        Multiple Loss Ratio Search for Packet Throughput (MLRsearch)
                   draft-vpolak-mkonstan-mlrsearch-00

Abstract

   This document proposes changes to [RFC2544], specifically to packet
   throughput search methodology, by defining a new search algorithm
   referred to as Multiple Loss Ratio search (MLRsearch for short).
   Instead of relying on binary search with pre-set starting offered
   load, it proposes a novel approach discovering the starting point in
   the initial phase, and then searching for packet throughput based on
   defined packet loss ratio (PLR) input criteria and defined final
   trial duration time. One of the key design principles behind
   MLSsearch is minimizing the total test duration and searching for
   multiple packet throughput rates (each with a corresponding PLR)
   concurrently, instead of doing it sequentially.

   The main motivation behind MLRsearch is the new set of challenges and
   requirements posed by NFV (Network Function Virtualization),
   specifically software based implementations of NFV data planes.
   Using [RFC2544] in the experience of the authors yields often not
   repetitive and not replicable end results due to a large number of
   factors that are out of scope for this draft. MLRsearch aims to
   address this chalenge and define a common (standard?) way to evaluate
   NFV packet throughput performance that takes into account varying
   characteristics of NFV systems under test.

   This Internet-Draft will expire on April 25, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

[1](1). Terminology

   o NDR - Non-Drop Rate, a packet throughput metric with Packet Loss
      Ratio equal zero (a zero packet loss), expressed in packets-per-
      second (pps). NDR packet throughput has an associated metric
      oftentimes referred to as NDR bandwidth expressed in bits-per-
      second (bps), and calculated as a product of:

      * NDR packet rate for specific packet (frame) size, and

      * Packet (L2 frame size) size in bits plus any associated L1
         overhead.

o PLR - Packet Loss Ratio, a packet loss metric calculated as a
   ratio of (packets_transmitted - packets_received) to
   packets_transmitted, over the test trial duration.

o PDR - Partial-Drop Rate, a packet throughput metric with Packet
   Loss Ratio greater than zero (a non-zero packet loss), expressed
   in packets-per-second (pps). PDR packet throughput has an
   associated metric oftentimes referred to as PDR bandwidth
   expressed in bits-per- second (bps), and calculated as a product
   of:

   * PDR packet rate for specific packet (frame) size, and

   * Packet (L2 frame size) size in bits plus any associated L1
      overhead.

[2]. MLRsearch Background

Multiple Loss Rate search (MLRsearch) is a packet throughput search
algorithm suitable for deterministic (as opposed to probabilistic)
systems. MLRsearch discovers multiple packet throughput rates in a
single search, each rate associated with a distinct Packet Loss Ratio
(PLR) criteria.

Two popular names for particular PLR criteria are Non-Drop Rate (NDR,
with PLR=0, zero packet loss) and Partial Drop Rate (PDR, with PLR>0,
non-zero packet loss). MLRsearch discovers NDR and PDR in a single
search reducing required execution time compared to separate binary
searches for NDR and PDR. MLRsearch reduces execution time even
further by relying on shorter trial durations of intermediate steps,
with only the final measurements conducted at the specified final
trial duration. This results in the shorter overall search execution
time when compared to a standard NDR/PDR binary search, while
guaranteeing the same or similar results. (TODO: Specify "standard"
in the previous sentence.)

If needed, MLRsearch can be easily adopted to discover more
throughput rates with different pre-defined PLRs.

Unless otherwise noted, all throughput rates are _always_ bi-
directional aggregates of two equal (symmetric) uni-directional
packet rates received and reported by an external traffic generator.

[3]. MLRsearch Overview

The main properties of MLRsearch:

o   MLRsearch is a duration aware multi-phase multi-rate search
    algorithm.

    *   Initial phase determines promising starting interval for the
        search.

    *   Intermediate phases progress towards defined final search
        criteria.

    *   Final phase executes measurements according to the final search
        criteria.

o   *Initial phase*:

    *   Uses link rate as a starting transmit rate and discovers the
        Maximum Receive Rate (MRR) used as an input to the first
        intermediate phase.

o   *Intermediate phases*:

    *   Start with initial trial duration (in the first phase) and
        converge geometrically towards the final trial duration (in the
        final phase).

    *   Track two values for NDR and two for PDR.

        +   The values are called (NDR or PDR) lower_bound and
            upper_bound.

        +   Each value comes from a specific trial measurement (most
            recent for that transmit rate), and as such the value is
            associated with that measurement's duration and loss.

        +   A bound can be invalid, for example if NDR lower_bound has
            been measured with nonzero loss.

        +   Invalid bounds are not real boundaries for the searched
            value, but are needed to track interval widths.

        +   Valid bounds are real boundaries for the searched value.

        +   Each non-initial phase ends with all bounds valid.

    *   Start with a large (lower_bound, upper_bound) interval width
        and geometrically converge towards the width goal (measurement
        resolution) of the phase. Each phase halves the previous width
        goal.

   * Use internal and external searches:

      + External search - measures at transmit rates outside the
         (lower_bound, upper_bound) interval. Activated when a bound
         is invalid, to search for a new valid bound by doubling the
         interval width. It is a variant of "exponential search"_.

      + Internal search - "binary search"_, measures at transmit
         rates within the (lower_bound, upper_bound) valid interval,
         halving the interval width.

   o *Final phase* is executed with the final test trial duration, and
      the final width goal that determines resolution of the overall
      search. Intermediate phases together with the final phase are
      called non-initial phases.

   The main benefits of MLRsearch vs. binary search include:

   o In general MLRsearch is likely to execute more search trials
      overall, but less trials at a set final duration.

   o In well behaving cases it greatly reduces (>50%) the overall
      duration compared to a single PDR (or NDR) binary search duration,
      while finding multiple drop rates.

   o In all cases MLRsearch yields the same or similar results to
      binary search.

   o Note: both binary search and MLRsearch are susceptible to
      reporting non-repeatable results across multiple runs for very bad
      behaving cases.

   Caveats:

   o Worst case MLRsearch can take longer than a binary search e.g. in
      case of drastic changes in behaviour for trials at varying
      durations.

[4]. Sample Implementation

   Following is a brief description of a sample MLRsearch implementation
   based on the open-source code running in FD.io CSIT project as part
   of a Continuous Integration / Continuous Development (CI/CD)
   framework.

4.1. Input Parameters

1. *maximum_transmit_rate* - maximum packet transmit rate to be used by external traffic generator, limited by either the actual Ethernet link rate or traffic generator NIC model capabilities. Sample defaults: 2 * 14.88 Mpps for 64B 10GE link rate, 2 * 18.75 Mpps for 64B 40GE NIC maximum rate.

2. *minimum_transmit_rate* - minimum packet transmit rate to be used for measurements. MLRsearch fails if lower transmit rate needs to be used to meet search criteria. Default: 2 * 10 kpps (could be higher).

3. *final_trial_duration* - required trial duration for final rate measurements. Default: 30 sec.

4. *initial_trial_duration* - trial duration for initial MLRsearch phase. Default: 1 sec.

5. *final_relative_width* - required measurement resolution expressed as (lower_bound, upper_bound) interval width relative to upper_bound. Default: 0.5%.

6. *packet_loss_ratio* - maximum acceptable PLR search criteria for PDR measurements. Default: 0.5%.

7. *number_of_intermediate_phases* - number of phases between the initial phase and the final phase. Impacts the overall MLRsearch duration. Less phases are required for well behaving cases, more phases may be needed to reduce the overall search duration for worse behaving cases. Default (2). (Value chosen based on limited experimentation to date. More experimentation needed to arrive to clearer guidelines.)

4.2. Initial phase

1. First trial measures at maximum rate and discovers MRR. a. _in_: trial_duration = initial_trial_duration. b. _in_: offered_transmit_rate = maximum_transmit_rate. c. _do_: single trial. d. _out_: measured loss ratio. e. _out_: mrr = measured receive rate.

2. Second trial measures at MRR and discovers MRR2. a. _in_: trial_duration = initial_trial_duration. b. _in_: offered_transmit_rate = MRR. c. _do_: single trial. d. _out_: measured loss ratio. e. _out_: mrr2 = measured receive rate.

   3. Third trial measures at MRR2. a. _in_: trial_duration =
       initial_trial_duration. b. _in_: offered_transmit_rate = MRR2.
       c. _do_: single trial. d. _out_: measured loss ratio.

[4.3](). **Non-initial phases**

   1. Main loop: a. _in_: trial_duration for the current phase. Set to
       initial_trial_duration for the first intermediate phase; to
       final_trial_duration for the final phase; or to the element of
       interpolating geometric sequence for other intermediate phases.
       For example with two intermediate phases, trial_duration of the
       second intermediate phase is the geometric average of
       initial_strial_duration and final_trial_duration. b. _in_:
       relative_width_goal for the current phase. Set to
       final_relative_width for the final phase; doubled for each
       preceding phase. For example with two intermediate phases, the
       first intermediate phase uses quadruple of final_relative_width
       and the second intermediate phase uses double of
       final_relative_width. c. _in_: ndr_interval, pdr_interval from
       the previous main loop iteration or the previous phase. If the
       previous phase is the initial phase, both intervals have
       lower_bound = MRR2, uper_bound = MRR. Note that the initial
       phase is likely to create intervals with invalid bounds. d.
       _do_: According to the procedure described in point 2, either
       exit the phase (by jumping to 1.g.), or prepare new transmit rate
       to measure with. e. _do_: Perform the trial measurement at the
       new transmit rate and trial_duration, compute its loss ratio. f.
       _do_: Update the bounds of both intervals, based on the new
       measurement. The actual update rules are numerous, as NDR
       external search can affect PDR interval and vice versa, but the
       result agrees with rules of both internal and external search.
       For example, any new measurement below an invalid lower_bound
       becomes the new lower_bound, while the old measurement
       (previously acting as the invalid lower_bound) becomes a new and
       valid upper_bound. Go to next iteration (1.c.), taking the
       updated intervals as new input. g. _out_: current ndr_interval
       and pdr_interval. In the final phase this is also considered to
       be the result of the whole search. For other phases, the next
       phase loop is started with the current results as an input.

   2. New transmit rate (or exit) calculation (for 1.d.):

       * If there is an invalid bound then prepare for external search:

          + _If_ the most recent measurement at NDR lower_bound
             transmit rate had the loss higher than zero, then the new
             transmit rate is NDR lower_bound decreased by two NDR
             interval widths.

> > + Else, _if_ the most recent measurement at PDR lower_bound
> >   transmit rate had the loss higher than PLR, then the new
> >   transmit rate is PDR lower_bound decreased by two PDR
> >   interval widths.
> >
> > + Else, _if_ the most recent measurement at NDR upper_bound
> >   transmit rate had no loss, then the new transmit rate is
> >   NDR upper_bound increased by two NDR interval widths.
> >
> > + Else, _if_ the most recent measurement at PDR upper_bound
> >   transmit rate had the loss lower or equal to PLR, then the
> >   new transmit rate is PDR upper_bound increased by two PDR
> >   interval widths.
>
> * If interval width is higher than the current phase goal:
>
> > + Else, _if_ NDR interval does not meet the current phase
> >   width goal, prepare for internal search. The new transmit
> >   rate is (NDR lower bound + NDR upper bound) / 2.
> >
> > + Else, _if_ PDR interval does not meet the current phase
> >   width goal, prepare for internal search. The new transmit
> >   rate is (PDR lower bound + PDR upper bound) / 2.
>
> * Else, _if_ some bound has still only been measured at a lower
>   duration, prepare to re-measure at the current duration (and
>   the same transmit rate). The order of priorities is:
>
> > + NDR lower_bound,
> >
> > + PDR lower_bound,
> >
> > + NDR upper_bound,
> >
> > + PDR upper_bound.
>
> * _Else_, do not prepare any new rate, to exit the phase. This
>   ensures that at the end of each non-initial phase all
>   intervals are valid, narrow enough, and measured at current
>   phase trial duration.

5. Known Implementations

The only known working implementatin of MLRsearch is in Linux
Foundation FD.io CSIT project. https://wiki.fd.io/view/CSIT.
https://git.fd.io/csit/.

5.1. FD.io CSIT Implementation Deviations

   This document so far has been describing a simplified version of
   MLRsearch algorithm. The full algorithm as implemented contains
   additional logic, which makes some of the details (but not general
   ideas) above incorrect. Here is a short description of the
   additional logic as a list of principles, explaining their main
   differences from (or additions to) the simplified description, but
   without detailing their mutual interaction.

   1. _Logarithmic transmit rate._ In order to better fit the relative
       width goal, the interval doubling and halving is done
       differently. For example, the middle of 2 and 8 is 4, not 5.

   2. _Optimistic maximum rate._ The increased rate is never higher
       than the maximum rate. Upper bound at that rate is always
       considered valid.

   3. _Pessimistic minimum rate._ The decreased rate is never lower
       than the minimum rate. If a lower bound at that rate is invalid,
       a phase stops refining the interval further (until it gets re-
       measured).

   4. _Conservative interval updates._ Measurements above current upper
       bound never update a valid upper bound, even if drop ratio is
       low. Measurements below current lower bound always update any
       lower bound if drop ratio is high.

   5. _Ensure sufficient interval width._ Narrow intervals make
       external search take more time to find a valid bound. If the new
       transmit increased or decreased rate would result in width less
       than the current goal, increase/decrease more. This can happen
       if the measurement for the other interval makes the current
       interval too narrow. Similarly, take care the measurements in
       the initial phase create wide enough interval.

   6. _Timeout for bad cases._ The worst case for MLRsearch is when
       each phase converges to intervals way different than the results
       of the previous phase. Rather than suffer total search time
       several times larger than pure binary search, the implemented
       tests fail themselves when the search takes too long (given by
       argument _timeout_).

6. IANA Considerations

   ..

7. Security Considerations

   ..

8. Acknowledgements

   ..

9. Normative References

   [RFC2544] Bradner, S. and J. McQuaid, "Benchmarking Methodology for
              Network Interconnect Devices", RFC 2544,
              DOI 10.17487/RFC2544, March 1999,
              <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2544>.

   [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC
              2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,
              May 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.

Authors' Addresses

   Maciek Konstantynowicz (editor)
   Cisco Systems

   Email: mkonstan@cisco.com

   Vratko Polak (editor)
   Cisco Systems

   Email: vrpolak@cisco.com