

Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 22 October 2022

A. Sardon
Swisscom
T. Hardjono
MIT
M. McBride
Futurewei
20 April 2022

Blockchain Gateways: Use-Cases
draft-sardon-blockchain-gateways-usecases-03

Abstract

In the past five years there has been a growing interest in using blockchains and DLT systems as a means to create a new mechanism to issue, distribute and manage virtual assets. However, as DLT systems consisting of peer-to-peer (P2P) network of nodes increase in number, there is an increasing need to interconnect these networks to permit virtual assets to flow into and out of them. This document captures a number of use-cases driving the need for interoperability between DLT systems.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 22 October 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document.

Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Use-Case: CBDC interoperability	2
3.	Use-Case: Application and Data Portability	3
4.	Use-Case: Interconnection of Supply-Chains	3
4.1.	Pharmaceuticals	4
4.2.	Farm to store	4
4.3.	Energy	4
5.	Use-Case: Interconnection of Cloud Systems	5
6.	References	5
6.1.	Normative References	5
6.2.	Informative References	5
	Authors' Addresses	6

[1.](#) Introduction

In the past five years there has been a growing interest in using blockchains and DLT systems as a means to create a new mechanism to issue, distribute and manage virtual assets.

However, as DLT systems consisting of peer-to-peer (P2P) network of nodes increase in number, there is an increasing need to interconnect these networks to permit virtual assets to flow into and out of them.

This document captures a number of use-cases driving the need for interoperability between DLT systems.

[2.](#) Use-Case: CBDC interoperability

A Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) is a digital version of the sovereign currency within a nation. The CBDC is distinct from other types of digital currencies because (a) its sole issuer is a central bank, and (b) like paper sovereign currencies the issuance of a CBDC represents a claim that the holder has upon the central bank.

Many central banks are considering the use of DLT systems for CBDCs. For example, the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) and the Bank of Canada (BOC) have been experimenting with private blockchains and have been exploring methods used to settle CBDCs (see project Ubin and Jasper) [MAS19]. Since different central banks might be using different private DLT systems, interoperability of these systems will be crucial for facilitating cross-border payments.

The MAS and BOC have carried out a joint pilot project in 2019 to evaluate how transactions between a Quorum-based and Corda-based systems can be performed [MAS19]. While their HTLC based proof-of-concept with direct node-to-node connectivity was conducted successfully, they point out that such a network model may have poor resiliency and suggest testing alternative models, in particular using gateway nodes that would act as service nodes for the network participants.

[3.](#) Use-Case: Application and Data Portability

Portability has been described as a desirable property for applications on private blockchains and DLT systems [SKS18]. For example, applications with poor portability may suffer from vendor lock-in effects, potentially preventing users to benefit from better middleware platforms.

Moreover, regulations like the GDPR even explicitly require data portability. For private blockchains, where the network members may be subject to such regulations, interoperability shall be encouraged [STOA19]. The use case would be to migrate either the application (e.g. a token smart contract) and/or the associated state (e.g. token balances) from one private blockchain to another.

[4.](#) Use-Case: Interconnection of Supply-Chains

Blockchains and DLT systems are currently being deployed for augmenting the supply-chains of good and services [Scot19]. The

notion of a shared ledger has significant appeal among the participants of a supply-chain network (e.g. suppliers, vendors, buyers, etc.) because: (i) it permits all participants with equal visibility into the state of the supply/demand of goods; (ii) permitting suppliers (e.g. manufacturers) to increase their efficiency in maintaining the supply of goods in warehouses, leading to the freeing-up of capital, and (iii) allowing participants to improve the tracking of deliveries and payments settlements.

A key challenge for of a supply-chain network based on DLT systems is its ability to interoperate with another supply-chain network. Interoperability across blockchains and DLT systems allows a

participant (e.g. manufacturer, buyer) to participate at a single end-point in the network, while giving them access to all other blockchains that are connected. Without interoperability, the participant would need to join each and every supply-chain DLT, something that is cumbersome, costly and does not scale.

[4.1.](#) Pharmaceuticals

The prescription, and vaccination, supply chain involves many partners and includes recording the change of ownership of these medicinal assets. This supply chain also involves tracking data such as the shipping container temperature since some medicines (vaccinations) require specific, and sometimes extreme, low temperatures. As the medicines are in route from manufacturer to end user, the change in ownership, along with the container temperature, may be stored in a DLT. It will then be vital to provide interoperability between the DLT, or non-DLT, systems along this supply chain in order to provide consistency, transferrability and accountability. If it's determined, by looking at DLT data, that the required temperature was not maintained at a certain point of time then the pharmaceutical asset can be identified, removed and insurance can be claimed.

[4.2.](#) Farm to store

DLT interoperability will provide much needed food traceability along the farm to store supply chain. The change of asset ownership is tracked as the shipping partners send the transportation data to a DLT or general distributed database. The data tracked includes

temperature, humidity, time, capacity and any other variables used to help with any insurance claims for spoiled produce. Tracking this data, across DLTs, will also help prevent counterfeit goods from being shipped.

4.3. Energy

Interoperability between energy producers will help secure energy trading and delivery. The energy industry must be able to function with increasingly complex transactions between big and small producers, which now includes home, and corporate, consumers becoming energy producers. Increased volumes of decentralized energy are being produced. Home owners, companies and traditional energy utilities will want to have accurate and secure accounting of their energy assets by inputting the data onto a DLT. The new energy partnering will become increasingly complex and it will be imperative for the energy assets to be properly tracked and traded along an interoperable ecosystem.

Sardon, et al.

Expires 22 October 2022

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft

Blockchain Gateways: Use-Cases

April 2022

5. Use-Case: Interconnection of Cloud Systems

There will be an increasing need for cloud interoperability particularly with the need to transfer payment from one cloud platform to another. If a blockchain exists in AWS, for instance, and an asset needs to be transferred to a blockchain located in an Azure environment, an interoperability gateway will need to exist. This is true also for the growing metaverse where decentralized asset transfer solutions using blockchain exist. As various metaverse cloud ecosystems continue to be created there will need to be a way to transfer currency (and other assets) from one ecosystem to another. If you are playing a game in Meta's metaverse and need to pay for an asset to be transferred to another (or same) game in Microsoft's metaverse, there will need to be a solution for blockchain interoperability.

6. References

6.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#),

DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

6.2. Informative References

- [MAS19] MAS, "Jasper-Ubin Design Paper, Enabling Cross-Border High Value Transfer Using Distributed Ledger Technologies, Monetary Authority of Singapore.", May 2019, <<https://www.mas.gov.sg/-/media/MAS/ProjectUbin/Jasper-Ubin-Design-Paper.pdf>>.
- [Scot19] Scott, T., "TradeLens: How IBM and Maersk Are Sharing Blockchain to Build a Global Trade Platform. IBM Report", 27 November 2018, <<https://www.ibm.com/blogs/think/2018/11/tradelens-how-ibm-and-maersk-are-sharing-blockchain-to-build-a-global-trade-platform/>>.
- [SKS18] Shudo, K., Kanda, R., and R. Saito, "Towards Application Portability on Blockchains, Proc. IEEE HotICN 2018", August 2018, <<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1801.01421.pdf>>.

Sardon, et al.

Expires 22 October 2022

[Page 5]

Internet-Draft

Blockchain Gateways: Use-Cases

April 2022

- [STOA19] STOA, "EU STOA, Blockchain and the GDPR: Can distributed ledgers be squared with European data protection law?, EU European Parliamentary Research Service, STOA, PE 634.445.", July 2019, <[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2019/634445/EPRS_STU\(2019\)634445_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2019/634445/EPRS_STU(2019)634445_EN.pdf)>.

Authors' Addresses

Aetienne Sardon
Swisscom
Email: Aetienne.Sardon@swisscom.com

Thomas Hardjono

MIT
Email: hardjono@mit.edu

Mike McBride
Futurewei
Email: mmcbride@futurewei.com