Registry Domain Fee Report
draft-sattler-registry-domain-fee-report-02

Abstract

   This document describes the content of a domain name fee report based
   on the registry report structure and delivered by the registry
   reporting repository.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

   Modern top-level domain registries provide a number of detailed
   reports and documents that their registrars require on a daily,
   weekly and monthly basis. These most commonly include transaction
   reports, as well as lists containing currently unavailable domains
   and current premium domains. These reports are critical for
   registrars' businesses and play an important role in accounting and
   operations processes as well as in sales and marketing activities.
   In the current set-up registrars must download these reports from
   each registry's intranet in a different manner according to each
   registry's own document management set up.

   This document describes the content of a domain fee report based on
   the [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure] and delivered
   by the [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-reporting-repo].

2. Terminology and Definitions

   The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
   "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
   document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] when
   specified in their uppercase forms.

2.1. Internationalized Domain Names

MUST be as defined in
[I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure].

2.2. Dates and Times

   MUST be as defined in
   [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure].

2.3. Character Encoding

   MUST be as defined in
   [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure].

2.4. Currency

   MUST be as defined in
   [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure].

3. Report Headings

   The first row MUST be the column headings in the following order:

   TLD   It MUST contain the top-level domain name and
                    formatted according to section 2.1 of this
                    document.

   DOMAIN   It MUST contain the domain name formatted according
                    to section 2.1 of this document.

   STATUS   It MUST contain the status of the domain name. It
                    MUST either be 'REGISTRY REGISTERED', 'REGISTERED',
                    'AVAILABLE', 'REGISTRY RESERVED' or 'POLICY
                    RESERVED'.

   DESCRIPTION   It MUST contain the descriptive name of the premium
                    tier to which the domain is allocated.

   CURRENCY   It MUST contain the currency of the following fees
                    according to section 2.4 of this document.

   CREATE   It MUST contain the fee for a domain create.

   RENEW   It MUST contain the fee for a domain renew.

   TRANSFER   It MUST contain the fee for a domain transfer.

   RESTORE   It MUST contain the fee for a domain restore.

   EFFECTIVE DATE   It MUST contain the date and time the fee listed
                    will go into effect and formatted according to
                    section 2.2 of this document.

[4](#). Fees

Fees MUST either be whole numbers or rounded to two decimal places.
A period (.) is used as the dividing point.

[5](#). Examples

[5.1](#). Single TLD File Example

This is an example of a domain fee report for a single top-level
domain .example with non-standard fees.

Filename: example_premium-domains_2018-11-01.csv.gz

```
TLD,DOMAIN,STATUS,DESCRIPTION,CURRENCY,CREATE,RENEW,TRANSFER,RESTORE,
EFFECTIVE DATE
example,test1.example,REGISTRY RESERVED,A,USD,200,200,200,40,
2018-11-08T00:00:00Z
example,test2.example,POLICY RESERVED,DD,USD,75.50,75.50,75.50,40,
2018-11-08T00:00:00Z
example,test3.example,AVAILABLE,B,USD,10000,10000,10000,40,
2018-12-01T00:00:00Z
example,xn--4gqvdy3r.example,AVAILABLE,A,USD,50,50,50,40,
2018-12-01T06:00:00Z
```

[5.2](#). Multiple TLDs File Example

This is an example of a domain fee report for multiple top-level
domains from example registry with non-standard fees.

Filename: example-registry_premium-domains_2018-11.csv.gz

```
TLD,DOMAIN,STATUS,DESCRIPTION,CURRENCY,CREATE,RENEW,TRANSFER,RESTORE,
EFFECTIVE DATE
example1,test1.example1,REGISTRY RESERVED,A,USD,200,200,200,40,
2018-11-08T00:00:00Z
example2,test1.example2,POLICY RESERVED,DD,USD,75.50,75.50,75.50,40,
2018-11-08T00:00:00Z
example3,test2.example3,AVAILABLE,B,USD,10000,10000,10000,40,
2018-12-01T00:00:00Z
xn--zckzah,xn--r8jz45g.xn--zckzah,AVAILABLE,A,USD,50,50,50,40,
2018-12-01T06:00:00Z
```

5.3. Fee Change File Example

   If the fee for a domain name is changing or moving to reserved or
   unreserved in the future, there MUST be two entries for the name.

   One entry for the current fee and status of the name, this entry MUST
   be removed once the change takes place, and one entry for the future
   fee of the name with its effective date.

   Example of a file that contains a future fee change for a single top-
   level domain .example.

   Filename: example_premium-domains_2018-11-01.csv.gz

   TLD,DOMAIN,STATUS,DESCRIPTION,CURRENCY,CREATE,RENEW,TRANSFER,RESTORE,
   EFFECTIVE DATE
   example,test1.example,REGISTRY RESERVED,A,USD,200,200,200,40,
   2018-11-01T00:00:00Z
   example,test1.example,REGISTRY RESERVED,DD,USD,75.50,75.50,75.50,40,
   2018-12-01T00:00:00Z

6. IANA Considerations

   This document has no IANA actions.

7. Security Considerations

   The registry domain fee report described in this document does not
   provide any security services.

8. Implementation Status

   Note to RFC Editor: Please remove this section and the reference to
   [RFC7942] before publication.

   This section records the status of known implementations of the
   protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this
   Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC7942].
   The description of implementations in this section is intended to
   assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to
   RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation
   here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort
   has been spent to verify the information presented here that was
   supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not
   be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their
   features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may
   exist.

According to [RFC7942], "this will allow reviewers and working groups
to assign due consideration to documents that have the benefit of
running code, which may serve as evidence of valuable experimentation
and feedback that have made the implemented protocols more mature. It
is up to the individual working groups to use this information as
they see fit".

Add implementation details once available.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure]
           McPherson, N. and Sattler, T., "Registry Report Strucutre"
           , <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-mcpherson-
           sattler-registry-report-structure/> (work in
           progress), November 2018

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
           Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997,
           <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

9.2. Informative References

[I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-reporting-repo]
           McPherson, N. and Sattler, T., "Registry Reporting
           Repository", <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-
           mcpherson-sattler-registry-reporting-repo/> (work in
           progress), November 2018

[RFC7942] Sheffer, Y. and Farrel, A., "Improving Awareness of
           Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", RFC
           7942, July 2016,
           <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7942>.

Appendix A. Change History

A.1. Change from 00 to 01

   Fixed minor typos. Clarified example file name in section 5.3.

A.2. Change from 01 to 02

   Clarified the Period. Fixed numerous typos.

Appendix B. Acknowledgements

   The author wishes to thank the following persons for their feedback
   and suggestions (sorted alphabetically by company):

   o Neal McPherson, 1&1 IONOS
   o James Galvin, Afilias
   o Roger Carney, GoDaddy

Author's Address

   Tobias Sattler

   Email: tobias.sattler@me.com
   URI: https://tobiassattler.com