

uta
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: August 11, 2014

Y. Sheffer
Porticor
R. Holz
TUM
P. Saint-Andre
&yet
February 7, 2014

Summarizing Current Attacks on TLS and DTLS
draft-sheffer-uta-tls-attacks-00

Abstract

Over the last few years there have been several serious attacks on TLS, including attacks on its most commonly used ciphers and modes of operation. This document summarizes these attacks, with the goal of motivating generic and protocol-specific recommendations on the usage of TLS and DTLS.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 11, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Conventions used in this document	3
2.	Attacks on TLS	3
2.1.	BEAST	3
2.2.	Lucky Thirteen	3
2.3.	Attacks on RC4	4
2.4.	Compression Attacks: CRIME and BREACH	4
3.	Security Considerations	4
4.	IANA Considerations	4
5.	Acknowledgements	4
6.	References	5
6.1.	Normative References	5
6.2.	Informative References	5
Appendix A.	Appendix: Change Log	6
A.1.	-00	6
	Authors' Addresses	6

1. Introduction

Over the last few years there have been several major attacks on TLS [[RFC5246](#)], including attacks on its most commonly used ciphers and modes of operation. Details are given in [Section 2](#), but suffice it to say that both AES-CBC and RC4, which together make up for most current usage, have been seriously attacked in the context of TLS.

This situation motivated the creation of the UTA working group, which is tasked with the creation of generic and protocol-specific recommendation for the use of TLS and DTLS.

"Attacks always get better; they never get worse" (ironically, this saying is attributed to the NSA). This list of attacks describes our knowledge as of this writing. It seems likely that new attacks will be invented in the future.

For a more detailed discussion of the attacks listed here, the interested reader is referred to [[Attacks-iSec](#)].

1.1. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

2. Attacks on TLS

This section lists the attacks that motivated the current recommendations. This is not intended to be an extensive survey of TLS's security.

While there are widely deployed mitigations for some of the attacks listed below, we believe that their root causes necessitate a more systemic solution.

2.1. BEAST

The BEAST attack [[BEAST](#)] uses issues with the TLS 1.0 implementation of CBC (that is, the predictable initialization vector) to decrypt parts of a packet, and specifically shows how this can be used to decrypt HTTP cookies when run over TLS.

2.2. Lucky Thirteen

A consequence of the MAC-then-encrypt design in all current versions of TLS is the existence of padding oracle attacks [[Padding-Oracle](#)].

A recent incarnation of these attacks is the Lucky Thirteen attack [[CBC-Attack](#)], a timing side-channel attack that allows the attacker to decrypt arbitrary ciphertext.

[2.3.](#) Attacks on RC4

The RC4 algorithm [[RC4](#)] has been used with TLS (and previously, SSL) for many years. Attacks have also been known for a long time, e.g. [[RC4-Attack-FMS](#)]. But recent attacks ([[RC4-Attack](#)], [[RC4-Attack-AIF](#)]) have weakened this algorithm even more. See [[I-D.popov-tls-prohibiting-rc4](#)] for more details.

[2.4.](#) Compression Attacks: CRIME and BREACH

The CRIME attack [[CRIME](#)] allows an active attacker to decrypt cyphertext (specifically, cookies) when TLS is used with protocol-level compression.

The TIME attack [[TIME](#)] and the later BREACH attack [[BREACH](#)] both make similar use of HTTP-level compression to decrypt secret data passed in the HTTP response. We note that compression of the HTTP message body is much more prevalent than compression at the TLS level.

The former attack can be mitigated by disabling TLS compression, as recommended below. We are not aware of mitigations at the protocol level to the latter attack, and so application-level mitigations are needed (see [[BREACH](#)]). For example, implementations of HTTP that use CSRF tokens will need to randomize them even when the recommendations of [TBD] are adopted.

[3.](#) Security Considerations

This document describes protocol attacks in an informational manner, and in itself does not have any security implications. Its companion documents certainly do.

[4.](#) IANA Considerations

[Note to RFC Editor: please remove this section before publication.]

This document requires no IANA actions.

[5.](#) Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Stephen Farrell, Simon Josefsson, Yoav Nir,

Kenny Paterson, Patrick Pelletier, and Rich Salz for their review of a previous version of this document.

The document was prepared using the lyx2rfc tool, created by Nico Williams.

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), August 2008.

6.2. Informative References

- [I-D.popov-tls-prohibiting-rc4]
Popov, A., "Prohibiting RC4 Cipher Suites",
[draft-popov-tls-prohibiting-rc4-01](#) (work in progress),
October 2013.
- [CBC-Attack]
AlFardan, N. and K. Paterson, "Lucky Thirteen: Breaking the TLS and DTLS Record Protocols", IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy , 2013.
- [BEAST] Rizzo, J. and T. Duong, "Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS", 2011, <<http://packetstormsecurity.com/files/105499/Browser-Exploit-Against-SSL-TLS.html>>.
- [CRIME] Rizzo, J. and T. Duong, "The CRIME Attack", EKOparty Security Conference 2012, 2012.
- [BREACH] Prado, A., Harris, N., and Y. Gluck, "The BREACH Attack", 2013, <<http://breachattack.com/>>.
- [TIME] Be'ery, T. and A. Shulman, "A Perfect CRIME? Only TIME Will Tell", Black Hat Europe 2013, 2013, <<https://media.blackhat.com/eu-13/briefings/Beery/bh-eu-13-a-perfect-crime-beery-wp.pdf>>.
- [RC4] Schneier, B., "Applied Cryptography: Protocols, Algorithms, and Source Code in C, 2nd Ed.", 1996.
- [RC4-Attack-FMS]

Fluhrer, S., Mantin, I., and A. Shamir, "Weaknesses in the Key Scheduling Algorithm of RC4", Selected Areas in Cryptography , 2001.

[RC4-Attack]

ISOBE, T., OHIGASHI, T., WATANABE, Y., and M. MORII, "Full Plaintext Recovery Attack on Broadcast RC4", International Workshop on Fast Software Encryption , 2013.

[RC4-Attack-ALF]

AlFardan, N., Bernstein, D., Paterson, K., Poettering, B., and J. Schuld, "On the Security of RC4 in TLS", Usenix Security Symposium 2013, 2013, <<https://www.usenix.org/conference/usenixsecurity13/security-rc4-tls>>.

[Attacks-iSec]

Sarkar, P. and S. Fitzgerald, "Attacks on SSL, a comprehensive study of BEAST, CRIME, TIME, BREACH, Lucky13 and RC4 biases", 8 2013, <https://www.isecpartners.com/media/106031/ssl_attacks_survey.pdf>.

[Padding-Oracle]

Vaudenay, S., "Security Flaws Induced by CBC Padding Applications to SSL, IPSEC, WTLS...", EUROCRYPT 2002, 2002, <<http://www.iacr.org/cryptodb/archive/2002/EUROCRYPT/2850/2850.pdf>>.

Appendix A. Appendix: Change Log

Note to RFC Editor: please remove this section before publication.

A.1. -00

- o Initial version, extracted from [draft-sheffer-tls-bcp-01](#).

Authors' Addresses

Yaron Sheffer
Porticor
29 HaHarash St.
Hod HaSharon 4501303
Israel

Email: yarolf.ietf@gmail.com

Ralph Holz
Technische Universitaet Muenchen
Boltzmannstr. 3
Garching 85748
Germany

Email: holz@net.in.tum.de

Peter Saint-Andre
&yet

Email: ietf@stpeter.im