

**Export of MPLS Segment Routing Label Type Information in
IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX)
draft-tgraf-ipfix-mpls-sr-label-type-06**

Abstract

This document introduces additional code points in the mplsTopLabelType Information Element for IS-IS, OSPFv2, OSPFv3 and BGP MPLS Segment Routing (SR) extensions to enable Segment Routing label protocol type information in IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 22, 2021.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	MPLS Segment Routing Top Label Type	2
3.	IANA Considerations	3
4.	Security Considerations	3
5.	Acknowledgements	4
6.	References	4
	Author's Address	5

[1.](#) Introduction

Besides BGP-4 [[RFC8277](#)], LDP [[RFC5036](#)] and BGP VPN [[RFC4364](#)], four new routing-protocols, OSPFv2 Extensions [[RFC8665](#)], OSPFv3 Extensions [[RFC8666](#)], IS-IS Extensions [[RFC8667](#)] and BGP Prefix-SID [[RFC8669](#)] have been added to the list of routing-protocols able to propagate Segment Routing labels for the MPLS data plane [[RFC8660](#)].

Traffic Accounting in Segment Routing Networks [[I-D.ali-spring-sr-traffic-accounting](#)] describes how IPFIX can be leveraged to account traffic to MPLS Segment Routing label dimensions within a Segment Routing domain.

In the Information Model for IP Flow Information Export IPFIX [[RFC7012](#)], the information element `mplsTopLabelType(46)` describes which MPLS control plane protocol allocated the top-of-stack label in the MPLS label stack. [RFC 7012 section 7.2](#) [[RFC7012](#)] describes the "IPFIX MPLS label type (Value 46)" sub-registry [[IANA-IPFIX-IE46](#)] where new code points should be added.

[2.](#) MPLS Segment Routing Top Label Type

By introducing four new code points to information element `mplsTopLabelType(46)` for IS-IS, OSPFv2, OSPFv3 and BGP Prefix-SID, when Segment Routing with one of these four routing protocols is deployed, we get insight into which traffic is being forwarded based on which MPLS control plane protocol.

A typical use case scenario is to monitor MPLS control plane migrations from LDP to IS-IS or OSPF Segment Routing. Such a migration can be done node by node as described in [RFC8661](#) [[RFC8661](#)].

Another use case is the monitoring of a migration to a Seamless MPLS SR [[I-D.hegde-spring-mpls-seamless-sr](#)] architecture. Where prefixes are propagated with dynamic BGP labels according to [RFC8277](#).

[[RFC8277](#)], BGP Prefix-SID according to [RFC8669](#) [[RFC8669](#)] and used for the forwarding between IGP domains. Adding an additional layer into the MPLS data plane to above discribed use case.

Both use cases can be verified by looking at `mplsTopLabelType(46)`, `mplsTopLabelIPv4Address(47)`, `mplsTopLabelStackSection(70)` and `forwardingStatus(89)` dimensions. Giving insights into the MPLS data plane for which MPLS provider edge loopback address, which label protocol has been used and how many packets are forwarded or dropped and when dropped why they have been dropped.

By looking at the MPLS label value itself, it is not always clear as to which label protocol it belongs, since they could potentially share the same label allocation range. This is the case for IGP-Adjacency SID's, LDP and dynamic BGP labels as an example.

3. IANA Considerations

This document specifies four additional code points for IS-IS, OSPFv2, OSPFv3 and BGP Prefix-SID Segment Routing extension in the existing sub-registry "IPFIX MPLS label type (Value 46)" of the "IPFIX Information Elements" and one new "IPFIX Information Element" with a new sub-registry in the "IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Entities" name space.

Value	Description	Reference	Requester
TBD2	OSPFv2 Segment Routing	RFC8665	TBD1
TBD3	OSPFv3 Segment Routing	RFC8666	TBD1
TBD4	IS-IS Segment Routing	RFC8667	TBD1
TBD5	BGP Segment Routing Prefix-SID	RFC8669	TBD1

Figure 1: Updates to "IPFIX MPLS label type (Value 46)" SubRegistry

4. Security Considerations

The same security considerations apply as for the IPFIX Protocol [RFC7012](#) [[RFC7012](#)].

5. Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Paul Aitken, Loa Andersson, Tianran Zhou, Pierre Francois, Bruno Decreane, Paolo Lucente, Hannes Gredler, Ketan Talaulikar, Sabrina Tanamal, Erik Auerswald and Sergey Fomin for their review and valuable comments.

6. References

6.1. Normative References

[RFC7012] Claise, B., Ed. and B. Trammell, Ed., "Information Model for IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX)", [RFC 7012](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7012, September 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7012>>.

6.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ali-spring-sr-traffic-accounting]
Filsfils, C., Talaulikar, K., Sivabalan, S., Horneffer, M., Raszuk, R., Litkowski, S., Voyer, D., and R. Morton, "Traffic Accounting in Segment Routing Networks", [draft-ali-spring-sr-traffic-accounting-04](#) (work in progress), February 2020.
- [I-D.hegde-spring-mpls-seamless-sr]
Hegde, S., Bowers, C., Xu, X., Gulko, A., Bogdanov, A., Uttaro, J., Jalil, L., Khaddam, M., and A. Alston, "Seamless Segment Routing", [draft-hegde-spring-mpls-seamless-sr-04](#) (work in progress), January 2021.
- [IANA-IPFIX-IE46]
"IANA IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Information Element #46 SubRegistry", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/ipfix/ipfix.xhtml#ipfix-mpls-label-type>>.
- [RFC4364] Rosen, E. and Y. Rekhter, "BGP/MPLS IP Virtual Private Networks (VPNs)", [RFC 4364](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4364, February 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4364>>.
- [RFC5036] Andersson, L., Ed., Minei, I., Ed., and B. Thomas, Ed., "LDP Specification", [RFC 5036](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5036, October 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5036>>.
- [RFC8277] Rosen, E., "Using BGP to Bind MPLS Labels to Address Prefixes", [RFC 8277](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8277, October 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8277>>.

- [RFC8660] Bashandy, A., Ed., Filsfils, C., Ed., Previdi, S., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing with the MPLS Data Plane", [RFC 8660](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8660, December 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8660>>.
- [RFC8661] Bashandy, A., Ed., Filsfils, C., Ed., Previdi, S., Decraene, B., and S. Litkowski, "Segment Routing MPLS Interworking with LDP", [RFC 8661](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8661, December 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8661>>.
- [RFC8665] Psenak, P., Ed., Previdi, S., Ed., Filsfils, C., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., and J. Tantsura, "OSPF Extensions for Segment Routing", [RFC 8665](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8665, December 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8665>>.
- [RFC8666] Psenak, P., Ed. and S. Previdi, Ed., "OSPFv3 Extensions for Segment Routing", [RFC 8666](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8666, December 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8666>>.
- [RFC8667] Previdi, S., Ed., Ginsberg, L., Ed., Filsfils, C., Bashandy, A., Gredler, H., and B. Decraene, "IS-IS Extensions for Segment Routing", [RFC 8667](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8667, December 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8667>>.
- [RFC8669] Previdi, S., Filsfils, C., Lindem, A., Ed., Sreekantiah, A., and H. Gredler, "Segment Routing Prefix Segment Identifier Extensions for BGP", [RFC 8669](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8669, December 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8669>>.

Author's Address

Thomas Graf
Swisscom
Binzring 17
Zurich 8045
Switzerland

Email: thomas.graf@swisscom.com

