Document: <u>draft-sekar-dns-llq-01.txt</u> Internet-Draft Category: Standards Track Expires 10th February 2007 Stuart Cheshire Marc Krochmal Apple Computer, Inc. Kiren Sekar Sharpcast, Inc. 10th August 2006

DNS Long-Lived Queries

<draft-sekar-dns-llq-01.txt>

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>Section 6 of BCP 79</u>. For the purposes of this document, the term "<u>BCP 79</u>" refers exclusively to <u>RFC 3979</u>, "Intellectual Property Rights in IETF Technology", published March 2005.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

Abstract

This document proposes a method of extending unicast DNS to support long-lived queries, thus allowing clients to learn about changes to DNS data without polling the server.

1. Introduction

In dynamic environments, DNS Service Discovery [DNS-SD] benefits significantly from clients being able to learn about changes to DNS information via a mechanism that is both more timely and more efficient than simple polling. Such a mechanism enables "live browses" that learn when a new instance of a service appears, or when an existing service disappears from the network, and allows clients to monitor changes to a service. Multicast DNS [mDNS] supports this natively. When a host on the network publishes or deletes DNS records, these records are multicast to other hosts on the network. These hosts deliver the records to interested clients (applications running on the host). Hosts also send occasional queries to the network in case gratuitous announcements are not received due to packet loss, and to detect records lost due to their publishers crashing or having become disconnected from the network.

There is currently no equivalent in traditional unicast DNS. Queries are "one-shot" -- a name server will answer a query once, returning the results available at that instant in time. Changes could be inferred via polling of the name server. This solution is not scalable, however, as a low polling rate could leave the client with stale information, and a high polling rate would have an adverse impact on the network and server.

Therefore, an extension to DNS is required that enables a client to issue long-lived queries. This extension would allow a DNS server to notify clients about changes to DNS data.

2. Conventions and Terminology Used in this Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels" [RFC 2119].

3. Mechanisms

DNS Long-Lived Queries (DNS-LLQ) is implemented using the standard DNS message format [RFC 1035] in conjunction with an ENDSO OPT pseudo-RR [RFC 2671] with a new OPT and RDATA format proposed here. Encoding the LLQ request in an OPT RR allows for implementation of LLQ with minimal modification to a name server's front-end, and will cause servers that do not implement LLQ to automatically return an appropriate error (NOTIMPL).

Note that this protocol is designed for moderate data set sizes, and moderate change rates. Data sets in response to queries that frequently exceed a single packet, or that experience a rapid change rate, may have undesirable performance implications.

3.1 New Assigned Numbers

EDNS0 Option Code: LLQ 1

LLQ-PORT 5352

Error Codes:

NO-ERROR 0 SERV-FULL 1 2 STATIC FORMAT-ERR 3 NO-SUCH-LLQ 4 BAD-VERS 5 UNKNOWN-FRR 6

LLQ Opcodes:

LLQ-SETUP	1
LLQ-REFRESH	2
LLQ-EVENT	3

3.2 Opt-RR Format

All OPT-RRs used in LLQs are formatted as follows:

Field Name	Field Type	Description
NAME	domain name	empty (root domain)
TYPE	u_int16_t	OPT
CLASS	u_int16_t	0*
TTL	u_int32_t	Θ
RDLEN	u_int16_t	describes RDATA
RDATA	octet stream	(see below)

* The CLASS field indicates, as per [RFC 2671], the sender's UDP payload size. However, clients and servers need not be required to determine their reassembly buffer size, path MTU, etc. to support LLQ. Thus, the sender of an LLQ Request or Response MAY set the CLASS field to 0. The recipient MUST ignore the class field if it is set to 0.

Internet Draft

DNS Long-Lived Queries 10th August 2006

RDATA Format:

Field Name	Field Type	Description
OPTION-CODE	u_int16_t	LLQ
OPTION-LENGTH	u_int16_t	Length of following fields, as
		appropriate
VERSION	u_int16_t	Version of LLQ protocol implemented
LLQ-OPCODE	u_int16_t	Identifies LLQ operation
ERROR-CODE	u_int16_t	Identifies LLQ errors
LLQ-ID	u_int64_t	Identifier for an LLQ
LEASE-LIFE	u_int32_t	Requested or granted life of LLQ, in
		seconds

This data format, consisting of (OPTION-CODE, OPTION-LEN, LLQ-Metadata) tuples, may be repeated an arbitrary number of times in the RDATA section, with the RDLEN field set accordingly.

4. LLQ Address and Port Identification

A client MAY send LLQ setup and control messages to an intermediate DNS cache. If the cache serves as an intermediate LLQ proxy, it will communicate directly with the client, and with the server on behalf of one or more clients.

LLQ requests sent to a DNS Cache MUST be sent to port 53.

DNS caches not implementing LLQ proxying will return a NOTIMPL or FORMERR error to the client in the DNS message header -- the intermediate cache will not forward the request, as [RFC 2671] specifies that OPT-RRs are not to be forwarded. If the client receives a NOTIMPL error from a DNS cache, the client SHOULD contact the server directly.

4.1 Server Address and Port Identification

If a client's DNS cache does not implement LLQ proxying, the client requires a mechanism to determine which server to send LLQ operations to. Additionally, some firewalls block communication directly with a name server on port 53 to avoid spoof responses. However, this direct communication is necessary for LLQs. Thus, servers MAY listen for LLQs on a different port (5352). Clients also therefore need a mechanism to determine which port to send LLQ operations to.

The client determines the server responsible for a given LLQ much as a client determines which server to send a dynamic update to. The client begins by sending a standard DNS query for the name of the LLQ, with type SOA. The server MUST answer with that SOA record in the Answer section, if the record exists. The server SHOULD include an SOA record for that name's zone in the Authority section, if the LLQ name (type SOA) does not exist. For example, a query for _ftp._tcp.apple.com. may return an SOA record named apple.com. in the Authority section if there is no SOA record named _ftp._tcp.apple.com. If, in this case, the server does not include the SOA record in the Authority section, the client strips the leading label from the name and tries again, repeating until an answer is received.

Upon learning the zone (SOA), the client then constructs and sends an SRV query for the name _dns-llq._udp.<zone>,e.g. _dns-llq._udp.apple.com.

A server implementing LLQ MUST answer with an SRV record [RFC 2782] for this name. The SRV RDATA is as follows:

PRIORITY 0 (unused) WEIGHT 0 (unused) 53 or 5352 PORT TARGET name of server providing LLQs for the requested zone

The SRV target and the SOA mname SHOULD be identical. In addition, the server SHOULD include its address record(s) in the Additionals section of the response.

If the server does not include its address record in the Additionals section, the client SHOULD query explicitly for the address record with the name of the SRV target.

The client MUST send all LLO requests, refreshes, and acknowledgments to the name server specified in the SRV target, at the address contained in the address record for that target. Note that the queries described in this section (including those for SOA and SRV records) MAY be sent to an intermediate DNS cache -- they need not be sent directly to the name server.

If, on issuing the SRV query, the client receives an NXDOMAIN response indicating that the SRV record does not exist, the client SHOULD conclude that the server does not support an LLQ in the requested zone. The client then SHOULD NOT send an LLQ request for the desired name, instead utilizing the behavior for LLQ-unaware servers described in Section 5 "LLQ Setup".

4.2 Client Address and Port Identification

Servers should send all messages to the source address and port of the LLQ setup message received from the client.

5. LLQ Setup

An LLQ is initiated by a client, and is completed via a four-way handshake. This handshake provides resilience to packet loss, demonstrates client reachability, and reduces denial of service attack opportunities (see Section 8 "Security Considerations").

<u>5.1</u> Setup Message Retransmission

LLQ Setup Requests and Responses sent by the client SHOULD be retransmitted if no acknowledgments are received. The client SHOULD re-try up to two more times (for a total of 3 attempts) before considering the server down or unreachable. The client MUST wait at least 2 seconds before the first retransmission and 4 seconds between the first and second retransmissions. The client SHOULD listen for a response for at least 8 seconds after the 3rd attempt before considering the server down or unreachable. Upon determining a server to be down, a client MAY periodically attempt to re-initiate an LLQ setup, at a rate of not more than once per hour.

Servers MUST NOT re-transmit acknowledgments that do not generate responses from the client. Retransmission in setup is client-driven, freeing servers from maintaining timers for incomplete LLQ setups. If servers receive duplicate messages from clients (perhaps due to the loss of the server's responses mid-flight), the server MUST re-send its reply (possibly modifying the LEASE-LIFE as described in Section 5.2.4 "ACK + Answers").

Servers MUST NOT garbage collect LLQs that fail to complete the fourway handshake until the initially granted LEASE-LIFE has elapsed.

Expires 10th February 2007 Sekar, et al. [Page 6]

5.2 LLQ Setup Four-Way Handshake

The four phases of the handshake include:

1) Initial Request	client to server, identifies LLQ(s) requested
2) Challenge	server to client, provides error(s) for requested LLQs, and unique identifiers for the successful requests
3) Challenge Response	client to server, echoes identifier(s), demonstrating client's reachability and willingness to participate
4) ACK + Answers	server to client, confirms setup and provides initial answers

5.2.1 Setup Request

A request for an LLQ is formatted like a standard DNS query, but with an OPT RR containing LLQ metadata in its Additional section. LLQ setup requests are identified by the LLQ-SETUP opcode and a zero-valued LLQ-ID.

The request MAY contain multiple questions to set up multiple LLQs. A request consisting of multiple questions MUST contain multiple LLQ metadata sections, one per question, with metadata sections in the same order as the questions they correspond to (i.e. the first metadata section corresponds to the first question, the second metadata section corresponds to the second question, etc.) If requesting multiple LLQs, clients SHOULD request the same LEASE-LIFE for each LLQ. Requests over UDP MUST NOT contain multiple questions if doing so would cause the message to not fit in a single packet.

A client MUST NOT request multiple identical LLQs (i.e. containing the same gname/type/class) from a single source IP address and port.

The query MUST NOT be for record type ANY (255), class ANY (255), or class NONE (0).

Expires 10th February 2007 Sekar, et al. [Page 7]

Setup Request OPT-RR LLQ Metadata Format:

Field Name	Field Type	Description
OPTION-CODE	u_int16_t	LLQ (1)
OPTION-LENGTH	u_int16_t	Length of following fields (18)
VERSION	u_int16_t	Version of LLQ protocol implemented
		by requester (1)
LLQ-OPCODE	u_int16_t	LLQ-SETUP (1)
ERROR-CODE	u_int16_t	NOERROR (0)
LLQ-ID	u_int64_t	0
LEASE-LIFE	u_int32_t	Desired life of LLQ request

These fields MUST be repeated once for each additional query in the Question section.

5.2.2 Setup Challenge

Upon receiving an LLQ Setup Request, a server implementing LLQs will send a Setup Challenge to the requester (client). An LLQ Setup Challenge is a DNS Response, with the DNS message ID matching that of the request, and with all questions contained in the request present in the Question section of the response. Additionally, the challenge contains a single OPT-RR with an LLQ metadata section for each LLQ request, indicating the success or failure of each request. Metadata sections MUST be in the same order as the questions they correspond to. Note that some LLQs in a request containing multiple questions may succeed, while others may fail.

Setup Challenge OPT-RR RDATA Format:

Field Name	Field Type	Description
OPTION-CODE OPTION-LENGTH VERSION	u_int16_t u_int16_t u_int16_t	LLQ (1) Length of following fields (18) Version of LLQ protocol implemented
		in server (1)
LLQ-OPCODE	u_int16_t	LLQ-SETUP (1)
ERROR-CODE	u_int16_t	[As Appropriate]
LLQ-ID	u_int64_t	[As Appropriate]
LEASE-LIFE	u_int32_t	[As Appropriate]

These fields MUST be repeated once for each query in the Questions section of the Setup Request.

Internet Draft

LLQ Metadata field descriptions:

ERROR-CODE: Possible values include:

NO-ERROR: The LLQ Setup Request was successful.

- FORMAT-ERR: The LLQ was improperly formatted. Note that if the rest of the DNS message is properly formatted, the DNS header error code MUST NOT include a format error code, as this would cause confusion between a server that does not understand the LLQ format, and a client that sends malformed LLQs.
- SERV-FULL: The server cannot grant the LLQ request because it is overloaded, or the request exceeds the server's rate limit (see Section 8 "Security Considerations"). Upon returning this error, the server MUST include in the LEASE-LIFE field a time interval, in seconds, after which the client may re-try the LLQ Setup.
- STATIC: The data for this name and type is not expected to change frequently, and the server therefore does not support the requested LLQ. The client MUST NOT poll for this name and type, nor should it re-try the LLQ Setup, and should instead honor the normal resource record TTLs returned. To reduce server load, an administrator MAY return this error for all records with types other than PTR and TXT as a matter of course.
- BAD-VERS: The protocol version specified in the client's request is not supported by the server.

UNKNOWN-ERR: The LLQ was not granted for an unknown reason

LLQ-ID: On success, a random number generated by the server that is unique for the requested name/type/class. The LLQ-ID SHOULD be an unguessable random number. A possible method of allocating LLQ-IDs with minimal bookkeeping would be to store the time, in seconds since the Epoch, in the high 32 bits of the field, and a cryptographically generated 32-bit random integer in the low 32 bits.

On error, the LLQ-ID is set to 0.

LEASE-LIFE: On success, the actual life of the LLQ, in seconds. Value may be greater than, less than, or equal to the value requested by the client, as per the server administrator's policy. The server MAY discard the LLQ after this LEASE-LIFE expires unless the LLQ has been renewed by the client (see <u>Section 8</u> "Security Considerations"). The server MUST NOT generate events (see <u>Section 6</u> "Event Responses") for expired LLQs.

Expires 10th February 2007 Sekar, et al. [Page 9]

On SERV-FULL error, LEASE-LIFE MUST be set to a time interval, in seconds, after which the client may re-try the LLQ Setup.

On other errors, the LEASE-LIFE MUST be set to 0.

5.2.3 Challenge Response

Upon issuing a Setup Request, a client listens for a Setup Challenge (5.2.2), re-transmitting the request as necessary (5.1). After receiving a successful Challenge, the client SHOULD send a Challenge Response to the server. This Challenge Response is a DNS request with questions from the request and challenge, and a single OPT-RR in the Additional section, with the OPT-RR RDATA identical to the OPT-RR RDATA contained in the Setup Request ACK (i.e. echoing, for each set of fields, the random LLQ-ID and the granted lease life). If the challenge response contains multiple questions, the first question MUST correspond to the first OPT-RR RDATA tuple, etc.

If the Setup Request fails with a STATIC error, the client MUST NOT poll the server. The client SHOULD honor the resource record TTLs contained in the response.

If the Setup Request fails with a SERV-FULL error, the client MAY re-try the LLQ Setup Request (5.2.1) after the time indicated in the LEASE-LIFE field.

If the Setup Request fails with an error other than STATIC or SERV-FULL, or the server is determined not to support LLQ (i.e. the client receives FORMERROR or NOTIMPL in the DNS message header), the client MAY poll the server periodically with standard DNS queries, inferring Add and Remove events (see Section 8 "Security Considerations") by comparing answers to these queries. The client SHOULD NOT poll more than once every 30 minutes for a given query. The client MUST NOT poll if it receives a STATIC error code in the acknowledgment.

5.2.4 ACK + Answers

Upon receiving a Challenge Response, a server MUST return an acknowledgment, completing the LLQ setup, and provide all current answers to the question(s).

To acknowledge a successful Challenge Response, i.e. a Challenge Response in which the LLQ-ID and LEASE-LIFE echoed by the client match the values issued by the server, the server MUST send a DNS response containing all available answers to the question(s) contained in the original Setup Request, along with all additional resource records appropriate for those answers in the Additionals section, followed lastly by an OPT-RR formatted as follows:

Expires 10th February 2007 Sekar, et al. [Page 10]

Field Name Field Type Description _____ OPTION-CODE u_int16_t OPTION-LENGTH u_int16_t LLQ Length of following fields, as appropriate VERSION u_int16_t Version of LLQ protocol implemented in server LLQ-OPCODE u_int16_t LLQ-SETUP (1) ERROR-CODE NO-ERROR u_int16_t LLQ-ID u_int64_t Originally granted ID, echoed in client's Response LEASE-LIFE u_int32_t Remaining life of LLQ, in seconds

Successful Setup Response ACK OPT-RR RDATA Format:

If there is a significant delay in receiving a Setup Response, or multiple Setup Responses are issued (possibly because they were lost en route to the client, causing the client to re-send the Setup Response), the server MAY decrement the LEASE-LIFE by the time elapsed since the Setup Request ACK was initially issued.

If the setup is completed over UDP and all initially available answers to the question(s), additional records, and the OPT-RR do not fit in a single packet, some or all additional records (excluding the OPT-RR) MUST be omitted. If, after omission of all additional records, the answers still do not fit in a single message, answers MUST be removed until the message fits in a single packet. These answers not delivered in the Setup Response ACK MUST be delivered without undue delay to the client via Add Events (<u>Section 7</u> "LLQ Lease-Life Expiration").

5.3 Resource Record TTLs

The TTLs of resource records contained in answers to successful LLQs SHOULD be ignored by the client. The client MAY cache LLQ answers until the client receives a gratuitous announcement (see <u>Section 6</u> "Event Responses") indicating that the answer to the LLQ has changed. The client MUST NOT cache answers after the LLQs LEASE-LIFE expires without being refreshed (see <u>Section 8</u> "Security Considerations"). If an LLQ request fails, the client SHOULD NOT cache answers for a period longer than the client's polling interval.

Note that resource records intended specifically to be transmitted via LLQs (e.g. DNS Service Discovery resource records) may have unusually short TTLs. This is because it is assumed that the records may change frequently, and that a client's cache coherence will be maintained via the LLQ and gratuitous responses. Short TTLs prevent stale information from residing in intermediate DNS caches that are not LLQ-aware.

Expires 10th February 2007 Sekar, et al. [Page 11]

TTLs of resource records included in the Additional section of an LLQ response (which do not actually answer the LLQ) SHOULD be honored by the client.

6. Event Responses

When a change ("event") occurs to a name server's zone, the server MUST check if the new or deleted resource records answer any LLQs. If so, the resource records MUST be sent to the LLQ requesters in the form of a gratuitous DNS response sent to the client, with the question(s) being answered in the Question section, and answers to these questions in the Answer section. The response also includes an OPT RR as the last record in the Additional section. This OPT RR contains, in its RDATA, an entry for each LLQ being answered in the message. Entries must include the LLQ-ID. This reduces the potential for spoof events being sent to a client.

Field Name	Field Type	Description
OPTION-CODE OPTION-LENGTH VERSION	u_int16_t u_int16_t u_int16_t	LLQ (1) Length of following fields (18) Version of LLQ protocol implemented
LLQ-OPCODE ERROR-CODE LLQ-ID LEASE-LIFE	u_int16_t u_int16_t u_int64_t u_int32_t	in server (1) LLQ-EVENT (3) 0 [As Appropriate] 0

Event Response OPT-RR RDATA Format:

Gratuitous responses for a single LLO MAY be batched, such that multiple resource records are contained in a single message. Responses MUST NOT be batched if this would cause a message that would otherwise fit in a single packet to be truncated. While responses MAY be deferred to provide opportunities for batching, responses SHOULD NOT be delayed, for purposes of batching, for more than 30 seconds, as this would cause an unacceptable latency for the client.

After sending a gratuitous response, the server MUST listen for an acknowledgment from the client. If the client does not respond, the server MUST re-send the response. The server MUST re-send 2 times (for a total of 3 transmissions), after which the server MUST consider the client to be unreachable and delete its LLQ. The server MUST listen for 2 seconds before re-sending the response, 4 more seconds before re-sending again, and must wait an additional 8

seconds after the 3rd transmission before terminating the LLQ.

Expires 10th February 2007 Sekar, et al. [Page 12]

The DNS message header of the response SHOULD include an unguessable random number in the DNS message ID field, which is to be echoed in the client's acknowledgement.

6.1 Add Events

Add events occur when a new resource record appears, usually as the result of a dynamic update [RFC 2136], that answers an LLQ. This record must be sent in the Answer section of the event to the client. Records that normally accompany this record in responses MAY be included in the Additional section, as per truncation restrictions described above.

6.2 Remove Events

Remove events occur when a resource record previously sent to a client, either in an initial response, or in an Add Event, becomes invalid (normally as a result of being removed via a dynamic update). The deleted resource record is sent in the Answer section of the event to the client. The resource record TTL is set to -1, indicating that the record has been removed.

6.3 Gratuitous Response Acknowledgments

Upon receiving a gratuitous response ("event"), the client MUST send an acknowledgment to the server. This acknowledgment is a DNS response echoing the OPT-RR contained in the event, with the message ID of the gratuitous response echoed in the message header. The acknowledgment MUST be sent to the source IP address and port from which the event originated.

7. LLQ Lease-Life Expiration

7.1 Refresh Request

If the client desires to maintain the LLQ beyond the duration specified in the LEASE-LIFE field of the Request Acknowledgment (5.2), the client MUST send a Refresh Request. A Refresh Request is identical to an LLQ Challenge Response (5.3), but with the LLQ-OPCODE set to LLQ-REFRESH. Unlike a Challenge Response, a Refresh Request returns no answers.

The client SHOULD refresh an LLQ when 80% of its lease life has elapsed.

As a means of reducing network traffic, when constructing refresh messages the client SHOULD include all LLQs established with a given server, even those not yet close to expiration. However, at least one LLQ MUST have elapsed at least 80% of its original LEASE-LIFE. The client MUST NOT include additional LLQs if doing so would cause the message to no longer fit in a single packet. In this case, the LLQs furthest from expiration should be omitted such that the message fits in a single packet. (These LLQs SHOULD be refreshed in a separate message when 80% of one or more of their lease lives have elapsed.) When refreshing multiple LLQs simultaneously, the message contains multiple questions, and a single OPT-RR with multiple LLQ metadata sections, one per question, with the metadata sections in the same order as the questions they correspond to.

The client SHOULD specify the original lease life granted in the LLQ response as the desired LEASE-LIFE in the refresh request. If refreshing multiple LLQs simultaneously, the client SHOULD request the same lease life for all LLQs being refreshed (with the exception of termination requests, see below).

The client SHOULD specify a lease life of 0 to terminate an LLQ prior to its scheduled expiration (for instance, when the client terminates a DNS Service Discovery browse operation, or a client is about to go to sleep or shut down.)

The client SHOULD listen for an acknowledgment from the server. The client MAY re-try up to two more times (for a total of 3 attempts) before considering the server down or unreachable. The client MUST NOT re-try a first time before 90% of the lease life has expired, and MUST NOT re-try again before 95% of the lease life has expired. If the server is determined to be down, the client MAY periodically attempt to re-establish the LLQ via an LLQ Setup Request message. The client MUST NOT attempt the LLQ Setup Request more than once per hour.

7.2 LLQ Refresh Acknowledgment

Upon receiving an LLQ Refresh message, a server MUST send an acknowledgment of the Refresh. This acknowledgment is formatted like the Setup ACK described in 5.2.3, but with the following variations:

The LLQ-OPCODE is set to LLQ-REFRESH.

NO-SUCH-LLQ MUST be returned as an error code if the client attempts to refresh an expired or non-existent LLQ (as determined by the LLQ-ID in the request).

The LLQ-ID in the acknowledgment is set to the LLQ-ID in the request.

8. Security Considerations

Without care taken in the design of protocols such as this, servers may be susceptible to denial of service (DOS) attacks, and clients may be subjected to packet storms. Mechanisms have been added to the protocol to limit potential for these attacks.

Note: This section contains no new protocol elements -- it serves only to explain the rationale behind protocol elements described above, as they relate to security.

8.1 Server DOS

LLQs require that servers be stateful, maintaining entries for each LLQ over a potentially long period of time. If unbounded in quantity, these entries may overload the server. By returning SERV-FULL in Request Acknowledgments, the sever may limit the maximum number of LLQs it maintains. Additionally, the server may return SERV-FULL to limit the number of LLQs requested for a single name and type, or by a single client. This throttling may be in the form of a hard limit, or, preferably, by token-bucket rate limiting. Such rate limiting should occur rarely in normal use and is intended to prevent DOS attacks -- thus it is not built into the protocol explicitly, but is instead implemented at the discretion of an administrator via the SERV-FULL error and the LEASE-LIFE field to indicate a retry time to the client.

8.2 Client Packet Storms

In addition to protecting the server from DOS attacks, the protocol limits the ability of a malicious host to cause the server to flood a client with packets. This is achieved via the four-way handshake upon setup, demonstrating reachability and willingness of the client to participate, and by requiring that gratuitous responses be ACK'd by the client.

Additionally, rate-limiting by LLQ client address, as described in (8.1) serves to limit the number of packets that can be delivered to an unsuspecting client.

8.3 Spoofing

A large random ID greatly reduces the risk of spoofing either the client (by sending spoof events) or the server (by sending phony requests or refreshes).

9. Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in <u>BCP 78</u>, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights. For the purposes of this document, the term "BCP 78" refers exclusively to RFC 3978, "IETF Rights in Contributions", published March 2005.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

10. IANA Considerations

The EDNSO OPTION CODE 1 has already been assigned for this DNS extension. No additional IANA services are required by this document.

11. Acknowledgments

The concepts described in this document have been explored, developed and implemented with help from Chris Sharp and Roger Pantos.

12. Normative References

- [RFC 1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain Names Implementation and Specifications", STD 13, RFC 1035, November 1987.
- [RFC 2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC 2671] Vixie, P., "Extension Mechanisms for DNS (EDNS0)", <u>RFC 2671</u>, August 1999.
- [RFC 2782] Gulbrandsen, A., et al., "A DNS RR for specifying the location of services (DNS SRV)", <u>RFC 2782</u>, February 2000.

Expires 10th February 2007 Sekar, et al. [Page 16]

13. Informative References

- [RFC 2136] Vixie, P., et al., "Dynamic Updates in the Domain Name System (DNS UPDATE)", <u>RFC 2136</u>, April 1997.
- [mDNS] Cheshire, S., and M. Krochmal, "Multicast DNS", Internet-Draft (work in progress), draft-cheshire-dnsext-multicastdns-06.txt, August 2006.
- [DNS-SD] Cheshire, S., and M. Krochmal, "DNS-Based Service Discovery", Internet-Draft (work in progress), draft-cheshire-dnsext-dns-sd-04.txt, August 2006.

14. Authors' Addresses

Stuart Cheshire Apple Computer, Inc. 1 Infinite Loop Cupertino California 95014 USA Phone: +1 408 974 3207 EMail: rfc [at] stuartcheshire [dot] org Marc Krochmal Apple Computer, Inc. 1 Infinite Loop Cupertino California 95014 USA Phone: +1 408 974 4368 EMail: marc [at] apple [dot] com Kiren Sekar Sharpcast, Inc. 250 Cambridge Ave, Suite 101 Palo Alto California 94306 USA Phone: +1 650 323 1960

EMail: ksekar [at] sharpcast [dot] com

Expires 10th February 2007 Sekar, et al. [Page 17]