Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: March 28, 2012 Q. Sun C. Xie China Telecom Y. Cui J. Wu P. Wu Tsinghua University C. Zhou Huawei Technologies Y. Lee Comcast September 25, 2011

Stateless 4over6 in access network draft-sun-softwire-stateless-4over6-00

Abstract

This document specifies a stateless 40ver6 mechanism which moves the translation function from tunnel concentrator (AFTR) to initiators (B4s). It is used for service providers offering residual deployment of the IPv4 service across IPv6-only domains. The concentrator will record the full set of prefix mapping rules independent of the number of subscribers, while the initiator will only keep a single rule of its own sub-domain. The BNG devices can learn the rule set further, to achieve traffic optimization between initiators. In this way, it not only achieve scalability and simplicity feature benefits from stateless address mapping, but also keep CPE functions simple. Besides, flexible addressing and scattered IPv4 address blocks can be supported as well. Traffic optimization can be deployed incrementally when necessary.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

Sun, et al.

Expires March 28, 2012

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 28, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet-Draft

stateless 4over6

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Introduction	<u>4</u>
<u>2</u> . Requirements Language	<u>6</u>
<u>3</u> . Terminology	<u>7</u>
$\underline{4}$. Stateless 4over6 Overview	<u>8</u>
5. Port Restricted IPv4 Address Allocation	L <u>0</u>
<u>6</u> . Address/Prefix Mapping	1
<u>6.1</u> . Address/Prefix Mapping format	1
<u>6.2</u> . Address/Prefix Mapping Procedure	1
<u>6.3</u> . Port mapping algorithm	L <u>2</u>
7. Stateless 4over6 Initiator Behavior	13
8. Stateless 4over6 Concentrator Behavior	<u>4</u>
<u>9</u> . CPE-CPE optimization	L <u>5</u>
<u>10</u> . Mechanism Analysis	L <u>6</u>
<u>11</u> . Security Considerations	L7
<u>12</u> . References	8
Authors' Addresses	20

<u>1</u>. Introduction

Global IPv4 address exhaustion is becoming reality. The dramatic growth of the Internet is accelerating the consumption of available IPv4 addresses together with the scattered IPv4 address blocks, which makes the address shortage problem even worse. It is widely accepted that IPv6 is the only answer to solve the address shortage problem and sustain the long-term growth of the Internet. However, IPv6 deployment is a huge systematic project and a lot of challenges will arise, especially in large commercial operational network.

In the course of IPv6 transition, CPE is always a big issue for the whole industry. Since CPE is a customer-side device with restricted resource, it is cost sensitive. Moreover, there is lots of legacy CPEs which are owned by customers, in this case, ISP can not upgrade them . Besides, due to the huge number of CPEs, it is of vital importance to keep it simple for easy management and trouble shooting.

As discussed in [I-D.ietf-softwire-stateless-4v6-motivation], stateless approaches would have good features of high scalability, reliability and robustness, etc. It is easy to achieve multi-vendor redundancy. However, since stateless solutions have essentially infered the mapping relationship between IPv4 address and IPv6 address, it would have some kind of impact on network planning, e.g. address planning, routing, CPE, etc. From service provider perspective, there are several operational requirements on stateless approaches.

Firstly, flexible addressing is needed especially when the remaining IPv4 address blocks are rather scattered. Network providers might do address reallocation in their network in the future, e.g. reallocate global IPv4 address for address sharing. And this kind of addressing adjustment need to have little impact on the whole network infrastructure, e.g. informing thoudsands of CPEs within the same domain.

Secondly, we need to keep CPE as simple as possible. This will not only reduce the great burden on CPE management and trouble shooting, but also reduce cost and implemenation complexity.

Thirdly, stateless solutions should be capable of covering a large area with centralized deployment. Since stateless approaches can achieve high scalability and no performance bottleneck, centralized deployment is good for network management and multi-vendor industry. Given the fact that large scale service providers would normally have few thousand BNGs with different vendors and different versions, it is a huge burden to update all existing BNGs with the new service

stateless 4over6

card.

Finally, CPE-CPE traffic optimization should be deployed incrementally. Currently, network architecture for some service providers is already rather flat, and there is no direct link between CPEs. The traffic within the same BNG occupies a small percentage, so CPE-CPE traffic optimization is NOT a MUST in general. It can be only deployed incrementally when necessary.

In this document, we propose a stateless 4over6 approach for service providers offering residual deployment of the IPv4 service across IPv6-only domains. In stateless 4over6, the concentrator will record the full set of prefix mapping rules including IPv4 prefix, IPv6 prefix and multiplexing ratio, while the initiator will only keep a single rule of its own sub-domain. Thus, all traffic will be firstly tunneled to concentrator by default. The BNG devices can learn the rule set further, to achieve traffic optimization between initiators.

This procedure keeps the advantages of stateless 40ver6 mechanisms, and prevents the customer devices from complex configuration/ delegation. It "moves" the mapping rules from customer devices up to concentrator and BRAS devices, in which the amount of rules is acceptable. It can still keep the flexible feature of centralized addressing. This is also an extended case which covers stateless addressing sharing for [I-D.cui-softwire-host-40ver6]. However, this extension will decrease IPv4 address utilization. Moreover, since port randomization algorithm must use ports within this port-range, it may cause the port randomization algorithm more predictable.

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [<u>RFC2119</u>].

3. Terminology

Stateless 40ver6: stateless 40ver6 is an IPv4-over-IPv6 hub and spoke mechanism proposed in this document, which supports address sharing to alleviate the problem of IPv4 address exhaustion, and places the IPv4 translation on the initiator side.

Stateless 40ver6 initiator (or "initiator" for short): the tunnel client module of stateless 40ver6 mechanism. The stateless 40ver6 initiator could be a host directly connected to IPv6, or an dualstack CPE in front of an IPv4 local network. It is responsible for IPv4 public-private translation besides tunnel encapsulation and decapsulation.

Stateless 4over6 concentrator (or "concentrator" for short): the tunnel servicing module in stateless 4over6 mechanism. The stateless 4over6 concentrator connects the ISP IPv6 network and IPv4 Internet. It provides tunnel encapsulation and decapsulation but no IPv4 private-public translation.

Stateless 40ver6 domain(or "SL Domain" for short): The IPv6 network a concentrator covers. Its coverage depends on the placement of the concentrator. For example, if the concentrator is deployed at the Core of MAN, the Domain will cover the whole MAN.

Stateless 4over6 sub-domain(or "sub-domain" for short): A IPv6 subnetwork where different initiators can share a same IPv4/IPv6 prefix for stateless mapping. It usually depends on the range of IPv4 address block and multiplexing ratio.

Stateless 4over6 subPre6(or "subPre6" for short): A common IPv6 prefix in the sub-domain for stateless address mapping. subPre6 is only a part of IPv6 perfix/address delegated to customers, which will be followed by "user-id", etc.(refer to <u>section 6</u> for detail).

Stateless 4over6 subPre4(or "subPre4" for short): A common IPv4 prefix in the sub-domain for stateless address mapping. Different subscribers in the common sub-domain will have a different identifier (indicated by "Free bits"). For example, if a given subPre4 is "202.112.1/24" and the shared public address for the specific subscriber is "202.112.1.5", then the "Free bits" is "5".

Stateless sub-domain Rule(or "sub-domain rule" for short): A mapping rule inculding subPre6, subPre4 and Multiplexing ratio for a specific sub-domain.

4. Stateless 4over6 Overview

Figure 1 provides an overview of the stateless 4over6 mechanism. A stateless 4over6 initiator which can be either an IPv6 hosts or CPE, and the stateless 4over6 concentrator are seperated by the IPv6 network in the middle, so they use IPv4-in-IPv6 tunnel to build IPv4 connectivity. One concentrator will cover a SL Domain and there will be one or more sub-domains in it. Each sub-domain will only have one sub-domain rule indicating the common subPre6, subPre4 and multiplexing ratio. These sub-domains can be divided in a rather flexible way. One BNG can have one or more sub-domains, and multiple BNGs can also belong to one sub-domain. The only rule for sub-domain to follow is to have a unique sub-domain rule with a unified multiplexing ratio.

Stateless 4over6 Domain	
++	
++ + Sub-domain	
++ +++	
IPv4 LAN SL 4over6	
Initiator ===== BNG =====++ ++	ŀ
++ ++ + + SL 4over6 IPv4	
++ ++ Concen- Internet	
+ + +++ trator	
IPv4 LAN SL 4over6 ====== =====++ ++	F
Initiator BNG	
++ ++ +++	
+ Sub-domain	
++	

Figure 1 Stateless 4over6 overview

An initiator will get its sub-domain rule containing subPre6, subPre4 and multiplexing ratio. And it will also get a user prefix which includes a user-id via PPPoE, etc. By combining the user-id and multiplexing ratio, we can deduce the vaule of the "Free bits" and "Port-set id". SubPre4 and "Free bits" will then construct a public address for the customer, while "Port-set id" can be mapped to a certain port set by port mapping algorithm.

In this way, an initiator has known its shared IPv4 address and valid port range. For a IPv4 outbound packet arriving at initiator, it will do port-restricted NAT44, then encapuslate with IPv6 header and tunneled to the concentrator by default. The source address and destination address format in IPv6 header will be discussed in the next section. The concentrator will only do packet de-capsulation for outbound packet. When the inbound traffic arriving at

stateless 4over6

concentrator, it will lookup sub-domain rule table based on destination IPv4 address and find out its corresponding subPre6. Then it will construct an IPv6 header algorithmically. Since the number of sub-domain rules is independent of subscriber numbers, it is still a algorithm-based stateless method. Then the inbound traffic will be sent back to customer and perform NAT44 again.

5. Port Restricted IPv4 Address Allocation

As described above, a stateless 4over6 initiator needs the sub-domain rule containing subPre6, subPre4 and multiplexing ratio. Since these parameters are relatively stable, it can be configured in a variety of provisioning methods, including

DHCPv6[I-D.cui-softwire-dhcp-over-tunnel], "TR-069", etc. For customer's IPv6 prefix, it will be delegated just in traditional way, e.g. DHCPv6, Prefix Delegation, etc. And there is no impact on current IPv6 addressing model. The concentrator address can be passed through the same option of ds-lite AFTR address.

Sun, et al. Expires March 28, 2012 [Page 10]

6. Address/Prefix Mapping

6.1. Address/Prefix Mapping format

The subscriber source address mapping format is in consistent with [<u>I-D.xli-behave-divi-pd</u>] expect for the last 64 bits. Since stateless 40ver6 is a tunnel-based solution, we just set the last 64 bits to zero (depicted in Figure2).

++	+ + +	+		+
PL 03	248566	6472		120
+++	+ + + + + + +	+	+	+
64	prefix	u	Θ	I
	+ + + + + +			
e Prefix-s	ub user(CPE)-id 0	u	Θ	0
x	+ + +	+		
t (d) bits	(s+k) bits (m)	(8)	(48)	(8)
++	+ +	+	+	+

Figure 2 Subscriber Source address mapping format

The user-id is consisted of the "Free Bits" and "Port-set id".

The destination address is the concentrator address in CPE. However, when BRAS is processing traffic optimization, the destination address needs to be performed in a similar way with subscriber source address.

6.2. Address/Prefix Mapping Procedure

sub-doamin rule subPref6	-++ subPref4 Multiplexing Ratio -++
+	.+ +
	subPref6 user-id -+
	++ +++ subPref4 Free Port Bits Set id
	+++ +++ / / +++-+
	subPref4 Free Port Bits Set ++ ++

Internet-Draft

stateless 4over6

Shared IPv4 address

Figure 2 Address/Prefix Mapping Procedure

The above figure depicts address mapping procedure in stateless 4over6. An initiator will get its sub-domain rule containing subPre6, subPre4 and multiplexing ratio. And it will also get a user prefix incluing subPref6 and user-id. By combining the user-id and multiplexing ratio, we can deduce the vaule of the "Free bits" and "Port-set id". SubPre4 and "Free bits" will then construct a public address for the customer, while "Port-set id" can be mapped to a certain "Port Set" by port mapping algorithm.

Detailed descriptions will be added soon...

<u>6.3</u>. Port mapping algorithm

A unified Port mapping algorithms should be defined to determine the mapping rule between Port-set id and Port-set. You can refer to [<u>I-D.xli-behave-divi-pd</u>] for example. And we will complete this section soon ...

Sun, et al.Expires March 28, 2012[Page 12]

7. Stateless 4over6 Initiator Behavior

When requiring IPv4 access, the initiator needs to get its IPv6 address/prefix via normal IPv6 address allocation precedure first, then it will calculate its port-restricted IPv4 address and valid port range.

The data plane functions of the initiator includes translation and encapsulation/decapsulation. For CPE initiator case, the initiator runs a standard local NAT44 with the address pool consist of the calculated port restricted address. When sending out an IPv4 packet with private source address, it performs NAT44 function and translates the source address into public. Then it encapsulates the packet with concentrator's IPv6 address as destination IPv6 address, and forwards it to the concentrator. The source address of the IPv6 packet will be generated as described above. When receiving an IPv4in-IPv6 packet from the concentrator, the initiator decapsulates the IPv6 packet to get the IPv4 packet with public destination IPv4 address. Then it performs NAT44 function and translates the destination address into private one.

For host initiator case, it is suggested that the host runs a local NAT to map randomly generated ports into the restricted, valid port range. Private-public address translation would not be needed in this NAT. Another solution is to have the IP stack to only assign ports within the restricted, valid range to applications. Either way makes sure that every port number in the packets sent out by itself falls into the allocated port range.

Sun, et al.Expires March 28, 2012[Page 13]

8. Stateless 4over6 Concentrator Behavior

The stateless 4over6 concentrator should record the full set of subdomain rules. They can also be configured in a variety of methods, and they can be refreshed or deleted once there is an adjustment on address planning (no Initiator needs to be informed with this adjustment).

The data plane functions of the concentrator is purely encapsulation and de-capsulation. When receiving an IPv4-in-IPv6 packet from an initiator, the concentrator de-capsulates it and forwards it to IPv4 Internet. When receiving an IPv4 packet from the Internet, it uses the destination address and port from this packet to lookup the subdomain rules and calculate the specific "user-id" with port mapping algorithm. Then the concentrator encapsulates this packet and forwards it to the correct initiator based on native IPv6 routing. The source address of IPv6 packet is just the concentrator address.

Sun, et al.Expires March 28, 2012[Page 14]

stateless 4over6

9. CPE-CPE optimization

In order to support CPE-CPE optimization, it will delegate the full sub-domain rules to BRAS device in the 4over6 domain. When the IPv4 destination of an IPv4-in-IPv6 packet is in the 4over6 domain, BRAS performs IPv6 destination address translation, according to subdomain rules from the set, and the IPv4 destination address + port in the packet. After the translation, the IPv6 packet can be forwarded to the destination CPE directly without going through the concentrator. However, this functionality is not a must in cast the network is flat and there is no direct link between different CPEs.

Sun, et al.Expires March 28, 2012[Page 15]

stateless 4over6

<u>10</u>. Mechanism Analysis

Stateless 4over6 does not need to inform multiple prefix mapping rules to CPEs directly. Thus, there is no need to introduce dynamic protocol for distributing and maintaining 4rd rules. This will turn CPE to be a much simpler/dummier client, which will also significantly reduce the burden of CPE management and trouble shooting.

Besides, stateless 4over6 would be easier for address planning. SPs only need to pre-determine the mapping relationship between IPv4 prefix and IPv6 prefix. Only concentrators(and BRAS in CPE-CPE optimization case) need to be informed when changing a mapping rule. And it fits well for scattered IPv4 address blocks. Moreover, incremental CPE-CPE optimization can be achieved when network providers would like to adjust their traffic when necessary.

The costs of stateless 40ver6 for achieving the above benefits are less optimized traffic by default. All traffic will be tunneled to the concentrator when there is no prefix mapping BNGs. This is still acceptable in flat network and few direct physical links between different BNGs. However, if the network architecture is not flat and concentrator is in the upper level of the network, it is recommended that CPE-CPE optimization should be introduced.

Sun, et al. Expires March 28, 2012 [Page 16]

<u>11</u>. Security Considerations

See security considerations presented in [RFC6052] and [RFC6145].

12. References

[I-D.bajko-pripaddrassign]
Bajko, G., Savolainen, T., Boucadair, M., and P. Levis,
"Port Restricted IP Address Assignment",
draft-bajko-pripaddrassign-03 (work in progress),
September 2010.

[I-D.bsd-softwire-stateless-port-index-analysis]
Boucadair, M., Skoberne, N., and W. Dec, "Analysis of Port
Indexing Algorithms",
draft-bsd-softwire-stateless-port-index-analysis-00 (work
in progress), September 2011.

[I-D.cui-softwire-dhcp-over-tunnel]

Cui, Y., Wu, P., and J. Wu, "DHCPv4 Behavior over IP-IP tunnel", <u>draft-cui-softwire-dhcp-over-tunnel-01</u> (work in progress), July 2011.

[I-D.cui-softwire-host-4over6]

Cui, Y., Wu, J., Wu, P., Metz, C., Vautrin, O., and Y. Lee, "Public IPv4 over Access IPv6 Network", <u>draft-cui-softwire-host-4over6-06</u> (work in progress), July 2011.

[I-D.ietf-softwire-stateless-4v6-motivation]

Boucadair, M., Matsushima, S., Lee, Y., Bonness, O., Borges, I., and G. Chen, "Motivations for Stateless IPv4 over IPv6 Migration Solutions", <u>draft-ietf-softwire-stateless-4v6-motivation-00</u> (work in progress), September 2011.

[I-D.murakami-softwire-4rd]

Murakami, T., Troan, O., and S. Matsushima, "IPv4 Residual Deployment on IPv6 infrastructure - protocol specification", <u>draft-murakami-softwire-4rd-01</u> (work in progress), September 2011.

[I-D.sun-v6ops-laft6]

Sun, Q. and C. Xie, "LAFT6: Lightweight address family transition for IPv6", <u>draft-sun-v6ops-laft6-01</u> (work in progress), March 2011.

[I-D.tsou-pcp-natcoord]

Zhou, C., ZOU), T., Deng, X., Boucadair, M., and Q. Sun, "Using PCP To Coordinate Between the CGN and Home Gateway Via Port Allocation", <u>draft-tsou-pcp-natcoord-03</u> (work in progress), July 2011.

- [I-D.xli-behave-divi-pd] Li, X., Bao, C., Dec, W., Asati, R., Xie, C., and Q. Sun, "dIVI-pd: Dual-Stateless IPv4/IPv6 Translation with Prefix Delegation", draft-xli-behave-divi-pd-01 (work in progress), September 2011.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC6052] Bao, C., Huitema, C., Bagnulo, M., Boucadair, M., and X. Li, "IPv6 Addressing of IPv4/IPv6 Translators", <u>RFC 6052</u>, October 2010.
- [RFC6145] Li, X., Bao, C., and F. Baker, "IP/ICMP Translation Algorithm", <u>RFC 6145</u>, April 2011.
- [RFC6333] Durand, A., Droms, R., Woodyatt, J., and Y. Lee, "Dual-Stack Lite Broadband Deployments Following IPv4 Exhaustion", <u>RFC 6333</u>, August 2011.

Sun, et al. Expires March 28, 2012 [Page 19]

Authors' Addresses

Qiong Sun China Telecom Room 708, No.118, Xizhimennei Street Beijing 100035 P.R.China

Phone: +86-10-58552936> Email: sunqiong@ctbri.com.cn

Chongfeng Xie China Telecom Room 708, No.118, Xizhimennei Street Beijing 100035 P.R.China

Phone: +86-10-58552116> Email: xiechf@ctbri.com.cn

Yong Cui Tsinghua University Department of Computer Science, Tsinghua University Beijing 100084 P.R.China

Phone: +86-10-62603059 Email: yong@csnet1.cs.tsinghua.edu.cn

Jianping Wu Tsinghua University Department of Computer Science, Tsinghua University Beijing 100084 P.R.China

Phone: +86-10-62785983 Email: jianping@cernet.edu.cn

Peng Wu Tsinghua University Department of Computer Science, Tsinghua University Beijing 100084 P.R.China

Phone: +86-10-62785822 Email: weapon@csnet1.cs.tsinghua.edu.cn

Cathy Zhou Huawei Technologies Section B, Huawei Industrial Base, Bantian Longgang Shenzhen 518129 P.R.China

Phone: +86-10-58552116> Email: cathyzhou@huawei.com

Yiu L. Lee Comcast One Comcast Center Philadelphia, PA 19103 USA

Email: yiu_lee@cable.comcast.com

Sun, et al.Expires March 28, 2012[Page 21]