

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: August 26, 2021

T. Graf  
Swisscom  
P. Lucente  
NTT  
P. Francois  
INSA-Lyon  
Y. Gu  
Huawei  
February 22, 2021

**BMP (BGP Monitoring Protocol) Seamless Session  
draft-tpy-bmp-seamless-session-00**

Abstract

This document describes an optional BMP session lifecycle extension to prevent data duplication of previously exported messages when TCP session is re-established. It prevents loss of messages between TCP session re-establishments and increase overall BMP scalability.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 26, 2021.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#) . . . . . [2](#)
- [2. Terminology](#) . . . . . [3](#)
- [3. Definitions](#) . . . . . [3](#)
- [4. BMP Client and Server Capability](#) . . . . . [3](#)
- [5. Updated BMP Session Lifecycle](#) . . . . . [3](#)
- [6. Security Considerations](#) . . . . . [4](#)
- [7. Operational Considerations](#) . . . . . [4](#)
- [8. References](#) . . . . . [5](#)
- Authors' Addresses . . . . . [6](#)

**1. Introduction**

With the constant increase of BGP paths, the increase of BMP BGP RIB coverage from [RFC8671](#) [[RFC8671](#)] and [draft-ietf-grow-bmp-local-rib](#) [[I-D.ietf-grow-bmp-local-rib](#)], the addition of new TLVs such as [draft-cppy-grow-bmp-path-marking-tlv](#) [[I-D.cppy-grow-bmp-path-marking-tlv](#)] and [draft-xu-grow-bmp-route-policy-attr-trace](#) [[I-D.xu-grow-bmp-route-policy-attr-trace](#)], more BMP messages and BGP contexts, such as peering, route-policy or RIB, are exported from BMP client to server.

With each BMP session re-establishment, clients export the initial BGP RIB via BMP route-monitoring messages as described in [section 5 of RFC7854](#) [[RFC7854](#)]. Regardless if the same messages were already exported in a previous BMP session or not. This leads to data duplication and unnecessary strain of the BMP client and server.

In a network most times BMP sessions are re-established within a short period of time due to connectivity interruption between BMP client and server or restart of the BMP server due to maintenance. Even though most BMP client implementations support a BMP buffering mechanism, messages are not buffered across BMP session re-establishment, thus leading to a loss of messages.

Therefore, the proposed BMP session lifecycle improvement covers

- o Brief loss of connectivity between BMP client and server
- o Seamless Maintenance of BMP server



It is based on [RFC7413](#), TCP Fast Open [[RFC7413](#)], which allows previously established TCP transport sessions to be re-established more efficiently.

This draft describes how the BMP application MUST behave during TCP transport re-establishment period in order to prevent metric loss.

## **2. Terminology**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## **3. Definitions**

Brief loss of connectivity between BMP client and server: Describes a period of time, in seconds, starting from the point in time in which the BMP client detects loss of connectivity to the BMP server and tries to re-establish the TCP session.

Maintenance of BMP server: Describes a period of time, in seconds, starting from when the BMP server daemon is restarted for maintenance purposes and the BMP client tries to re-establish the TCP session.

## **4. BMP Client and Server Capability**

To support brief loss of connectivity between BMP client and server, the BMP client and server MUST support TCP Fast Open as described in [RFC7413](#) [[RFC7413](#)].

To support seamless maintenance of a BMP server, the BMP client and server MUST support TCP Fast Open as described in [RFC7413](#) [[RFC7413](#)] and the restart of the BMP server MUST distinguish between normal and seamless restart, wherever TCP Fast Open cookies are preserved or not.

## **5. Updated BMP Session Lifecycle**

[Section 3 of RFC7413](#) [[RFC7413](#)] describes the TCP Fast Open extension in the initial TCP SYN packet and the cookie handling during initial and subsequent re-establishment of the TCP transport session.

[Section 3.3 of RFC7854](#) [[RFC7854](#)] describes that the BMP session closes with the TCP session. This behavior is extended with a configurable BMP session timeout.



The BMP session timeout starts counting down under the following conditions:

- o Configured value is bigger than 0
- o Current TCP session was established with Fast Open extension and cookie has been saved
- o BMP buffer is not full
- o TCP session is going to be terminated

The default BMP session timeout is 60 seconds.

While the time is counting down, all the BMP messages, regardless of message type, MUST be buffered. At this stage, the BMP session is still considered to be alive.

When a TCP session is re-established with TCP Fast Open extension and the cookie is identical to the previous TCP session with the same BMP peer, the BMP session remains alive, BMP buffer is exported and normal operation continues.

When a TCP session is re-established without TCP Fast Open extension or with TCP Fast Open extension but the cookie is not identical to the previous TCP session with the same BMP peer, the BMP session is considered terminated and starts with a new BMP Initiation message.

When a TCP session is not re-established within the configured timeout, then the BMP buffer is discarded and the BMP session is considered terminated.

When the BMP buffer is full before the TCP session is re-established, then the BMP buffer is discarded and the BMP session is considered terminated.

## **6. Security Considerations**

The same security considerations apply as for TCP Fast Open [RFC7413](#) [[RFC7413](#)].

## **7. Operational Considerations**

From the perspective of the BMP server, the TCP Fast Open mechanism is rather transparent since it is entirely handled by the operating system kernel: this also means a BMP Server application can't determine if the TCP session was established with SYN Cookies or without them.



Upon terminating the existing BMP session(s), the BMP server should dump to persistent storage the BGP RIBs currently in memory. In terms of encoding, MRT format could be used for the task (ie. [draft-petrie-grow-mrt-bmp](#))

At restart, the BMP server should first restore the content of BGP RIBs from persistent storage before accepting any incoming connection from BMP clients. Only once this process is finished, connections can then be accepted again so that messages buffered by BMP clients are applied to the last known BGP RIBs upon termination.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

- [RFC7413] Cheng, Y., Chu, J., Radhakrishnan, S., and A. Jain, "TCP Fast Open", [RFC 7413](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7413, December 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7413>>.
- [RFC7854] Scudder, J., Ed., Fernando, R., and S. Stuart, "BGP Monitoring Protocol (BMP)", [RFC 7854](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7854, June 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7854>>.

### 8.2. Informative References

- [I-D.cppy-grow-bmp-path-marking-tlv]  
Cardona, C., Lucente, P., Francois, P., Gu, Y., and T. Graf, "BMP Extension for Path Status TLV", [draft-cppy-grow-bmp-path-marking-tlv-07](#) (work in progress), October 2020.
- [I-D.ietf-grow-bmp-local-rib]  
Evens, T., Bayraktar, S., Bhardwaj, M., and P. Lucente, "Support for Local RIB in BGP Monitoring Protocol (BMP)", [draft-ietf-grow-bmp-local-rib-09](#) (work in progress), January 2021.
- [I-D.xu-grow-bmp-route-policy-attr-trace]  
Xu, F., Graf, T., Gu, Y., Zhuang, S., and Z. Li, "BGP Route Policy and Attribute Trace Using BMP", [draft-xu-grow-bmp-route-policy-attr-trace-05](#) (work in progress), July 2020.
- [RFC8671] Evens, T., Bayraktar, S., Lucente, P., Mi, P., and S. Zhuang, "Support for Adj-RIB-Out in the BGP Monitoring Protocol (BMP)", [RFC 8671](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8671, November 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8671>>.





Authors' Addresses

Thomas Graf  
Swisscom  
Binzring 17  
Zurich 8045  
Switzerland

Email: [thomas.graf@swisscom.com](mailto:thomas.graf@swisscom.com)

Paolo Lucente  
NTT  
Siriusdreef 70-72  
Hoofddorp, WT 2132  
Netherlands

Email: [paolo@ntt.net](mailto:paolo@ntt.net)

Pierre Francois  
INSA-Lyon  
Lyon  
France

Email: [Pierre.Francois@insa-lyon.fr](mailto:Pierre.Francois@insa-lyon.fr)

Yunan Gu  
Huawei  
Huawei Bld., No.156 Beiqing Rd.  
Beijing 100095  
China

Email: [guyunan@huawei.com](mailto:guyunan@huawei.com)

