

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: July 7, 2008

P. Saint-Andre
XMPP Standards Foundation
A. Hourii
IBM
J. Hildebrand
Jabber, Inc.
January 4, 2008

Interworking between the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and the
Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP): Instant Messaging
draft-saintandre-sip-xmpp-im-00

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 7, 2008.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

Abstract

This document defines a bi-directional protocol mapping for the exchange of single instant messages between the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and the Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP).

Internet-Draft

SIP-XMPP Interworking: IM

January 2008

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#) [3](#)
- [2. Instant Messages](#) [3](#)
 - [2.1. Overview](#) [3](#)
 - [2.2. XMPP to SIP](#) [4](#)
 - [2.3. SIP to XMPP](#) [6](#)
- [3. Content Types](#) [8](#)
- [4. Security Considerations](#) [8](#)
- [5. References](#) [9](#)
 - [5.1. Normative References](#) [9](#)
 - [5.2. Informative References](#) [10](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#) [10](#)
- [Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements](#) [12](#)

1. Introduction

In order to help ensure interworking between instant messaging systems that conform to the requirements of [RFC 2779](#) [[IMP-REQS](#)], it is important to clearly define protocol mappings between such systems. Within the IETF, work has proceeded on two instant messaging technologies:

- o Various extensions to the Session Initiation Protocol ([\[SIP\]](#)) for instant messaging, as developed within the SIP for Instant Messaging and Presence Leveraging Extensions (SIMPLE) Working Group; the relevant specification for instant messaging is [\[SIP-IM\]](#)
- o The Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP), which consists of a formalization of the core XML streaming protocols developed originally by the Jabber open-source community; the relevant specifications are [\[XMPP\]](#) for the XML streaming layer and [\[XMPP-IM\]](#) for basic presence and instant messaging extensions

One approach to helping ensure interworking between these protocols is to map each protocol to the abstract semantics described in [\[CPIM\]](#); that is the approach taken by [\[SIMPLE-CPIM\]](#) and [\[XMPP-CPIM\]](#). The approach taken in this document is to directly map semantics from one protocol to another (i.e., from SIP/SIMPLE to XMPP and vice-versa).

The architectural assumptions underlying such direct mappings are provided in [\[SIP-XMPP\]](#), including mapping of addresses and error conditions. The mappings specified in this document cover basic instant messaging functionality, i.e., the exchange of a single instant message between a SIP user and an XMPP user in either direction. Mapping of more advanced functionality is out of scope for this document, but other documents in this "series" cover such topics.

Note: The capitalized key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED",

"SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[TERMS](#)].

[2.](#) Instant Messages

[2.1.](#) Overview

Both XMPP and IM-aware SIP systems enable entities (often but not necessarily human users) to send "instant messages" to other entities. The term "instant message" usually refers to messages sent

between two entities for delivery in close to real time (rather than messages that are stored and forwarded to the intended recipient upon request). Generally there are three kinds of instant message:

- o Single messages, which are sent from the sender to the recipient outside the context of any one-to-one chat session or multi-user text conference.
- o Chat messages, which are sent from the sender to the recipient in the context of a "messaging session" between the two entities.
- o Groupchat messages, which are sent from a sender to multiple recipients in the context of a text conference.

This document covers single messages only, since they form the "lowest common denominator" for instant messaging on the Internet. It is likely that future documents will address one-to-one chat sessions and multi-user chat.

Instant messaging using XMPP message stanzas of type "normal" is specified in [[XMPP-IM](#)]. Instant messaging using SIP requests of type MESSAGE (often called "page-mode" messaging) is specified in [[SIP-IM](#)].

As described in [[XMPP-IM](#)], a single instant message is an XML <message/> stanza of type "normal" sent over an XML stream (since "normal" is the default for the 'type' attribute of the <message/> stanza, the attribute is often omitted). In this document we will assume that such a message is sent from an XMPP client to an XMPP server over an XML stream negotiated between the client and the server, and that the client is controlled by a human user (this is a

simplifying assumption introduced for explanatory purposes only; the XMPP sender could be a bot-controlled client, a component such as a workflow application, a server, etc.). Continuing the tradition of Shakespeare examples in XMPP documentation, we will say that the XMPP user has an XMPP address of <juliet@example.com>.

As described in [[SIP-IM](#)], a single instant message is a SIP MESSAGE request sent from a SIP user agent to an intended recipient who is most generally referenced by an Instant Message URI of the form <im:user@domain> but who may be referenced by a SIP or SIPS URI of the form <sip:user@domain> or <sips:user@domain> Here again we introduce the simplifying assumption that the user agent is controlled by a human user, whom we shall dub <romeo@example.net>.

[2.2.](#) XMPP to SIP

When Juliet wants to send an instant message to Romeo, she interacts with her XMPP client, which generates an XMPP <message/> stanza. The syntax of the <message/> stanza, including required and optional

elements and attributes, is defined in [[XMPP-IM](#)]. The following is an example of such a stanza:

Example: XMPP user sends message:

```
| <message from='juliet@example.com/balcony'  
|           to='romeo@example.net'>  
|   <body>Art thou not Romeo, and a Montague?</body>  
| </message>
```

Upon receiving such a stanza, the XMPP server to which Juliet has connected either delivers it to a local recipient (if the hostname in the 'to' attribute matches one of the hostnames serviced by the XMPP server) or attempts to route it to the foreign domain that services the hostname in the 'to' attribute. Naturally, in this document we assume that the hostname in the 'to' attribute is an IM-aware SIP service hosted by a separate server. As specified in [[XMPP-IM](#)], the XMPP server needs to determine the identity of the foreign domain, which it does by performing one or more [[SRV](#)] lookups. For message stanzas, the order of lookups recommended by [[XMPP-IM](#)] is to first try the "_xmpp-server" service as specified in [[XMPP](#)] and to then try the "_im" service as specified in [[IMP-SRV](#)]. Here we assume that the

first lookup will fail but that the second lookup will succeed and return a resolution "_im._simple.example.net.", since we have already assumed that the example.net hostname is running a SIP instant messaging service. (Note: The XMPP server may have previously determined that the foreign domain is a SIMPLE server, in which case it would not need to perform the SRV lookups; the caching of such information is a matter of implementation and local service policy, and is therefore out of scope for this document.)

Once the XMPP server has determined that the foreign domain is serviced by a SIMPLE server, it must determine how to proceed. We here assume that the XMPP server contains or has available to it an XMPP-SIMPLE gateway. The XMPP server would then deliver the message stanza to the XMPP-SIMPLE gateway.

The XMPP-SIMPLE gateway is then responsible for translating the XMPP message stanza into a SIP MESSAGE request from the XMPP user to the SIP user:

Example: XMPP user sends message (SIP transformation):

```
| MESSAGE sip:romeo@example.net SIP/2.0
| Via: SIP/2.0/TCP x2s.example.com;branch=z9hG4bK776sgdkse
| Max-Forwards: 70
| From: sip:juliet@example.com;tag=49583
| To: sip:romeo@example.net
| Call-ID: Hr0zny9l3@example.com
| CSeq: 1 MESSAGE
| Content-Type: text/plain
| Content-Length: 35
|
| Art thou not Romeo, and a Montague?
```

The mapping of XMPP syntax elements to SIP syntax elements SHOULD be

as shown in the following table. (Mappings for elements not mentioned are undefined.)

Table 4: Message syntax mapping from XMPP to SIP

XMPP Element or Attribute	SIP Header or Contents
<body/>	body of MESSAGE
<subject/>	Subject
<thread/>	Call-ID
from	From
id	(no mapping)
to	To
type	(no mapping)
xml:lang	Content-Language

[2.3.](#) SIP to XMPP

When Romeo wants to send an instant message to Juliet, he interacts with his SIP user agent, which generates a SIP MESSAGE request. The syntax of the MESSAGE request is defined in [[SIP-IM](#)]. The following is an example of such a request:

Example: SIP user sends message:

```
| MESSAGE sip:juliet@example.com SIP/2.0
| Via: SIP/2.0/TCP s2x.example.net;branch=z9hG4bKeskdg677
| Max-Forwards: 70
| From: sip:romeo@example.net;tag=38594
| To: sip:juliet@example.com
| Call-ID: M4spr4vdu@example.net
```

```
| CSeq: 1 MESSAGE
| Content-Type: text/plain
| Content-Length: 44
|
| Neither, fair saint, if either thee dislike.
```

Section 5 of [[SIP-IM](#)] stipulates that a SIP User Agent presented with an im: URI should resolve it to a sip: or sips: URI. Therefore we assume that the To header of a request received by a SIMPLE-XMPP gateway will contain a sip: or sips: URI. The gateway SHOULD resolve that address to an im: URI for SIP MESSAGE requests, then follow the rules in [[IMP-SRV](#)] regarding the "_im" SRV service for the target domain contained in the To header. If SRV address resolution fails for the "_im" service, the gateway MAY attempt a lookup for the "_xmpp-server" service as specified in [[XMPP](#)] or MAY return an error to the sender (the SIP "502 Bad Gateway" error seems most appropriate; see [[SIP-XMPP](#)] for details). If SRV address resolution succeeds, the gateway is responsible for translating the request into an XMPP message stanza from the SIP user to the XMPP user and returning a SIP "200 OK" message to the sender:

Example: SIP user sends message (XMPP transformation):

```
| <message from='romeo@example.net'
|   to='juliet@example.com'>
|   <body>Neither, fair saint, if either thee dislike.</body>
| </message>
```

The mapping of SIP syntax elements to XMPP syntax elements SHOULD be as shown in the following table. (Mappings for elements not mentioned in the foregoing table are undefined.)

SIP Header or Contents	XMPP Element or Attribute
Call-ID	<thread/>
Content-Language	xml:lang
CSeq	(no mapping)
From	from
Subject	<subject/>
To	to
body of MESSAGE	<body/>

Note: When transforming SIP page-mode messages, a SIMPLE-XMPP gateway SHOULD specify no XMPP 'type' attribute or a 'type' attribute whose value is "normal" (alternatively, the value of the 'type' attribute MAY be "chat", although it SHOULD NOT be "headline" and MUST NOT be "groupchat").

Note: See the Content Types ([Section 3](#)) of this document regarding handling of SIP message bodies that contain content types other than plain text.

3. Content Types

SIP requests of type MESSAGE may contain essentially any content type. The recommended procedures for SIMPLE-to-XMPP gateways to use in handling these content types are as follows.

A SIMPLE-to-XMPP gateway MUST process SIP messages that contain message bodies of type "text/plain" and MUST encapsulate such message bodies as the XML character data of the XMPP <body/> element.

A SIMPLE-to-XMPP gateway SHOULD process SIP messages that contain message bodies of type "text/html"; if so, a gateway MUST transform the "text/html" content into XHTML content that conforms to the XHTML 1.0 Integration Set specified in [[XEP-0071](#)].

A SIMPLE-to-XMPP gateway MAY process SIP messages that contain message bodies of types other than "text/plain" and "text/html" but handling of such content types is a matter of implementation.

4. Security Considerations

Detailed security considerations for instant messaging protocols are

given in [[IMP-REQS](#)], for SIP-based instant messaging in [[SIP-IM](#)] (see also [[SIP](#)]), and for XMPP-based instant messaging in [[XMPP-IM](#)] (see also [[XMPP](#)]).

This document specifies methods for exchanging instant messages information through a gateway that translates between SIP and XMPP. Such a gateway MUST be compliant with the minimum security requirements of the instant messaging protocols for which it translates (i.e., SIP and XMPP). The addition of gateways to the security model of instant messaging specified in [[IMP-REQS](#)] introduces some new risks. In particular, end-to-end security properties (especially confidentiality and integrity) between instant messaging user agents that interface through a SIMPLE-XMPP gateway can be provided only if common formats are supported. Specification of those common formats is out of scope for this document, although it is recommended to use [[MSGFMT](#)] for instant messages.

[[IMP-REQS](#)] requires that conformant technologies shall include methods for blocking communications from unwanted addresses. Such blocking is the responsibility of conformant technology (e.g., XMPP or SIP) and is out of scope for this memo.

[5.](#) References

[5.1.](#) Normative References

- [[IMP-SRV](#)] Peterson, J., "Address Resolution for Instant Messaging and Presence", [RFC 3861](#), August 2004.
- [[SIP](#)] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", [RFC 3261](#), June 2002.
- [[SIP-IM](#)] Campbell, B., Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Huitema, C., and D. Gurle, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Extension for Instant Messaging", [RFC 3428](#), December 2002.
- [[SIP-XMPP](#)] Saint-Andre, P., Houri, A., and J. Hildebrand, "Interworking between the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and the Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP): Core", [draft-saintandre-sip-xmpp-core-00](#) (work in progress), January 2008.

[SRV] Gulbrandsen, A., Vixie, P., and L. Esibov, "A DNS RR for specifying the location of services (DNS SRV)", [RFC 2782](#),

Internet-Draft

SIP-XMPP Interworking: IM

January 2008

February 2000.

[TERMS] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

[XMPP] Saint-Andre, P., "Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP): Core", [RFC 3920](#), October 2004.

[XMPP-IM] Saint-Andre, P., "Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP): Instant Messaging and Presence", [RFC 3921](#), October 2004.

[5.2.](#) Informative References

[CPIM] Peterson, J., "Common Profile for Instant Messaging (CPIM)", [RFC 3860](#), August 2004.

[IMP-REQS] Day, M., Aggarwal, S., and J. Vincent, "Instant Messaging / Presence Protocol Requirements", [RFC 2779](#), February 2000.

[MSGFMT] Klyne, G. and D. Atkins, "Common Presence and Instant Messaging (CPIM): Message Format", [RFC 3862](#), August 2004.

[SIMPLE-CPIM] Rosenberg, J. and B. Campbell, "CPIM Mapping of SIMPLE Presence and Instant Messaging", [draft-ietf-simple-cpim-mapping-01](#) (work in progress), June 2002.

[XEP-0071] Saint-Andre, P., "XHTML-IM", XSF XEP 0071, January 2006.

[XMPP-CPIM] Saint-Andre, P., "Mapping the Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP) to Common Presence and Instant Messaging (CPIM)", [RFC 3922](#), October 2004.

Authors' Addresses

Peter Saint-Andre
XMPP Standards Foundation
P.O. Box 1641
Denver, CO 80201
USA

Email: stpeter@jabber.org

Avshalom Houri
IBM
Building 18/D, Kiryat Weizmann Science Park
Rehovot 76123
Israel

Email: avshalom@il.ibm.com

Joe Hildebrand
Jabber, Inc.
1899 Wynkoop Street, Suite 600
Denver, CO 80202
USA

Email: jhildebrand@jabber.com

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information

on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).