

Workgroup: Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft:  
draft-sparks-test-async-submission-00  
Published: 1 December 2022  
Intended Status: Informational  
Expires: 4 June 2023  
Authors: R. Sparks

## Testing async submission

### Abstract

This draft is submitted only to test the async api submission endpoint

### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 4 June 2023.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

### Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#)
- [2. Conventions and Definitions](#)
- [3. Security Considerations](#)
- [4. IANA Considerations](#)

## [5. Normative References](#)

### [Author's Address](#)

#### **1. Introduction**

This draft is submitted only to test the async api submission endpoint

#### **2. Conventions and Definitions**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

#### **3. Security Considerations**

This draft is submitted only to test the async api submission endpoint

#### **4. IANA Considerations**

This document has no IANA actions.

#### **5. Normative References**

[[RFC2119](#)] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[[RFC8174](#)] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

#### **Author's Address**

Robert Sparks

Email: [rjsparks@nostrum.com](mailto:rjsparks@nostrum.com)