Network Working Group Internet Draft Expiration Date: January 2009

A. Retana E. Chen Cisco Systems J. Scudder Juniper Networks

D. Walton

Advertisement of Multiple Paths in BGP

draft-walton-bgp-add-paths-06.txt

#### Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than a "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/1id-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

### Abstract

In this document we propose a BGP extension that allows the advertisement of multiple paths for the same address prefix without the new paths implicitly replacing any previous ones. The essence of the extension is that each path is identified by a path identifier in addition to the address prefix.

#### 1. Introduction

The BGP specification [RFC4271] defines an "Update-Send Process" to advertise the routes chosen by the Decision Process to other BGP speakers. No provisions are made to allow the advertisement of multiple paths for the same address prefix, or Network Layer Reachability Information (NLRI). In fact, a route with the same NLRI as a previously advertised route implicitly replaces the previous advertisement.

In this document we propose a BGP extension that allows the advertisement of multiple paths for the same address prefix without the new paths implicitly replacing any previous ones. The essence of the extension is that each path is identified by a path identifier in addition to the address prefix.

### 2. Specification of Requirements

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

## 3. How to Identify a Path

As defined in [RFC4271], a path refers to the information reported in the path attribute field of an UPDATE message. As the procedures specified in [RFC4271] allow only the advertisement of one path for a particular address prefix, a path for an address prefix from a BGP peer can be keyed on the address prefix.

In order for a BGP speaker to advertise multiple paths for the same address prefix, a new identifier (termed "Path Identifier" hereafter) needs to be introduced so that a particular path for an address prefix can be identified by the combination of the address prefix and the Path Identifier.

The assignment of the Path Identifier for a path by a BGP speaker is purely a local matter. However, the Path Identifier MUST be assigned in such a way that the BGP speaker is able to use the (prefix, path identifier) to uniquely identify a path advertised to a neighbor. A BGP speaker that re-advertises a route MUST generate its own Path Identifier to be associated with the re-advertised route. A BGP speaker that receives a route SHOULD NOT assume that the identifier carries any particular semantics; it SHOULD be treated as an opaque value.

# 4. Extended NLRI Encodings

In order to carry the Path Identifier in an UPDATE message, the existing NLRI encodings are extended by prepending the Path Identifier field, which is of four-octets.

For example, the NLRI encodings specified in [RFC4271, RFC4760] are extended as the following:

```
+----+
| Path Identifier (4 octets) |
+----+
| Length (1 octet)
+----+
| Prefix (variable)
+----+
```

and the NLRI encoding specified in [RFC3107] is extended as the following:

```
+----+
| Path Identifier (4 octets) |
+----+
| Length (1 octet)
+----+
| Label (3 octets)
+----+
+----+
| Prefix (variable)
+----+
```

The usage of the extended NLRI encodings is specified in the Operation section.

## 5. ADD-PATH Capability

The ADD-PATH Capability is a new BGP capability [RFC3392]. The Capability Code for this capability is specified in the IANA Considerations section of this document. The Capability Length field of this capability is variable. The Capability Value field consists of one or more of the following tuples:

The meaning and use of the fields are as follows:

Address Family Identifier (AFI):

This field is the same as the one used in [RFC4760].

Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI):

This field is the same as the one used in [RFC4760].

Send/Receive:

This field indicates whether the sender is (a) willing to receive multiple paths from its peer (value 1), (b) would like to send multiple paths to its peer (value 2), or (c) both (value 3) for the <AFI, SAFI>.

#### 6. Operation

The Path Identifier specified in the previous section can be used to advertise multiple paths for the same address prefix without subsequent advertisements replacing the previous ones. Apart from the fact that this is now possible, the route advertisement rules of [RFC4271] are not changed. In particular, a new advertisement for a given address prefix and a given path identifier replaces a previous advertisement for the given address prefix and the given path identifier.

A BGP speaker that is willing to receive multiple paths from its

peer, or would like to send multiple paths to its peer, SHOULD advertise the ADD-PATH Capability to the peer using BGP Capabilities advertisement [RFC3392].

A BGP speaker MUST follow the existing procedures in generating an UPDATE message for a particular <AFI, SAFI> to a peer unless the BGP speaker advertises the ADD-PATH Capability to the peer indicating its desire to send multiple paths for the <AFI, SAFI>, and also receives the ADD-PATH Capability from the peer indicating its willingness to receive multiple paths for the <AFI, SAFI>, in which case the speaker MUST generate a route update for the <AFI, SAFI> based on the combination of the address prefix and the Path Identifier, and use the extended NLRI encodings specified in this document. The peer SHALL act accordingly in processing an UPDATE message related to a particular <AFI, SAFI>.

### Applications

The BGP extension specified in this document can be used by a BGP speaker to advertise multiple paths in certain applications. The availability of the additional paths can help reduce or eliminate persistent route oscillations [RFC3345]. It can also help with optimal routing and routing convergence in a network. The applications are detailed in separate documents.

#### 8. Deployment Considerations

The extension proposed in this document provides a mechanism for a BGP speaker to advertise multiple paths over a BGP session. Care needs to be taken in its deployment to ensure consistent routing and forwarding in a network, the details of which will be described in separate application documents.

### 9. IANA Considerations

IANA needs to assign a capability number for the ADD-PATH Capability described in this document.

# 10. Security Considerations

This document introduces no new security concerns to BGP or other specifications referenced in this document.

### 11. Acknowledgments

We would like to thank David Cook and Naiming Shen for their contributions to the design and development of the extension, and for co-authoring drafts that lead to the current document.

Many people have made valuable comments and suggestions, including Dave Meyer, Srihari Sangli, Eric Rosen, Dan Tappan, Robert Raszuk, Mark Turner, Danny McPherson, Eugene Kim, Pradosh Mohapatra, Rex Fernando, and Keyur Patel.

#### 12. Normative References

[RFC4271] Rekhter, Y., T. Li, and S. Hares, "A Border Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4)," RFC 4271, January 2006.

[RFC3392] Chandra, R. and J. Scudder, "Capabilities Advertisement with BGP-4," RFC 3392, November 2002.

[RFC4760] Bates, T., Chandra, R., Rekhter, Y., and D. Katz, "Multiprotocol Extensions for BGP-4", RFC 4760, January 2007.

[RFC3107] Rekhter, R. and E. Rosen, "Carrying Label Information in BGP-4," RFC 3107, May 2001.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," <u>RFC 2119</u>, <u>BCP 14</u>, March 1997.

### 13. Informative References

[RFC3345] McPherson, D., V. Gill, D. Walton, and A. Retana, "Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Persistent Route Oscillation Condition", <u>RFC</u> 3345, August 2002.

## 14. Authors' Addresses

Daniel Walton Cisco Systems, Inc. 7025 Kit Creek Rd. Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Email: dwalton@cisco.com

Alvaro Retana Cisco Systems, Inc. 7025 Kit Creek Rd. Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Email: aretana@cisco.com

Enke Chen Cisco Systems, Inc. 170 W. Tasman Dr. San Jose, CA 95134

Email: enkechen@cisco.com

John Scudder Juniper Networks

Email: jgs@juniper.net

## **15**. Intellectual Property Considerations

This section is taken from Section 5 of RFC 3668.

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of

such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietfipr@ietf.org.

## 16. Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.