ecrit
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 12, 2013

B. Rosen
NeuStar

February 8, 2013

Resource Priority Header (RPH) Registry Management Policy to IETF Review

draft-rosen-rph-reg-policy-00

Abstract

RFC4412 defines "Resource-Priority Namespaces" and "Resource-Priority Priority-values" registries. The management policy of these registries is "Standards Action". This document changes the management policy of these registries to "IETF Review".

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 12, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Security Considerations 3
2. Introduction 3
3. IANA Considerations 3
4. Normative References 3
Author's Address 3

1. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce any the security considerations beyond those in [RFC4412]

2. Introduction

[RFC4412] defines "Resource-Priority Namespaces" and "Resource-Priority Priority-values" registries. The management policy of these registries is "Standards Action" as defined in [RFC5226]. Experience has suggested that a document that only defines a new namespace with its priorities, and does not create new protocol semantics, should not be a standards-track document. This document updates [RFC4412] to change the management policy of the registries to "IETF Review".

3. IANA Considerations

Change the management policy of management policy of the "Resource-Priority Namespaces" and "Resource-Priority Priority-values" registries to "IETF Review" as defined in [RFC5226].

4. Normative References

[RFC4412] Schulzrinne, H. and J. Polk, "Communications Resource Priority for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 4412, February 2006.

[RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 5226, May 2008.

Author's Address

Brian Rosen
NeuStar
470 Conrad Dr.
Mars, PA 16046
US

Phone: +1 724 382 1051
Email: br@brianrosen.net