Internet Engineering Task Force

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Experimental Expires: November 30, 2017

T. Sattler united-domains October 27, 2017

Registry Maintenance Notifications through
Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) poll response with JSON payload
draft-sattler-epp-poll-maintenance-response-00

Abstract

This document describes the JSON payload of an Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) poll response to provide Domain Name Registry Maintenance Notifications to Domain Name Registrars.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Sattler

Expires November 30, 2017

[Page 1]

Tah	16	٥f	Cont	ents

1.	Introduction							X
1.	.1. Terminology and Definitions							X
2.	JSON Schema							X
3.	Common Data Types							X
4.	EPP poll response							X
5.	IANA Considerations							X
6.	Security Considerations							X
7.	References							X
7.	.1. Normative References							X
Appe	endix A. Motivations for Using JSON							X
Auth	nors' Addresses							×

1. Introduction

This document describes the JSON [RFC7159] payload of an Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) [RFC5730] poll response to provide Domain Name Registry Maintenance Notifications to Domain Name Registrars.

1.1. Terminology and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] when specified in their uppercase forms.

The following list describes terminology and definitions used throughout this document:

EPP: Extensible Provisioning Protocol

DNRY: Domain Name Registry

DNRR: Domain Name Registrar

JSON: JavaScript Object Notation

NTFY: Domain Name Registry Maintenance Notification

Sattler Expires November 30, 2017 [Page 2]

2. JSON payload

This is the JSON schema.

3. Common Data Types

JSON [RFC7159] defines the data types of a number, character string, boolean, array, object, and null. This section describes the semantics and/or syntax reference for common, JSON character strings used in this document.

notifications: contains a single NTFY

id: unique id for each NTFY, SHOULD NOT be changed if

it gets postponed

systems: contains name, host and impact

name: name of affected system

host: affected maintained system (host or ip)

impact: impact level per affected sytem; values are either

'partial' or 'blackout'

environment: environment of affected maintained system

start: start of maintenance according ISO 8601 [RFC3339]

YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZD

end: end of maintenance according ISO 8601 [RFC3339]

YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZD

reason: free text why it is necessary, MAY be empty

remark: URI to detailed maintenance description, MAY be

empty

tlds: affected top-level domains punycode encoded

according [RFC3492]

intervention: contains connection and implementation

connection: true or false - indicates if DNRR needs to do

something that is connection related

implementation: true or false - indicates if DNRR needs to do

something that is implementation related

4. EPP poll response

According to EPP $[{\tt RFC5730}]$, the EPP poll response allows mixed content and also be returned without object information.

Sattler

Expires November 30, 2017

[Page 3]

Example <poll> response with mixed message content and without object-specific information:

```
S:<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no"?>
S:<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
S:
   <response>
S:
      <result code="1301">
S:
        <msg>Command completed successfully; ack to dequeue</msg>
S:
      </result>
      <msqQ count="4" id="12346">
S:
S:
        <qDate>2017-02-08T22:10:00.0Z</qDate>
S:
        <msg lang="en">
S:
         {"maintenance":[
S:
           {"notification":{
             "system":["epp.registry.example"],
S:
             "environment": "production",
S:
             "start": "2017-04-30T06:00:00+00:00",
S:
S:
             "reason": "planned maintenance",
             "impact":["blackout"],
S:
             "remark":
S:
S:
               "https://portal.registry.example/maintenance-desc",
             "end": "2017-04-30T07:00:00+00:00",
S:
S:
             "tlds":["example", "test"],
             "intervention":
S:
                ["connection":false, "implementation":false]
S:
S:
           }},
           {"notification":{
S:
S:
             "system":["epp.registry.example",
S:
               "whois.registry.example",
S:
               "https://portal.registry.example"],
             "environment": "production",
S:
S:
             "start": "2017-06-15T04:30:00+00:00",
             "reason": "planned maintenance",
S:
             "impact":["partial", "partial", "blackout"],
S:
S:
             "remark":
                "https://portal.registry.example/maintenance-desc",
S:
S:
             "end": "2017-06-15T05:30:00+00:00",
             "tlds":["example"],
S:
             "intervention":
S:
                ["connection":true, "implementation":false]
S:
S:
           }}
S:
         ]}
S:
        </msg>
S:
      </msgQ>
S:
      <trID>
        <clTRID>ABC-12346</clTRID>
S:
S:
        <svTRID>54321-XYZ</svTRID>
S:
      </trib>
S: </response>
```

S:</epp>

Sattler Expires November 30, 2017 [Page 4]

[Page 5]

5. IANA Considerations

6. Security Considerations

This specification models information serialized in JSON format. As JSON is a subset of JavaScript, implementations are advised to follow the security considerations outlined in <u>Section 6 of [RFC7159]</u> to prevent code injection.

Implementers should be aware of the security considerations specified in [RFC5730].

7. References

7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
- [RFC3339] Klyne, G., Ed. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps", RFC 3339, July 2002, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3339>.
- [RFC3492] Costello A., "Punycode: A Bootstring encoding of Unicode
 for Internationalized Domain Names in Applications (IDNA)
 ", RFC 3492, March 2003,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3492.
- [RFC5730] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP)", STD 69, RFC 5730, August 2009, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5730.
- [RFC7159] Bray, T., "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format", RFC 7159, March 2014, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7159>.

Sattler Expires November 30, 2017

Appendix A. Motivations for Using JSON

This section addresses a common question regarding the use of JSON over other data formats, most notably XML.

It is often pointed out that DNRY and DNRR support the EPP [RFC5730] standard, which is an XML serialised protocol. The logic is that since EPP is a common protocol in the industry, it follows that XML would be a more natural choice.

While that being true, the intent to use JSON is to use the already approved and reliable EPP command <poll> and its capabilities to transport mixed content without object information instead of creating a new EPP extension.

Author's Address

Tobias Sattler united-domains AG Gautinger Str. 10 82319 Starnberg

Email: sattler@united-domains.de URI: https://www.united-domains.de