

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 27, 2015

S. Venaas  
Cisco Systems  
October 24, 2014

PIM Join Attribute Assignment Policy Update  
draft-venaas-pim-join-attr-assignment-policy-00.txt

## Abstract

This document updates the assignment policy of the PIM Join Attribute registry, changing the assignment policy from IETF Review to Specification Required.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 27, 2015.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

---

Internet-Draft PIM Join Attribute Assignment Policy Update    October 2014

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Motivation . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Review Criteria . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Acknowledgments . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">7.1.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">7.2.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
	Author's Address . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

This document changes the assignment policy of the PIM Join Attribute registry from IETF Review to Specification Required. The assignment policies are defined in [[RFC5226](#)]. With this change there is no longer a need for an RFC to be published to assign new join attributes, but a specification must be publicly available, and it will be reviewed by a Designated Expert as defined in [[RFC5226](#)].

## [2.](#) Motivation

The assignment policy for the PIM Join Attribute registry was initially IETF Review as specified in [[RFC5384](#)]. However, this requires an RFC to be published prior to assignment. There are cases where there is a strong desire to deploy a new protocol or product relying on new Join Attributes without having to wait for the IETF standardisation process. By changing the policy to Specification Required, there will still be a public specification and a review process to ensure it is technically sound, but without waiting for an RFC to be published.

## [3.](#) Review Criteria

The expert is expected to ensure that the specification is of sufficient quality to ensure interoperability between implementations, that it does not conflict with how PIM operates, that it will not cause potential deployment issues, and that it does not conflict with other multicast protocols or work in the IETF. Also, potential security implications must be considered.

In line with [[RFC5384](#)], join attribute specifications are required to specify the procedure to apply if there are multiple instances of the same attribute type. Also it should be considered whether it is appropriate for the attribute to be transitive or not. The conflict resolution procedure must also be considered. If a procedure is

---

Internet-Draft PIM Join Attribute Assignment Policy Update    October 2014

specified, does it work as desired, or if not specified, is the default procedure specified in [[RFC5384](#)] appropriate for the attribute.

#### [4.](#)    Security Considerations

This document by itself only changes a registry assignment policy which does not have any security issues in itself. When a Designated Expert reviews a new attribute specification, it is expected that the reviewer also considers the security aspects.

#### [5.](#)    IANA Considerations

The assignment policy for the PIM Join Attribute registry is changed to Specification Required. IANA will need to update the registry description and accept and process assignment requests accordingly.

#### [6.](#)    Acknowledgments

There have been discussions about assignment policies for the Join Attribute registry in the PIM WG, with several participants, William Atwood in particular. Based on this the author believes that this document is needed to change the assignment policy.

#### [7.](#)    References

##### [7.1.](#)    Informative References

[RFC5226]    Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 5226](#), May 2008.

##### [7.2.](#)    Normative References

[RFC5384]    Boers, A., Wijnands, I., and E. Rosen, "The Protocol Independent Multicast (PIM) Join Attribute Format", [RFC](#)

[5384](#), November 2008.

Author's Address

Stig Venaas  
Cisco Systems  
Tasman Drive  
San Jose, CA 95134  
USA

Email: [stig@cisco.com](mailto:stig@cisco.com)

Venaas

Expires April 27, 2015

[Page 3]