

Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: May 21, 2016

P. Spacek
Red Hat, Inc.
November 18, 2015

The Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) Content Synchronization
Operation with Transactions
[draft-spacek-ldapext-syncrepl-transaction-01](#)

Abstract

This document specifies LDAP Control which extends the persist stage of the Content Synchronization Operation with information about LDAP transaction boundaries. This information can be used to support application-level transactions or for application-level optimizations.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 21, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Internet-Draft LDAP Content Synchronization & Transactions November 2015

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Document Conventions	3
3.	Elements of the LDAP Content Synchronization with Transactions	3
3.1.	Transaction Notification Control	3
3.2.	Start Transaction Notification Message	3
3.3.	End Transaction Notification Message	3
4.	Interaction with the Content Synchronization Operation . . .	3
4.1.	Refresh stage	4
4.2.	Persist stage	4
5.	IANA Considerations	4
6.	Security Considerations	4
7.	Normative References	5
	Author's Address	5

[1.](#) Introduction

The LDAP Content Synchronization Operation [[RFC4533](#)] and LDAP Transactions [[RFC5805](#)] are not integrated, which makes the Content Synchronization Operation less useful.

The client using the Content Synchronization Operation has no information of which changes were part of a single transaction. As a result, the client cannot replicate transaction semantics reliably, especially when a connection to an LDAP server is interrupted in the middle of the persist stage of the Content Synchronization Operation.

Some clients could use the information where an LDAP transaction started and ended for transactions at application level to guarantee consistency of application data. Alternatively, some applications can use transaction boundaries for optimizations when further processing

of the data is triggered by the end of a transaction. This might allow the application to save some overhead by processing changes in groups.

Internet-Draft LDAP Content Synchronization & Transactions November 2015

[2.](#) Document Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

Term "transaction identifier" has the same definition as in [[RFC5805](#)].

[3.](#) Elements of the LDAP Content Synchronization with Transactions

Existing protocol messages and semantics defined by [[RFC4533](#)] are not changed. The protocol flow is only extended with Transaction Notification Control, Start, and End Transaction Notification Messages.

[3.1.](#) Transaction Notification Control

The Transaction Notification Control is an LDAPControl [[RFC4511](#)] where the controlType is "TBD1" and the controlValue is absent. The criticality may be TRUE or FALSE.

[3.2.](#) Start Transaction Notification Message

The Start Transaction Notification message is an IntermediateResponse [[RFC4511](#)] where the responseName is "TBD2" and the responseValue is absent.

[3.3.](#) End Transaction Notification Message

The End Transaction Notification message is an IntermediateResponse [[RFC4511](#)] where the responseName is "TBD3" and the responseValue is absent.

[4.](#) Interaction with the Content Synchronization Operation

The client requests information about LDAP Transaction to be added to the Content Synchronization Operation by sending Transaction Notification Control along with a SearchRequest Message that contains a Sync Request Control [[RFC4533](#)]. All attempts to use Transaction Notification Control without Sync Request Control MUST be denied with the unwillingToPerform [[RFC4511](#)] result code.

TODO: THIS SHOULD BE CLARIFIED BY FURTHER UPDATES TO TRANSACTION RFCs

Please note that [[RFC5805](#)] defined that LDAP Transactions have atomic, consistency, isolation, durability (ACID) properties without further specification of the "isolation" level. This extension

Internet-Draft LDAP Content Synchronization & Transactions November 2015

assumes that an isolation property guarantees that uncommitted changes are generally not visible to LDAP clients and thus not returned in the Content Synchronization Operation results.

[4.1.](#) Refresh stage

The refresh stage of the Content Synchronization Operation is unaffected by Transaction Notification Control. The control affects neither Content Determination nor protocol messages sent during the refresh stage.

Transaction-aware clients MUST treat the refresh stage as a single transaction. Messages that mark end of the refresh stage are defined in [[RFC4533](#)].

[4.2.](#) Persist stage

Existing protocol messages and semantics defined by [[RFC4533](#)] are not changed. The protocol flow in the persist stage is extended only with Start and End Transaction Notification Messages.

A Start Transaction Notification Message MUST be sent to a client when a transaction is successfully committed but before any of the changes contained in the transaction are sent to the client.

The Start Transaction Notification Message MUST be followed by all Change notification messages as defined in the persist stage of [[RFC4533](#)]. A server MUST NOT interleave changes made in multiple transactions to ensure that Start and End messages unambiguously

identify one transaction.

An End Transaction Notification Message MUST be sent immediately after all Change notifications for given transaction were sent to the client. This message signalizes to the client that the transaction marked by the Start Transaction Notification Message is complete and all changes can be committed.

[5.](#) IANA Considerations

TBD

[6.](#) Security Considerations

This document merely adds information about transaction boundaries to the existing Content Synchronization Operation. This is believed not to add any security risk.

Spacek

Expires May 21, 2016

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft LDAP Content Synchronization & Transactions November 2015

[7.](#) Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4511] Sermersheim, J., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): The Protocol", [RFC 4511](#), June 2006.
- [RFC4533] Zeilenga, K. and J. Choi, "The Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) Content Synchronization Operation", [RFC 4533](#), June 2006.
- [RFC5805] Zeilenga, K., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) Transactions", [RFC 5805](#), March 2010.

Author's Address

Petr Spacek
Red Hat, Inc.

Email: pspacek@redhat.com

