, PA 16046
US

Phone:
Email: br@brianrosen.net

Internet-Draft

Early Warning URN and LoST Usage

October 2008

Henning Schulzrinne
Columbia University
Department of Computer Science
450 Computer Science Building
New York, NY 10027
US

Phone: +1 212 939 7004
Email: hgs+ecrit@cs.columbia.edu
URI: <http://www.cs.columbia.edu>

Hannes Tschofenig
Nokia Siemens Networks
Linnoitustie 6
Espoo 02600
Finland

Phone: +358 (50) 4871445
Email: Hannes.Tschofenig@gmx.net
URI: <http://www.tschofenig.priv.at>

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this

specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.