Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Updates: <u>rfc4861</u> (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: March 23, 2018

0. Troean Cisco Systems September 19, 2017

# IPv6 ND PIO Flags IANA considerations draft-troan-6man-ndpioiana-01

#### Abstract

The Prefix Information Option in the IPv6 Neighbor Discovery Router Advertisement defines an 8-bit flag field with two flags defined and the remaining 6 bits reservered (Reserved1). RFC 6275 has defined a new flag from this field without creating a IANA registry or updating RFC 4861. The purpose of this document is to request IANA to create a new registry for the PIO flags to avoid potential conflict in the use of these flags.

#### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 23, 2018.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <a href="BCP 78">BCP 78</a> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<a href="https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

Internet-Draft September 2017

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

#### Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	2			
<u>2</u> .	Current Prefix Information Option flags	2			
<u>3</u> .	Updates to <u>RFC4861</u>	3			
<u>4</u> .	IANA Considerations	3			
<u>5</u> .	References	3			
<u>5</u> .	<u>.1</u> . Normative References	3			
<u>5</u> .	<u>.2</u> . Informative References	4			
Auth	Author's Address				

## 1. Introduction

The Prefix Information Option in the IPv6 Neighbor Discovery Router Advertisement defines an 8-bit flag field with two flags defined and the remaining 6 bits reservered (Reserved1). RFC 6275 has defined a new flag from this field without creating a IANA registry or updating RFC 4861. The purpose of this document is to request IANA to create a new registry for the PIO flags to avoid potential conflict in the use of these flags.

## 2. Current Prefix Information Option flags

Currently, the NDP Prefix Information Option contains the following one-bit flags defined in published RFCs:

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 +-+-+-+-+ |L|A|R|Reserved1| +-+-+-+-+

Figure 1

L - On-link Flag [RFC4861]

A - Autonomous Address Configuration Flag [RFC4861]

R - Router Address Agent Flag [RFC6275]

Reserved1 - Reserved

Internet-Draft September 2017

# 3. Updates to <a href="RFC4861"><u>RFC4861</u></a>

This document updates  $\underline{\mathsf{RFC4861}}$  with the new IANA Considerations section specified below.

## 4. IANA Considerations

The IANA is requested to create a new registry for IPv6 ND Prefix Information Option flags. This should include the current flags in the PIO option. The format for the registry is:

+	<u> </u>	++
RA Option Bit		Reference
0   1 	L - On-link Flag   A - Autonomous Address   Configuration Flag   R - Router Address Flag	[RFC4861]     [RFC4861]           [RFC6275]
T	r	г

Figure 2

The assignment of new flags in the PIO option header require standards action or IESG approval.

The registry for these flags should be added to: <a href="http://www.iana.org/assignments/icmpv6-parameters">http://www.iana.org/assignments/icmpv6-parameters</a>

### 5. References

### **5.1.** Normative References

```
[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
```

[RFC4861] Narten, T., Nordmark, E., Simpson, W., and H. Soliman,
 "Neighbor Discovery for IP version 6 (IPv6)", RFC 4861,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC4861, September 2007,
 <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4861">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4861</a>>.

Internet-Draft September 2017

# <u>5.2</u>. Informative References

[RFC6275] Perkins, C., Ed., Johnson, D., and J. Arkko, "Mobility Support in IPv6", RFC 6275, DOI 10.17487/RFC6275, July 2011, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6275">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6275</a>.

Author's Address

Ole Troean Cisco Systems Philip Pedersens vei 1 Lysaker 1366 Norway

Email: ot@cisco.com