MBONED Working Group Internet Draft Intended status: BCP Expires: August 25, 2013 Percy S. Tarapore Robert Sayko AT&T Ram Krishnan Brocade February 25, 2013

# Multicasting Applications Across Inter-Domain Peering Points draft-tarapore-mboned-multicast-cdni-02.txt

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{BCP 78}$  and  $\underline{BCP 79}$ .

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 25, 2013.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the <u>Trust Legal Provisions</u> and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Abstract

This document examines the process of transporting applications via multicast across inter-domain peering points. The objective is to describe the setup process for multicast-based delivery across administrative domains and document supporting functionality to enable this process.

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction
<u>2</u> .	Overview of Inter-domain Multicast Application Transport3
<u>3</u> .	Inter-domain Peering Point Requirements for Multicast4
	<u>3.1</u> . Native Multicast <u>4</u>
	<u>3.2</u> . Peering Point Enabled with GRE Tunnel4
	3.3. Peering Point Enabled with an AMT4
<u>4</u> .	Supporting Functionality5
	<u>4.1</u> . Network Transport and Security Guidelines <u>5</u>
	<u>4.2</u> . Routing Aspects and Related Guidelines <u>5</u>
	<u>4.3</u> . Back Office Functions - Billing and Logging Guidelines <u>5</u>
	4.4. Operations - Service Performance and Monitoring Guidelines5
	<u>4.5</u> . Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines <u>5</u>
	4.5Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines4.6Provisioning Guidelines
	4.5.Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines
	4.5.Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines4.6.Provisioning Guidelines4.7.Client Models4.8.Addressing Guidelines
<u>5</u> .	4.5.Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines54.6.Provisioning Guidelines54.7.Client Models64.8.Addressing Guidelines6Security Considerations6
<u>5</u> . <u>6</u> .	4.5. Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines4.6. Provisioning Guidelines4.7. Client Models64.8. Addressing Guidelines6Security Considerations6IANA Considerations
<u>5</u> . <u>6</u> . <u>7</u> .	4.5.Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines54.6.Provisioning Guidelines54.7.Client Models64.8.Addressing Guidelines6Security Considerations6IANA Considerations6Conclusions6
<u>5</u> . <u>6</u> . <u>7</u> . <u>8</u> .	4.5.Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines54.6.Provisioning Guidelines54.7.Client Models64.8.Addressing Guidelines6Security Considerations6IANA Considerations6Conclusions6References6
<u>5</u> . <u>6</u> . <u>7</u> . <u>8</u> .	4.5. Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines.54.6. Provisioning Guidelines.54.7. Client Models.64.8. Addressing Guidelines.6Security Considerations.6IANA Considerations.6Conclusions.6References.68.1. Normative References.6
<u>5</u> . <u>6</u> . <u>7</u> . <u>8</u> .	4.5. Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines.54.6. Provisioning Guidelines.54.7. Client Models.64.8. Addressing Guidelines.6Security Considerations.6IANA Considerations.6Conclusions.6References.68.1. Normative References.68.2. Informative References.7

#### 1. Introduction

Several types of applications (e.g., live video streaming) are well suited for delivery via multicast means. The use of multicast for delivering such applications offers significant savings for utilization of resources in any given administrative domain. End user demand for such applications is growing. Often, this requires transporting such applications across administrative domains via inter-domain peering points.

- The objective of this Best Current Practices document is twofold:
  - o Describe the process and establish guidelines for setting up multicast-based delivery of applications across inter-domain peering points, and
  - o Catalog all required information exchange between the administrative domains to support multicast-based delivery.

While there are several multicast protocols available for use, this BCP will limit the discussion to the peering requirements of a select set of the newer and more popular protocols including:

- o Protocol Independent Multicast Source Specific Multicast
  (PIM-SSM) [<u>RFC4607</u>]
- o Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) v3 [<u>RFC4604</u>]
- o Multicast Listener Discovery (MLD) [<u>RFC4604</u>]

This document therefore serves the purpose of a "Gap Analysis" exercise for this process. The rectification of any gaps identified - whether they involve protocol extension development or otherwise is beyond the scope of this document and is for further study.

2. Overview of Inter-domain Multicast Application Transport

A multicast-based application delivery scenario is as follows:

- o Two independent administrative domains are interconnected via a peering point.
- o The peering point is either multicast enabled (end-to-end native multicast across the two domains) or it is connected by one of two possible tunnel types:
  - o A Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) Tunnel [<u>RFC2784</u>] allowing multicast tunneling across the peering point, or o An Automatic Multicast Tunnel (AMT) [<u>IETF-ID-AMT</u>].
- o The application originates at a source in Domain A
- o An End User associated with Domain B requests the application
- o The request is communicated to the application source which commences the delivery via multicast

Tarapore, et al Expires August 25, 2013

[Page 3]

o Application is distributed via Multicast from the source in Domain A through the Peering Point interface and then to the End User through Domain B.

The setup of the delivery process along with associated requirements is described in <u>section 3</u>. A comprehensive list of required information that needs to be exchanged between the two domains to support various functions enabling the application transport is provided in <u>section 4</u>.

3. Inter-domain Peering Point Requirements for Multicast

The transport of applications using multicast requires that the inter-domain peering point is enabled to support such a process. There are three possible Use Cases for consideration.

3.1. Native Multicast

This Use Case involves end-to-end Native Multicast between the two administrative domains and the peering point is also native multicast enabled.

Interface requirements for this Use Case need to be described here.

### 3.2. Peering Point Enabled with GRE Tunnel

The peering point is not native multicast enabled in this Use Case. There is a Generic Routing Encapsulation Tunnel provisioned over the peering point.

Interface requirements for this Use Case need to be described here.

3.3. Peering Point Enabled with an AMT

The peering point in this Use Case is provisioned with an Automatic Multicast Tunnel.

Interface requirements for this Use Case need to be described here.

Tarapore, et al Expires August 25, 2013

IETF I-D Multicasting Applications Across Peering Points February 2013

4. Supporting Functionality

Supporting functions and related interfaces over the peering point that enable the multicast transport of the application are listed in this section. Critical information parameters that need to be exchanged in support of these functions are enumerated along with guidelines as appropriate. Specific interface functions for consideration are as follows.

4.1. Network Transport and Security Guidelines

4.2. Routing Aspects and Related Guidelines

4.3. Back Office Functions - Billing and Logging Guidelines

4.4. Operations - Service Performance and Monitoring Guidelines

4.5. Reliability Models/Service Assurance Guidelines

4.6. Provisioning Guidelines

Tarapore, et al Expires August 25, 2013

[Page 5]

IETF I-D Multicasting Applications Across Peering Points February 2013

4.7. Client Models

4.8. Addressing Guidelines

5. Security Considerations

(Include discussion on DRM, AAA, Network Security)

6. IANA Considerations

7. Conclusions

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[RFC2784] D. Farinacci, T. Li, S. Hanks, D. Meyer, P. Traina, "Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE)", <u>RFC 2784</u>, March 2000

[IETF-ID-AMT] G. Bumgardner, "Automatic Multicast Tunneling", <u>draft-ietf-mboned-auto-multicast-13</u>, April 2012, Work in progress

[RFC4604] H. Holbrook, et al, "Using Internet Group Management Protocol Version 3 (IGMPv3) and Multicast Listener Discovery Protocol Version 2 (MLDv2) for Source Specific Multicast", <u>RFC 4604</u>, August 2006

Tarapore, et al Expires August 25, 2013 [Page 6]

IETF I-D Multicasting Applications Across Peering Points February 2013

[RFC4607] H. Holbrook, et al, "Source Specific Multicast", <u>RFC 4607</u>, August 2006

8.2. Informative References

9. Acknowledgments

Authors' Addresses Percy S. Tarapore AT&T Phone: 1-732-420-4172 Email: tarapore@att.com Robert Sayko AT&T Phone: 1-732-420-3292 Email: rs1983@att.com Ram Krishnan Brocade Phone: Email: ramk@brocade.com