

httpbis
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: January 3, 2016

M. Thomson
Mozilla
July 2, 2015

**Content-Signature Header Field for HTTP
draft-thomson-http-content-signature-00**

Abstract

A Content-Signature header field is defined for use in HTTP. This header field carries a signature of the payload body of a message.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 3, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Terminology	2
1.2.	Example	3
2.	The Content-Signature Header Field	3
3.	Describing Signature Keys	4
4.	Security Considerations	4
5.	IANA Considerations	5
5.1.	Content-Signature Header Field	5
5.2.	Content-Signature Parameter Registry	5
5.2.1.	keyid	6
5.2.2.	p256ecdsa	6
5.3.	The p256ecdsa Parameter for the Encryption-Key Header Field	6
6.	References	6
6.1.	Normative References	6
6.2.	Informative References	7
	Author's Address	7

[1.](#) Introduction

The Content-Signature header field carries a signature of the payload body of an HTTP message [[RFC7230](#)]. This allows for content to be protected from modification.

The exchange of high-value messages via intermediaries is often necessary in HTTP for operational reasons. While those intermediaries might be trusted with the information that they forward, some clients or servers might desire greater assurances about the integrity of the information they receive.

No protection is provided for header fields. If integrity is important, only the information in the message payload can be relied upon.

No key management mechanism is defined. Other specifications are expected to describe how recipients determine what credibility is attributed to any given signature key.

[1.1.](#) Terminology

[RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)] defines the terms MUST, SHOULD, and MAY.

1.2. Example

The following HTTP/1.1 response is signed. Line wrapping is added to fit formatting constraints.

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Wed, 17 Jun 2015 17:14:17 GMT
Content-Length: 15
Encryption-Key: keyid=a;
    p256ecdsa=BDUJCg0PKtFrgI_lc5ar9qBm83cH_QJomSjXYUkIlswX
    KTdYLlJjFEWlIthQ0Y-TFZyBbUinNp-rou13Wve_Y_A
Content-Signature: keyid=a;
    p256ecdsa=Hil-_2xU6BjQcU6a8nhMCChLr-fkrek5tE6pokWlJb0
    HkQiryW045vVpljN_xBbF8sTrsWb9MiQLCdYlP1jZtA
```

Hello, World!

2. The Content-Signature Header Field

The Content-Signature header field uses the extended ABNF syntax defined in [Section 1.2 of \[RFC7230\]](#) and the "parameter" rule from [\[RFC7231\]](#).

```
Content-Signature = 1#csig_params
csig_params = [ parameter *( ";" parameter ) ]
```

Each content signature is separated by a comma (,) and is comprised of zero or more colon-separated parameters.

The message payload is prefixed with the string "Content-Encryption:" and a single zero-valued octet before being passed to the signature algorithm. This discriminator string reduces the chances that a signature is viable for reuse in other contexts.

The following parameters are defined:

keyid: This parameter identifies the key that was used to produce the signature. This could identify a key that is carried in the Encryption-Key header field. This parameter can always be provided together with other parameters.

p256ecdsa: This parameter contains an ECDSA [\[X.692\]](#) signature on the P-256 curve [\[FIPS186\]](#). The signature is produced using the SHA-256 hash [\[FIPS180-2\]](#). The resulting signature is encoded using URL-safe variant of base-64 [\[RFC4648\]](#). No parameters other than "keyid" can be specified along with the "p256ecdsa" parameter.

Additional header field values can be defined and registered. The parameter MUST describe how the signature is produced and encoded.

Though the parameter defined in this document do not contain any optional or parameterized features, new signature algorithms MAY use additional parameters for conveying information about optional features. The definition of new parameters SHOULD describe what parameters can be combined with that parameter and the resulting semantics.

The Content-Signature header field might be most efficiently produced as a trailer field. This allows for the production of the message body and the signature in a single pass.

3. Describing Signature Keys

A message MAY include a signing key. This can be used to provision trusted keys.

Providing an encryption key is typically only useful where the provision of the key can be attributed a higher level of trust than the signature. A message sent using out-of-band content-encoding [[I-D.reschke-http-oob-encoding](#)] is one situation that benefits from the use of this header field.

Alternatively, explicitly including a public key can allow a verifier to correctly identify the key that was used if the "keyid" parameter is not sufficient.

This document defines a new parameter for use with the "Encryption-Key" header field. The "p256ecdsa" parameter conveys an uncompressed P-256 public key [[X.692](#)] that is encoded using URL-safe variant of base-64 [[RFC4648](#)].

4. Security Considerations

Determining whether a signature is valid is only a small part of authenticating a message. This document doesn't describe a complete solution for identifying which signing keys are accepted.

This scheme does not authenticate header fields, or other request or response metadata. A recipient of a signed payload needs to be especially careful that decisions that rely on authenticating the payload do not take any unauthenticated material as input. In particular, the request URI and the "Content-Type" header field are not authenticated by this scheme.

No replay protection is offered for signatures. This means that valid messages can be captured and replayed. Since there is no binding between the identity of a resource and the signature, the content of a message can be replayed for a request or response to a different resource; requests can be replayed as responses; and messages can be replayed at different times.

Replay protection can be provided by including information in the message payload itself that binds the content to a specific resource, time or any other contextual information.

5. IANA Considerations

5.1. Content-Signature Header Field

This memo registers the "Content-Signature" HTTP header field in the Permanent Message Header Registry, as detailed in [Section 2](#).

- o Field name: Content-Signature
- o Protocol: HTTP
- o Status: Standard
- o Reference: [Section 2](#) of this specification
- o Notes:

5.2. Content-Signature Parameter Registry

A registry is established for parameters used by the "Content-Signature" header field under the "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) Parameters" grouping. The "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) Encryption Parameters" operates under an "Specification Required" policy [[RFC5226](#)]. The designated expert is advised to consider the guidance in [Section 2](#) when reviewing new registrations.

- o Parameter Name: The name of the parameter.
- o Purpose: A brief description of the purpose of the parameter.
- o Reference: A reference to a specification that defines the semantics of the parameter.

The initial contents of this registry are:

5.2.1. keyid

- o Parameter Name: keyid
- o Purpose: Identify the key that is in use.
- o Reference: [Section 2](#) of this document

5.2.2. p256ecdsa

- o Parameter Name: p256ecdsa
- o Purpose: Conveys a signature using P-256, ECDSA and SHA-256 as described in [Section 2](#) of this document.
- o Reference: [Section 2](#) of this document

5.3. The p256ecdsa Parameter for the Encryption-Key Header Field

The "p256ecdsa" parameter is registered in the "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) Encryption Parameters" registry established in [[I-D.thomson-http-encryption](#)], with the following values:

- o Parameter Name: p256ecdsa
- o Purpose: Conveys a signing key for use with the parameter of the same name on the "Content-Signature" header field.
- o Reference: [Section 3](#) of this document

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [FIPS180-2]
Department of Commerce, National., "NIST FIPS 180-2, Secure Hash Standard", August 2002.
- [FIPS186] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", NIST PUB 186-4 , July 2013.
- [I-D.thomson-http-encryption]
Thomson, M., "Encrypted Content-Encoding for HTTP", [draft-thomson-http-encryption-01](#) (work in progress), July 2015.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", [RFC 4648](#), October 2006.
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 5226](#), May 2008.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R. and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", [RFC 7230](#), June 2014.
- [RFC7231] Fielding, R. and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content", [RFC 7231](#), June 2014.
- [X.692] ANSI, "Public Key Cryptography For The Financial Services Industry: The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)", ANSI X9.62 , 1998.

6.2. Informative References

- [I-D.reschke-http-oob-encoding]
Reschke, J. and S. Loreto, "'Out-Of-Band' Content Coding for HTTP", [draft-reschke-http-oob-encoding-00](#) (work in progress), June 2015.

Author's Address

Martin Thomson
Mozilla

Email: martin.thomson@gmail.com

