S. Santesson (AAA-sec)

INTERNET-DRAFT

Intended Status: Proposed Standard

Expires September 2009 March 2009

TLS Cached Certificates Extension <draft-santesson-tls-certcache-00.txt>

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{BCP 78}$ and $\underline{BCP 79}$.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

Abstract

This document defines a Transport Layer Security (TLS) extension for cached certificates. This extension allows the TLS client to inform a server of a previously cached server certificate path, allowing the server to omit sending an identified certificate chain to the client during the TLS handshake protocol exchange.

1. Introduction

A server certificate sent to the client during a TLS handshake can be of considerable size. This is the case in particular if the server certificate is bundled with a complete certificate path, including all intermediary certificates up to the trust anchor public key.

Significant benefits can be achieved in low bandwidth and high

Santesson [Page 1]

latency networks, in particular if the communication channel also has a relatively high rate of transmission errors, if a known and previously cached server certificate path can be omitted from the TLS handshake.

This specification defines the CertCache TLS extension, which may be used by a client to and a server to omit sending known certificate data in the Server Certificate message.

1.1 Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [N1].

2 Cached Certs Extension

A new extension type (cached_certs(TBD)) is defined and used in both the client hello and server hello messages. The extension type is specified as follows.

```
enum {
     cached_certs(TBD), (65535)
} ExtensionType;
```

The "extension_data" field of this extension SHALL contain "CachedCerts" containing a hash of cached server certificates:

```
struct {
          opaque certificate_hash; <1..2^8-1>
} CachedCerts;
```

The certificate_hash value MUST include at least one hash value calculated over an expected certificate_list element of a server side Certificate message.

The hash algorithm used to generate hash included in certificate_hash MUST be SHA-1.

4 Message flow

In order to allow negotiation to omit certificate data in the Server Certificate message, the client MUST include an extension of type "cached_certs" in the (extended) client hello, which SHALL contain at

Santesson [Page 2]

least one certificate hash as specified in section 2.

Servers that receive an extended client hello containing a "cached_certs" extension, MAY indicate that they are willing to accept omitting certificate data in the Server Certificate message by including an extension of type "cached_certs" in the (extended) server hello, which SHALL contain a hash received in the cached_certs extension from the client, which is a complete hash calculated over all omitted certificates.

After negotiation of the use of cached certificates has been successfully completed (by exchanging hello messages including "cached_certs" extensions), the server MAY replace the certificate_list element in its Certificate message with the hash included in the cached_certs extension of the server hello message.

All operations of the handshake protocol will be processed as if the hash value carried in the certificate_list element is the actual bits of the server certificate path, with the only exception that all public key operations will be done using the real certificates and associated keys identified by the hash value. For example hash and length values in the Finished message will be calculated over the modified Server Certificate message (with omitted certificate data) that was sent to the client.

Santesson [Page 3]

5 Security Considerations

The use of hash algorithm in this specification requires reasonable random properties in order to provide unique identifiers. No security threat requires the hash algorithm to have strong collision resistance. Consequently, there is no reason to provide hash agility at the cost of protocol complexity.

6 IANA Considerations

TBD

7 Normative References

[N1] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.

TBD

Santesson [Page 4]

Authors' Addresses

Stefan Santesson AAA-sec AB Bjornstorp 744 247 98 Genarp Sweden

EMail: stefan@aaa-sec.com

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents in effect on the date of publication of this document (http://trustee.ietf.org/licenseinfo). Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

All IETF Documents and the information contained therein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION THEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Expires September 2009

Santesson [Page 5]